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TO THOSE WHO WILL FINISH
WHAT WE CAN ONLY BEGIN
PREFACE

Periodically in the history of the world it becomes essential for men mentally akin to find each other; to know each other, and in unison deliver a message of truth to enlighten, to strengthen, to correct mistakes in an effort to avoid just what has happened to us all. But how is this to be done, if not by education? Not to condemn the methods of others, but to substitute a better way that will defend itself.

All religions embody good and have bettered the world. There are still two factors: Faith and Science; two rules, and both are evidently right. Is it expecting too much that Religion and Science together create the third principle, resulting in the transformation of the world
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into one human family of many children, each to his own? With Science to preserve order by eliminating fraud and trickery, there would be no fear of judging the innocent as guilty.

As man is inclined toward superstition, he naturally falls an easy prey to those clever enough to deceive his eye. In fact, some of the brightest minds of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries have been completely deceived in this way. The possibility of our loved ones returning after having passed away, or at least of sending us some message or thought, cannot be doubted. But it is the unreliability of the method used to receive these messages, as well as the unreliability of the person receiving them, which gives rise to a question. The truth is often exaggerated, and the open-minded victim easily duped.
PREFACE

Within mankind there is a power so great that it would be dangerous to know it until we are perfect in humility and self-control. Until then it is hidden from us by our selfish, animal nature which causes the mind to become cloudy and discontented.

Even as trees sleep in the winter and blossom again in the Spring, so also does Humanity alternately sleep and blossom: periodically come the fruits of genius, great minds and sensitive souls who give voice, as “human radios”, to the great Broadcasting of the Ages, the Song of Truth. And with their passing, humanity gradually falls asleep again until the next “wave” or cycle.

In this spiritual sleep, this ebb of the Soul, is the “heyday” of false prophets: therein will be found the origin of Superstition, in “imitation” of that
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which did hold some truth, but is now a word without meaning, a body without a soul.

Why do supposedly great but false prophets and teachers flourish for a day and then die in obscurity, leaving no flourishing field to prove the fertility of their teaching?

The shell of the wheat was there; the words and phrases — all borrowed to feed people who do not think for themselves: and even when planted, gave up no fruit because the spirit of God was lacking, and because they who professed, denied the Simplicity which was the Soul itself.

Man slowly approaches the epoch of the Human Radio. His antenna of imagination opens that inner ear that hears the silent broadcast of the ages. It still vibrates in the atmosphere. Man’s mortal
PREFACE

We may view this psychologically rather than from a spiritual or religious point of view; nevertheless it is clear that an even greater revelation will accompany the discovery of a “radio” in the human mind, than that which took place industrially, internationally and domestically with the invention and introduction of radio into our homes.

The entire universe is within the human head in the same manner that the music being broadcasted from various cities all over the world is within the radio, or within the room in which it is being received.

We forget that a well-governed and trustworthy imagination contains the tools that make education from the specific-
cations of wisdom; that therein also are the antennae of man with which he searches for God: that aerial to receive the message; the chamber of transformation in which the "word is made flesh"; where thoughts are dramatized in symbols which are revelations if they be attuned to "facts".

We still have more to learn of the rooms of man's mind, to find the doors leading to that religious ecstasy, the mystery, the frenzy of the aborigines, the bliss of divinity felt by martyrs and saints, the hypnotic power of our professional men — all still in its infancy.

No one will deny facts, unless he has a subtle purpose to use opportunities for selfish purposes. Truth is self-evident, and needs no support. It supports itself. And if the pillars of a structure are lies, it will but collapse. Still, the spir-
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it of true support is ever present, so that a new permanent structure shall rise from the ashes and dust of falsehood. There are ever present health germs to continue life, even among death germs. That is the law of adjustment, compensation and growth, the manifestation of life.

All that matters most to man is back of his own eyes, and there he flounders in the dark, thinking he thinks a thought, but unaware of the origin of that thought, or of its fruits: "imagining" things without the slightest conception of the power and mechanism that he is using.

Surely we may learn much by watching the insect with its antennae moving in every direction, sensing the danger we cannot see. It protects itself without that great gift to man: Imagination. It only acts upon its inherent power of Instinct. It uses its antennae to sense approaching danger which it avoids, but knows not its
source, without reason. Why should not man have a more highly developed sense by the protection of reason, or the cause with its effect?

If the same amount of energy and education had been utilized for psychological, mental and spiritual power as for the comforts of economic, mechanical and electrical power, what would have been accomplished to further the progress of humanity?

There is no excuse for man to underestimate the power of the mind at the loss of his inheritance from God or Nature, from ancestry, or self-acquired. If we refuse to use reason and logic as a foundation to intuition, whom can we blame for the failure in evolution; whom but our own negligence? Nature offers us her fruits. Why are men ashamed to admit their belief for or against spirituality?
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How can anyone judge or give an opinion of the power of prayer, of Christianity, or of the prophets, unless he has given it a lifetime of experience to see the answer, and then left us the records, by which we may judge?

There is much that might be said of certain facts and truth that would but compel us to search the Book of Mistakes made by those who were sincere, but too enthusiastic to allow Nature to grow in its own good time; where swords have been unsheathed without provocation, only in fear of apparently losing opportunities. If there be any loss, let us go back and see whether the purse had a hole in it; whether the compass was influenced by a nail; whether the watch kept good time as it should, or whether we were controlled by our stomach, our heart or our mind.

We are ever traveling toward the
future, where all truth is born. Should we waste time in disputing the possibility of truth we think we have not, or be open to the possibilities that the world shall know tomorrow, as yesterday gave us for today?

We have a duty we owe to humanity — to those who have knocked upon doors of empty churches, temples and schools, but not prisons. We must help men and women who can do work; not as missionaries, nor under the flags of politics, cults or isms, but just pure, clean-hearted leaders who are handicapped, discouraged, held back — being used as stepping-stones to respectability by the profane.

Why waste time, paper and ink analyzing flavors, the taste of fruit. Let us eat what Nature has given for thousands of years, and turn it into good health,
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joy, long life, and normal appreciative thoughts, so that the real knowledge of life may be born normally for today and tomorrow, and not for thousands of years hence.

- - 

We cannot afford to spend much time considering the opinions or methods of yesterday; nor stop to harvest their fruits today, when we must plant for a new generation, knowing that all those who do not now understand will gradually do so as time passes; for “Time proveth all things”.

- - 

The individual awakening and cultivation of intuition is the foremost concern of all leaders and teachers who may be pioneering in the prevenience of a new era: until all education is “prevenient education” our problems as a nation shall not be solved.

- -
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Written history contains no records of a nation in the position in which the United States of America now stands, with the possibilities in its hands for the manifestation of a spirit of prevenience that would enable it to become the dominating culture of a new epoch by demonstrating a new level of revolutionary "warfare" without muscle and bloodshed, as an example to set before the other nations of the world.

Who shall plant the seeds of the new viewpoint in the ground thus made ready: who but those thinkers and leaders who prove by their stability, adaptability, reliability and endurance that they have been chosen by their own fertility to survive as the foundations for new structures and the roots of a new generation?

As Americans should we not fight for what America represents, as the melt-
ing pot of the world, with many laws inherited, yet obeying but one law: that of our pioneering forefathers for freedom of thought, speech and religion founded on logic, reason and reality, as well as (and above all), one supreme Being of Power that may be clothed in any raiment desired; but internally one and the same Hub of that Wheel of Truth, where the spokes are teachers and exemplifiers; the rim, those whose personal responsibility is to protect those who teach; the steel hoop, the beasts of burden; and the movements, of the combined machinery of the world?

THINGS HAVE only been partly done. The mansion still in process. We are all but workers at the scaffolding (parties and divisions) of America as well as Christianity. When a mansion is done, what happens to the scaffolding? It is torn down, revealing the completed ex-
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amples as models for a Universal Christianity and a United Nations of the World.

The two are inseparables, the north and south poles of each other, the spirit and the body, the ideals and the nation, the way of life and the government to make it possible.

Can we expect to crystalize "Utopia" and usher in the long-heralded Millenium? That's not the question. It is the dream and the vision that point down the Highway. Tho we fall by the wayside and never reach it, we must believe in it. Otherwise we travel in vicious circles. It is only the hope that leads us on.

The problems of the ages still face us, but today we are better equipped than ever before to understand them, if we will only discard the limiting thought-habits of ancestral education, and adopt the mental tools and implements offered
us today, with which to understand and shape tomorrow.

What excuse have we to neglect a progress that we may further in our own way? Who should be to blame in the misunderstanding of a bugle call — the wounded lips that fail to shape the notes, the bugle, or the man who is supposed to know the signal and fails to execute?

Someone must hit the gong so the blind may hear the hour. Another must turn the hands for the deaf, so they may see. Why the slate and chalk, memory’s purpose and traces of the Blueprint? Surely there must be many laborers to one architect or overseer. Why should we deny our destiny? If there be an effect, surely there has been a cause. If we hear an echo, there must have been a voice to send it. If you or I have an Ideal to express, whence came its cause?
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Others may try to play music and fail. Why? Is it for the want of a piano, a melody, or trained fingers?

If you have dreams and visions only, without framing them exposed to eyes that seek them, you speak a language which you alone understand. It is useless to those called to cooperate with you — workmen of the temple idle, waiting for your designs while you sleep, and they vanish. Whom do you think shall spin, weave, work in the quarries, or gather timber to materialize dreams given you, if you fail to sing your melody?

Why cannot more men utilize the gifts they really possess, but which they do not seem to realize are in their possession? Why carry the newly felled trees to be made into lumber, when beasts of burden would gladly carry them for a cast-off meal? Why all the spiritual con-
fusion throughout the world, when there is no discord where truth exists?

How many fine minds are there hidden in obscurity at the front line of Commercialism, shackled to an organization because of wages and an inferiority complex; while if but allowed to dream, away from the grinding note of gears, a new musician, poet or scientist may be born. Give men a chance to spread their shallow or clay roots. The top can always be pruned from faults. But let their roots alone, to allow character to prove their value before we forget why we live, and how.

Why do not men of learning come together to exchange views, as pugilists do blows; wrestlers, holds; athletes, feats of endurance: so that monuments of knowledge may be like large, fine trees as landmarks to the wayfaring man who is trav-
eling through unknown lands, the labyrinth of the world’s paths, to his home and loved ones, whether mortal or immortal,—and do those things for the sake of truth instead of wealth and glory? Truth itself is glorified; and so are they who dispense it.

The progress of the world’s education, research and understanding would be so much more enhanced if we allowed thinking men to do their thinking without a handicap. Let them be able to think and do their best while the man with muscle removes stumbling blocks so the dreamer may dream visions governed by thinkers for the doers to give it life.

If each man or personality in the entire world represented an individual key to his greatest treasure vault, we would not need to fear a burglar picking our lock, for no two keys would be alike.
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Still, all are expected to eat from the same plate the same amount; dress alike, be punished alike, rewarded alike, and die alike. Why not examine the tumblers of these human locks and see who should be trusted most, and with what responsibility — so that we will find geniuses to teach us short methods, instead of waiting for them every century or so?

The trouble with most of us is that we shape things to suit ourselves, according to past acquirements; whereas we should permit truth to come to us, crystalizing in its own shape: we should then try to figure out what the shape is.

The seed of truth must preserve itself for future generations in a vocabulary untainted by those words which have attracted to themselves all the odium of a confusion of fraudulence, fakery, trickery and overgrown superstition.
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The world is waiting for someone to come to teach them; all looking in different directions for another coming, save those who believe that He has already come. Does one appear upon a crest of notoriety? Then it is not He. Does he found a cult or a “system”? The Master himself comes not in these ways — but as a Breeze across a prairie where labor all nations, all races, sects and creeds... each fanned by the Breeze, and differently; each giving expression to his reception and appreciation of the One Gentle Breeze through this world: each clothing a Christ in virtues thus conceived. One is wet, and the Breeze dries him. One is cool, and the Breeze warms him: or hot, and it cools him. One is covered with dust, and it blows away this dust, fanning the hair from his eyes. One draws bow at his enemy, and the Breeze prevents, carrying it back to the sender. One aims with the Breeze a dart just to warn and fall short of its mark, but
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the Breeze carries it on to the heart of him who deserved the death-blow that it was...

At best we are but cogs in the Wheel of Time, and call it "History" — which is but the echo for philosophers: the flames, and the smoke rolling away: cause and effect, blinded by the blindness of man to know neither the beginning nor the end, nor what is one; thinking mortal what is immortal; feeling the heat; seeing the smoke; combining nothing as one cause — thinking only in jets, as the beating and breathing of heart and lungs. Is it not true?

The only cause a man has for not realizing his power as a man, is that he never has tried to select the mental food his brain should digest to prove how in all simplicity his Ideals lie at his feet if he will but select the mental food to accomplish all his desires which but
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cast their shadow before him. Let him
but awaken his gift of logic and reason
to realize that to think a thing is to shape
action, energy and influence to that crea-
tion thought. For we only want those
things made manifest by what we have
allowed our brain to consume.

Thus we arrive at the purpose of these
prefatory and fragmentary paragraphs,
which is to provide a few samples of the
food for thought which has sustained me
in the continuation of that Quest of which
the beginning is subjected to both chron-
icle and commentary in "Gates of the
Mind".

—JOSEPH SADONY

Valley of the Pines
January, 1948
INTRODUCTION

No man can contribute to the world more than his own personal experience, the harvest of his own research and experiment, unless it be the fruit of inspiration or prophetic insight. The works of Joseph Sadony contain a rich store of both.

"Gates of the Mind" is one of a number of manuscript volumes thus far withheld from publication by the author. Though its sub-title is "The Autobiography of a Man and a 'Feeling'", digressions from the narrative reveal that its purpose is not autobiographical. It is an introduction to the anatomy of prophetic intuition. The small book here privately printed is rather less than a "condensation"; it contains but a small
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portion of the first volume of this unpublished work.

Underlying and eclipsing the narrative is a rationale of the physiological foundations and scientific investigation of mental phenomena considered as tele-empathic and telepathic phenomena of the human nervous system.

It is a conclusion of the author and his associates in research that most mystic, psychic and occult terms used in describing mental phenomena are misleading, that there exist no mysterious "faculties" of a mystic or occult nature, but that the imagination, if used correctly, is capable of portraying past, present or future events within the limitations imposed by the fact that the imagination is dependent entirely on memory of past sensory experience to provide the elements of its portrayal.

For example, the author claims that the term, "thought-transference" is a
INTRODUCTION

misnomer; that it is impossible for what is usually designated a "thought" to be transferred from one mind to another mind, but that it is possible and of common occurrence to induce in another mind a thought that is similar to one experienced in your own mind, or *vice versa*. The exact degree of similarity will depend upon the similarity of past experience. The induced thought, however, is entirely the product of the selective stimulation of memory-elements in an activity of the imagination. The thought is your own, and has not been "transferred" from another mind, even though it be similar in every respect. A phenomena has taken place, but it is one of thought-induction, not thought-transferrence.

We are living through a crisis the full extent and meaning of which is realized only by a few. We are and have been witnessing periods of confusion and revolution not only in world politics, in
science, education, industry and art, but also in psychology, philosophy and religion.

We are witnessing and shall witness the collapse of theories and concepts in all fields of thought. No science can continue to stand on its present foundations without adjustments made necessary by the confusion and poverty of existing verbal organization. Neither the philosophies nor the psychologies can withstand the critical application of the operational view with any greater success than the physical sciences. They will be forced to a more strict correlation of "Language Logic and Life".

Thus we have undergone and are still undergoing a revolution in the physical sciences. Even now new foundations are being laid to complete the bridge extending from atomic to organic, thence to astronomic dimensions. The biologist must know his physics and chemistry as
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well as his psychology; and a psychologist without knowledge of the former is not worthy of the name. The philosopher who does not know by first-hand research and experimentation these fundamentals of life and the physical universe must resign himself to his own amusement, for his mental structures can be only dialectic castles in the air.

The confusion of the age was manifest in the first few sessions of the “Conference on Science, Philosophy and Religion” at the opening of World War II. The scholars admitted that they were confused, and that they did not know how to “think with a view to action”, or how to teach each other to the end of reaching mutual understanding and agreement. As a result they were forced to agree to disagree, to predict a pluralistic instead of a monolithic civilization.

“Gates of the Mind” is the beginning of an answer to the scholars on the part
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of a student of life and human nature, a seeker for truth and an independent investigator on an experimental basis of the operations of the human mind in relation to physiological and psychological consequences. Here for the first time is the beginning of a detailed account of a personal adventure in the deliberate and purposive development of prophetic intuition, and its application to problems of nature and human nature, science, philosophy, religion, education, industry, war and peace.

There has been need for an effort on the part of someone capable of experiencing and demonstrating as well as observing so-called psychic and mental phenomena to separate the wheat from the chaff, to paint the picture of just what can and cannot be expected of it in the present state of man's development; to save the true and the beautiful, the spontaneous and natural function of man's
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sympathetic sensitivities from all the technical and psychic "racketeering"; to encourage the individual development of these sensitivities along healthy and constructive lines, and to discourage the authoritarian capitalization of psychological or spiritual truths and the subjugation of peoples by psychological tricks. In this small book is the beginning of Mr. Sadony's answer to this need.

And in answer to those who may ask "Who is Joseph Sadony?" we quote data contained in "Who's Who in Michigan" and "Who's Who in the Central States":

"SADONY, Joseph A. Founder and director, Educational Research Laboratories, Montague, Michigan; columnist, Muskegon Chronicle (Mich.) since 1929. Home: "Valley of the Pines", Montague, Michigan; b. Montabaur, near Ems, Germany, Feb. 22, 1877; s. Alexander Nicholas and Apollonia (Reipert) S.; Mary Lillian Kochem, in 1906; Ch. Jos-
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eph, Jr. (1907), Arthur, (1909). Came with parents to America in 1884 and located in Kalamazoo, Mich.; later moved to Chicago; traveled in West, walking eighteen hundred miles on foot investigating conditions in Indian Reservations for Theodore Roosevelt. In 1906 returned to Michigan and purchased 80 acre estate now known as the "Valley of the Pines" which he equipped with shops and laboratories later known as the Educational Research Laboratories, affiliated with Valley Research Corporation. Held office as constable, justice of the peace, spl. deputy sheriff, school moderator, dir. of the district school board, etc. Has done much good in his guidance and help to people and carries on an extensive correspondence throughout the world as "philosopher, guide and friend" (without compensation) to many thousands of people. For several years editor and publisher of "The Whisper" (an inde-
pendent, international journalette of Preventive Thought) and the “Voice of Tomorrow Calendar”. Originator of “Plastic Prose” as a literary form adapted to radio script; author of “Fragments in Plastic Prose”, "My Answers", and other works; technical papers: “Concerning Tidal Effects on Atmospheric Diathermancy”, “The Function of Gravitation in the Determination of the Fundamental Constants and Ratios of the Physical Sciences”, etc.; research developments and patents: moisture vapor barrier materials used by armed forces during the war; apparatus and methods of sonic analysis for detection of defects in exhaust valves and other metal automotive parts. Member American Association for the Advancement of Science; Mason (past master, Montague Lodge No. 198 F. & A.M.;) demit to Whitehall Lodge No. 310; Muskegon Commandery No. 22, Knight Templar, life member; served
as organist for the Eastern Star (Mrs. Sadony being past worthy matron); Saladin Temple, AAONMS. life member; De Witt Clinton Consistory, Grand Rapids."

* From the view of some a greater importance should be attached to the application of prophetic intuition to fundamental problems of science, philosophy, education and religion rather than to elements of mere personal experience. But to the layman there can be nothing more important than how he can benefit by personal experience rather than by the acquisition of knowledge or theory concerning the more abstruse problems of science or philosophy.

For his benefit, then, who cares little for the deeper problems that might be discussed at greater length, we may conclude this introduction by assuring him that so far as mental phenomena are con-
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cerned, together with the conclusions expressed in Mr. Sadony’s comments in “Gates of the Mind”, we are only a few of many who will agree that they have been established with as much certainty for those of us who have participated in the experimental investigation of this subject as the results of our research in the fields of radionics, electrostatics, electromagnetism and gravitation.

—Educational Research Laboratories
January, 1948
It matters not who in the world of time the mind may be, Truth imprints upon its tablet its own law. If that mind is so constituted, it can no more help reflecting the fact than a mirror can help reflecting the rays of the sun if at just that angle to catch the eye as well as to send the reflection that will come to the human eye that receives it. The receiver is just as important as the sender.
All mankind seems to have been seeking in the very air for something new to believe: something that will give them proof and understanding: something that will give their minds different food for thought, and of which they are now in greater need than food for the body.

Joseph Sadony
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We are not so alone today as we were forty-five years ago. Turn on your radio and see. And what will you say within forty-five years more? May you not then hear the whispering thoughts of loved ones gone before you within their past silence, as it was a half century before — only waiting for us to find the spiritual dial, as we found the material one, within the mind and hand of man who did seek, and who found it — but the shadow of the real yet to come?
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By

Joseph Sadony

I

My mother was showing me a picture.

She said, "That is where I was born, Joseph."

For a minute I looked at it, and it didn't seem right.

I said, "But mother, shouldn't there be a river over here?" I pointed to the right. "And shouldn't there be a barn beside just a house?"

"What makes you say that, Joey? The artist made this just like it was. No. We were away from the river. We had no barn. What makes you say that?"

"Well, anyway," I said, "I remember the river, and a barn and a bridge."
Mother said, "Joseph, you mustn't talk like that. You never went as far as the river. You couldn't possibly remember it. Besides, that's where your father was born. It was his father who had a —"

Suddenly my mother stopped and looked at me, biting her lower lip. For a moment she seemed not to see me, though looking right at me.

I said, "Mother! What's the matter?"

She said, "Joseph, you couldn't possibly remember that, because you were never there, but that's where your father was born, by the river, near a bridge. And your grandfather had a barn, because he had horses. That was on the Rhine, near Coblenz."

2.

Herman was my only brother, and he was older than I was. When I was seven he was twelve. He was a cripple boy from birth, but he was beautiful and he was -2-
good. I always went to Herman when I didn’t understand something and no one else would talk with me.

It was Spring, and we were watching a robin build a nest outside the window.

I said, “Do you think that’s the same one that built there last year, the nest that fell down when the wind blew this winter?”

Herman said, “I think it’s maybe one of the young ones that were born in the old nest.”

I said, “But how would it know? If it was born in the old nest, how would it know to build a new one? Can a mother robin teach it?”

Herman said, “A bird doesn’t have to be taught how to build a nest. It just knows.”

“But how?” I insisted.

“Well, they call it instinct, Joey, but what that is I can’t tell you. I guess it’s
born in them because the mother and father knew, back and back so far that nobody knows anything about it."

"Herman, do you think we know things because mother and father knew them, even if they don’t tell us?"

"Well, I think maybe we feel things and do things like they did, Joey. I’ve heard father say you are sometimes just like grandpa Jean Mare Felix Reipert. He was a Book-binder, like Uncle, and an artist too, always working with his hands making things like you do."

I said, "Herman, sometimes I feel as if I could almost remember things before I was born. But just when I think I do, I forget it again. Do you ever feel that way?"

Herman said, "Well, I know what you mean. It’s like a dream. When you wake up you can’t remember it, but you know you were dreaming."

I said, "Yes, only it’s not when I’m -4-
asleep, Herman. It's when I'm awake, and when I've been thinking and then stop thinking for a minute. When I start thinking again, it's gone."

Herman looked at me a minute and said, "You've always been funny that way, Joey. When you say things without thinking, you are usually right and everyone wonders how you know. But when you think about things you act like you didn't know anything at all. I suppose you know that sometimes worries mother because she's afraid father won't understand it. He doesn't like that sort of thing one little bit."

"But what can I do about it, Herman?"

"Well, I wouldn't say too much without thinking when father is around. It's better when he thinks you're dumb than when he worries wondering what's got into you. Some day I'll tell you why he worries about it."

-5-
3.

It was pitch dark and I woke from a nightmare in a cold sweat. I must have cried out in my sleep because mother had her hand over my mouth, whispering “Be quiet, Joseph! Don’t wake your father. What were you dreaming?”

I said, “I dreamed that Herman was hanging on the wall with his arms out, like on a cross. He was nailed there.”

My mother gasped and said, ”Joey! Promise me you won’t tell anyone that! Don’t tell your father, and don’t tell Herman or your sisters.”

I promised, and then asked, “Why?”

“Because,” she said, “Your father doesn’t like such things, and we mustn’t think of them or tell about them. But
"I'm sorry, mother."

"I'm not blaming you, Joseph. You can't help it how strange it is. I dreamed a dream like that about Herman the night you were born, and I didn't dare say anything about it, because eight months before you were born I started dreaming strange dreams, and they all came true. That never happened to me before, and it has never happened since you were born. But during that time all my dreams came true except that last one about Herman. You're the first I've told because now you dream it too! Let us say a prayer, Joey, and not tell anyone."

4.

So mother left me, but I didn’t sleep. Something troubled me, but I did not know what it was. It was something more than my dream about Herman; something that made me feel all alone in the
world, even with a large family.

I lay in the dark, and then suddenly something happened to me that I did not comprehend until years later, in memory. The vague distress of an internal conflict I could not understand suddenly vanished. In that moment I gained a new sense of identity. Yet I felt like a stranger in the bosom of my own family. Suddenly I didn't know who I was, and lay there in the dark asking myself, “Who am I? Where am I? How did I get here?”

But there was no uneasiness in the sensation; rather a sense of impending excitement, as if I had entered a new world and could hardly wait to explore it. Somewhere in this new world a treasure was hidden, and I would find it. For some reason my heart was glowing as if I had fallen in love with something I couldn't see. All my inner senses were affected by this, so that I imagined I
heard music, but it was just my memory of the organ in church with the choir singing. I imagined smelling perfume, but it was just the memory of a bowl of red rose petals that we had saved one time. I imagined that strong, tender arms picked me up, but I could see no face because I was suddenly tired, and suddenly safe. When I woke it was morning.

5.

The world was the same, after all; but something inside of me was different. I felt happy about something and didn't know why. I saw more than I usually did. I stopped to look at things that I usually passed by; and when I looked at the same old things I had seen every day, I now saw something I hadn't seen before. I smelled odors I hadn't noticed before, and identified them in my mind. I heard sounds and knew what they meant without turning my head to look. I felt the
urge to go out exploring, but suddenly felt the need of sharing all this new world with someone who would understand it. I thought of Herman, but he was crippled and couldn't go with me.

So I stayed home with Herman. I couldn't tell him about my dream, so I asked him, "Herman, can't you tell me now why father worries about what gets into me? Mother is outside now. No one will hear us. The girls have gone too. What is father worried about? What does he think is going to happen to me?"

"Well, he thinks something gets into you, Joey. And he doesn't know whether it's a devil or an angel. Sometimes he's sure it's a devil, and that it'll lead you to no good end. Remember how one time you would run off with his gun and go shooting by the castle on the Rhine; and next thing he knew you would be playing priest with an old soap box for an altar, serving mass? One day you would be
catching crabs down by the pond, and spend hours looking at the worms you would break out of those long stick-like things you found. And next day you would imitate St. Joseph, and say you wanted to be a carpenter.”

“Do I have to be the same all the time, Herman?”

“Not for my part, Joey. That’s what I like about you. One never knows what you are going to say or do next.”

“Doesn’t father like that?”

“Well, it isn’t just that. It’s when you say things about the future, or when you seem so positive about something you couldn’t possibly know. And when things happen to you that are mysterious.”

“But nothing mysterious happens to me, Herman.”

“Do you remember the time you had Uncle take you coasting on Montabaur hill? You didn’t have a sled so you took
a ladder instead. The hill was all ice, and at the bottom was the cross-road. Uncle said a team of horses was coming, but it was too late for him to stop you, and you could not stop yourself. He said there was nothing on earth could keep you from being killed or badly hurt.”

“But I wasn’t hurt a bit, Herman.”

“That’s just the thing, Joey. Ladder and all you shot right through between the legs of the horses, entirely unhurt. How did you do it? You didn’t know. No one knew. That was a mystery. And then when they asked you if you weren’t frightened when you saw the team ahead of you, you said no, you weren’t, because the minute you saw them you thought about something else and forgot all about them.”

“Well, I did, Herman. I closed my eyes, and saw the picture in the church.”

“Yes, I know, Joey. But you said you knew you weren’t going to be hurt.”
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"I did know it. I wasn't hurt."

"Well, all right. I believe you. But I'm showing you what worries father. When they asked you how you knew you weren't going to be hurt bad or killed, you said it was because you were going to marry a girl named Mary, with black eyes and dark hair when you were twenty-seven years old, so that's how you knew you weren't going to be killed before then."

"That's how I did know, Herman."

"Well, that's what father doesn't like. It's either nonsense, or you know. And if you know, how do you know? He doesn't like it either way, Joey."

"But I did know, Herman. I saw it when I was looking at the picture in the church. And when I saw the team of horses I closed my eyes and saw the picture. Then I remembered that I was going to be married when I was twenty-seven. So how could anything happen to
me now?"

"Well, I'm sure I don't know, Joseph, and I hope nothing happens to you. But I think it would be better if you didn't say things like that for father to hear."

6.

So that night I lay there again in the dark feeling like a stranger. I tried to remember how it all came about that I was there, and why I felt like I sometimes did. It was the "Feeling" that made me say things and think things like Herman said father didn't like, and mother seemed to understand but hushed me up so he wouldn't hear me.

I was six years old and we were still in Montabaur when there began to be talk in the family about going to America. It was then that I began to be conscious of a world beyond the village limits. I climbed to the top of the hill to try to see some of it. I was alone, but I imag-
in that men were walking up the hill with me, and that I was one of them.

We all had on light, flexible suits of armor, like fish scales made of metal. There was a bright red cross on each breast, a sword in one hand and a Bible in the other.

It was fifty years before I found out, inadvertently, that the village of Montabaur and the hill I climbed that day, were originally called Humbach; and that centuries before me the Crusaders had climbed that hill and looked down over the beautiful country, calling it "The Holy Land". The hill reminded them of that Mount which Christ had ascended to pray, with Peter, James and John, where He was transfigured before them. So they christened it Mount Tabor, and henceforth the little village at its foot was called Montabaur.

I did not know this as I trudged along that day, surrounded by the cre-
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ation of my own imagination, a company of Christian warriors with swords and Bibles.

When I reached the top, I still could not see America. So I closed my eyes, but all I could "see" was a lot of Indians. That was of course because of what I had heard about America.

7.

So far as I know now I had no knowledge of the Crusaders, or in any case of their relation to the Hill at Montabaur. Of course it is possible there was a foundation for the "image-play" without my remembering it. The fact is here unimportant as the purpose of these early recollections is more to provide the background and to portray the general nature of early thought-elements as based on experience.

At present this is merely illustrative of a later problem: What distinguishes
a "true" imagination from a "false" one
as an element of imaginative experience
when it is regarded as an established fact
that we can think only with what we have
acquired to think with? In other words,
all imaginative experience is made up of
combinations and recombinations of ele­
ments of sensory experience with a phys­
iological foundation. Nevertheless it has
been established by experiment that the
separate parts or memory-elements may
be put together correctly or incorrectly
to form a true or false internal represen­
tation of external events or conditions.
What distinguishes between the "true"
and the "false" when immediate verifi­
cation by observation or experiment is
impossible?

The answer, later to be set forth more
fully, is that the distinguishing charac­
teristic of a "true" imagination is a
"feeling" that must be felt in order to
understand its nature.
I did not at first comprehend this, but now in looking back at many thousands of imaginative experiences of childhood and youth, I see that when the exercise of the imagination is either unaccompanied by any feeling whatsoever, or when the imagination produces a feeling as a result of its exercise, (e.g. imagining Indians is followed by a feeling of excitement and anticipation), the imagination is not to be trusted unless a train of thought is followed back to determine its origin, and unless the logic and reason are sufficiently matured and trained to adjust and retouch the picture in accordance with experience, or reason based on observation and experiment.

On the other hand if a certain type of "feeling" (which is a dominant experience throughout this record) precedes the exercise of the imagination, and in fact produces the imagination by selective stimulation and blending of mem-
GATES OF THE MIND

ory elements to express, to clothe, to em­
body or to interpret the "feeling", we
have then a type of spiritual inspiration
and mental phenomena that merits fur­
ther investigation to which an introduc­
tion will be found in these pages.

8.

My first experiences of a distinction
in feeling associated with imagination
were largely unrealized at the time, but
preserved in memory. In climbing Mount
Tabor, for example, the "feeling" came
over me first that I was not alone. This
caused me to imagine myself surrounded
with companions all starting out together
for some distant place to fight a battle.
We would have swords but we would al­
so have Bibles. The Cross would be our
armor inside, but outside we would need
armor of steel.

I did not then realize that these de­
tails characterized the Crusaders who
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gave the hill historic background and a name. All the elements were familiar to me, but not the history. My memory contained swords, Bibles, Crosses, metal armor and the idea of men who would use these things. Emphatically, I did not see the "spirits" of Crusaders walking up the hill with me. What I "saw" was entirely the product of my own imagination in which was composited various elements of memory acquired by previous sensory experience.

But these memory-elements were selectively stimulated, assembled and imbued with life by a "feeling" at a particular time, under a particular condition, at a particular place which invested them with a meaning I did not myself comprehend until fifty years later. Whence and What the "feeling"? Why the particular mental imagery evoked by the feeling? Not in these few childhood cases alone, but in thousands upon thousands of cases
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extending throughout a lifetime; my own
and the lives of many others whose ex-
periences I have investigated.

That was the Quest in which, sym-
bolically at least, I set forth with a sword
in one hand and a Bible in the other, to
find the answer. I sought the truth, and
as time went on I found that my imag-
ination provided the truth in one instance
and deceived me in another. It deceived
me when I used my own reason and mem-
ory to speculate on things I didn’t know
enough about. It deceived me when I con-
centrated or “tried”. It never deceived me
when I didn’t try, and didn’t care, and
had a “feeling” first which started my
imagination going to piece together in a
flash what was aroused from my memory
by the feeling. What was the Feeling?

I stress this, because as time went on
people who knew more about such things
than I would say, “The boy is psychic”,
and I knew they were all wrong. I possess no special, mystic or occult sense that other men do not possess. My mental operations are limited entirely to what I have acquired and recorded by sensory experience. My imagination has only my own memory to draw on. I visualize something spontaneously, past, present or future, near or far; it proves correct, with witnesses to verify it. My records contain thousands of such witnessed cases in which I was correct 98 percent of the time. What did I “see”? Nothing but a composite of my own memory elements of past experience.

Truly and literally it was “nothing but my imagination”. Still it corresponded with the truth. Why? Was it a good guess? Was it “coincidence”? Was it “chance”? These were questions to be answered by experimental research. At
first I did not know. But time ruled out "chance" beyond all dispute. And I did soon find out that man's most important thinking does not take place in the brain alone, but with the entire body and nervous system.

Truth is not to be found in man's memory of words or his reflective visual or oral thinking. Words and memories of sights and sounds may be woven together into endless combinations. *What gives them meaning?* What determines the exact words or memory-elements that will be combined in any given concept or idea or train of thought? What assurance have we that our ideas have any correspondence with reality at all?

Our only assurance from a scientific point of view is one based on experience, observation and experiment. How then is it possible to know things in the future, at a distance in the present and in the past, without opportunity for experience.
observation or experiment? I can only say that I have established this fact for myself; that I am writing this commentary on my early experience to introduce you to what I did and how I did it, so you too may establish the facts for yourself, without taking anyone’s word for it; mine or that of anyone else.

It requires not the use of some mysterious faculty you do not possess, but rather the suspension of the use of your “intellect” (verbal memory, reason, etc.) until after your feeling of intuition has clothed itself imaginatively. Then harness it by “logic and reason”, by all means, if you can. But you must first learn how to stop thinking at will. You must learn how to “deconcentrate” instead of concentrating. You must make no strenuous “effort”. You can’t “force” it. You can’t “play” with it. You can’t “practice” it. Spontaneity is its most essential characteristic. It cannot manifest in the realm
of habit or "conditioned reflexes", as in the case of instinct.

In the language of the New Testament, you must not try to move the spirit; you must let the spirit move you. This means that you must let the truth shape you, for the simple reason that you cannot shape the truth. Your relation to truth is direct, and not by reflective or verbal representation. You will find the truth neither in words nor in memories, but only in direct nervous coordination of the whole of your immediate sensory experience, internal as well as external.

Just as the law of crystallization and chemical combination in the mineral kingdom and the inorganic world, so also the law of selective absorption in the organic world and vegetable kingdom, preserving the species, materializing the truth and meaning of the seed. And so also the selective excitation and conditioning of reflexes in the formation and operation of
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instinct in the animal kingdom. And there is evidence that a similar law is at work in a more complicated system of self-conditioning reflexes as manifest in the vastly superior nervous organization of man; a mechanism of adaptation not only to so-called seen or visible environments, but also to “unseen” environments such as those manifest in radiant energy and the specifications of future growth as manifest in seeds.

10.

All I knew as a child was that I had some sort of relation with what I could neither see, hear, smell, taste nor touch: and that relation was a “feeling”.

But I found that “thinking” and “imagining” first, created a false feeling that lied to me. It was only when the feeling came first, without thinking, that the feeling was right. And my thoughts and imaginations were right only if they were
induced by the feeling, and not by association of thought resulting from what I saw or heard. Sometimes there was nothing in my experience to fit the feelings that came to me. Often I could not understand them at all in terms of words or ideas familiar to me. Still I “knew”; but I couldn’t explain it.

I feel it necessary for the sake of the intellect of those who have had no such experiences to explain thus at length the view from which my own are regarded. None were regarded as occult or mystic in nature; none involved mysterious unknown senses, nor were they “extrasensory” or “super-sensory”. Man’s relation with his environments, the universe, the rest of mankind, Deity, or forms of energy or life beyond his present understanding is regarded as a physiological, neurological, sensory relation. No responsive or imaginative activity is regarded as possible without a nervous
organization with a physiological foundation. And I have established to my own satisfaction by experiment that if I apparently “see” a vision or dream a dream that proves to be prophetic, there is no so-called “faculty” of prevision, or “second sight”. The “Third Eye” employed in such experiences is nothing more nor less than the “imagination” that every man, woman and child exercises to a greater or lesser degree. This “Mind’s Eye” of imagination has never, does not, cannot and never will “see” anything outside of one’s own physiological organization. Its sensations are entirely “memory sensations”. It is strictly limited to the momentary and fragmentary revival of past experiences as recorded in memory. Its one and essential power which distinguishes the complicated nervous organization of man from the more simple one of the animal, is the power of recombination by means of which the imagination can make new creations out
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of the memory-elements of old experiences.

Thus we symbolize; we indulge in fantasy; we speculate and theorize; we create works of art; we invent; and thus we produce a culture and a civilization. But as we thus change environments, we change our "destiny", and we change the character of adaptation which operates in the law of the survival of the fit. It becomes necessary to "imagine" correctly. It becomes necessary to adapt oneself to more subtle and more complicated environments. It becomes necessary to develop foresight, a knowledge of consequences; to plan, to prepare, to prevent. We find that only those who do this survive.

So now we have a law of the survival of the intuitively fit. But intuition needs to be redefined, or we shall have
Possibly there was a time when brute strength survived, but it soon became evident that a less strong and more sensitive nervous organism better adapted itself to environments in the survival of the instinctively fit.

With the appearance of man there was a new element: intelligence. Neither brute strength nor instinct could cope with it. The intellect that could make a trap, dig a pitfall for mastadons, and invent a gun soon became king of the earth.

And then what, as men fight each other as well as the elements of nature, to say nothing of man’s own creations which break his bones and blast him from the face of the earth? Do the strong battle and kill themselves off so that the meek shall inherit the earth?

Man now finds other than himself to battle. He builds cities, and the earth...
It is the last cycle; the final "Survival". And is it the strong who survive? Is it the cunning? Is it the meek? Is it —*Himself*.
the tyrant? Is it the selfish and arrogant? It is not. It is they who feel the "Feeling" and act on it. It is they who had a "hunch" not to buy tickets on the ship that was going to sink. It is they who did not build a city where Vesuvius would belch forth its lava and flames. It is they who do not buy or build a house below the future flood-crest of a river. It is they who packed their belongings and left the day before an earthquake shattered their home. It is they who do these things without even thinking "Why".

What is the "Feeling"? If we waited to use it until we knew what it was, we would be like the farmer who still uses kerosene lamps because he doesn't intend to use electricity until he knows what it is. The wren does not know why it flies South; but it flies, and thus escapes cold and starvation. An animal obeys a "feeling" directly, without translating it into words or thoughts of visual (imagina-
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tive) representation. Man has so far lost his neural relation with reality (by having substituted a world of words and symbolic representations) that he regards as abnormal those who retain it or regain it. He invests it with an air of mystery, and represents it by misleading words of special vocabularies, mystic, occult, theosophical, theological, psychological, psychic.

12.

The mystery is no longer in the physiological and nervous organization of man—not any more than in the construction of the Geiger counter. The mystery is in the so-called Cosmic Rays that act on the Geiger Counter. What are they, and where are they from? The mystery is in the source of Energy or Life that acts on the nervous organization of man to produce the "Feeling". What is it, and where is it from? There need be no other mys-
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tery. The organism upon which it acts is now fairly well known. New ductless glands will be discovered. Many functions and operations will be better understood. But in all its essentials the physiological foundation and nervous organization is well enough understood, in the light of developments in the field of electronics and radiant energy, to know that man is capable of experiencing "feelings" (independent of sight, hearing, smelling, tasting, touching) which emanate from sources known or unknown. Heat is but an obvious example, as well as electrical conditions of the atmosphere.

Beyond this coordinated sensitivity of the entire nervous system no further or special sense is required. It is superfluous and absurd to postulate mysterious powers of vision, clairvoyance, clairaudience, "psychic abilities", etcetera, when the normal powers and modus operandi of imagination and memory not only suf-
fice in explanation but may be investigated experimentally to establish the fact that one's so-called psychic faculties are entirely limited constituent to the contents of the individual memory, just as the constituents of words are limited to the alphabet employed, and my verbal representation is limited to my vocabulary, (i.e. my verbal memory) unless I pause to look up or coin a word for an idea that has not yet been incorporated in my verbal organization.

And yet I have had words come to mind and pass over my tongue in experimental conditions, words entirely unfamiliar to me, words in foreign languages, or technical terms that could be found in a dictionary, and some that could not, containing information which I did not myself know, and which was verified as correct. I used familiar syllables, however. I used the familiar alphabet. And even where I inscribed hieroglyphics entirely
unfamiliar to me, it was a composition of familiar smaller elements of lines and curves, shapes and angles. The fact still remains that my vision of these things cannot correctly be described in terms so vastly misleading and misunderstood as “psychic”, telepathic, etcetera. It was nothing whatsoever but imagination compositing familiar elements of previous sensory experience recorded in memory.

I see and correctly describe a scene ten thousand miles away; (I have done this under experimental conditions as recorded in my files). I see and describe a future event which occurs exactly as I described it, with only minor variations. What is lacking or faulty in my description is lacking in my memory. For what do I see? Nothing but my own imagination. Actually I do not see ten thousand miles away with any form of “vision” whatsoever. I do not ‘see” the future. My reception or perception of these
things is entirely formless, entirely a "Feeling", entirely devoid of image, word, thought or concept. What makes it intelligible to myself or someone else is the activity of my imagination which endeavors to symbolize, portray or interpret the "Feeling".

And what is the "Feeling"? That is the one great Mystery. That is the Quest. That is the source of all Inspiration, the Fountain-head of all spiritual gifts, the heart and life of all Religion. This is the foundation that science has provided for spiritual understanding: a physiological foundation for a nervous organization that responds to an unknown source or sources of energy in the form of "feelings". These feelings are neurological and physiological; not the activity of a special or occult "sense", but the coordinated activity of the entire nervous organization. The reaction is one of selective stimulation of previously experienced and conditioned reflex arcs of memory.
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The imagination interprets the "feeling" in terms of memories associated with similar feelings. Thus a complex feeling is broken down into its elements by symbolic representation in an imaginative composite of memory elements. Thereby we "understand" it.

13.

With this explanation we may hope to contribute to a better understanding of mental phenomena stripped of the deceiving terminology of generations of "psychic racketeering". Man’s "All-seeing Eye" is his imagination, and his imagination sees not beyond his own nerve-ends. It sees only the "past", that has been recorded in memory. Still by this means he may portray what has not yet been recorded (i.e. the future); he may "see" around the world; and he may explore the past before his birth in the history of the human race. And why? Be-
cause his quivering nerves are open to the universe and susceptible to innumerable Feelings. The feelings stimulate and thus clothe themselves in reawakened memory-sensations.

Thus we do not see the past, present or future beyond the range of our senses but we "imagine" it. And if our "feeling" is genuine, our imagination is "true".

Can there be a "false feeling"? Yes, when it is merely the echo of a past feeling aroused by suggestion, association of thought, memory of words: i.e. intellectual activity in general. The "Feeling from Outside" can bring you information of a phenomenal nature only when you are able to suspend all internal activity of thought. The "Feeling" must have an empty slate to write on. It must be allowed to select your memories, to shape them in your imagination, to choose its own words. The result will be instantaneous; and until you understand the language of
Feeling, you may not be able to distinguish such formations from your own thoughts. Or, on the other hand, the experience may be so pronounced that you will think you see a "vision", a "spirit" or a "ghost".

You may feel indignant if others call it an hallucination or "imagination", but that is exactly what it is; nothing more. Still, it may be a genuine experience, and the "vision" may be true in every detail within the capacity of your memory to provide the necessary elements.

To help you understand how this can be, and to help you to distinguish between false and true, the wrong and right use of the imagination, the false echo from the genuine Feeling, I have taken these pains both to record and to comment on my own personal adventures and research along these lines.
14.

Not everything is easy to explain, but we must avoid attaching the "mystery" to the wrong place. Within all seeds is the "design" of what they will become by growth and development. The creative power exists in the unrecorded. What has been recorded is already "dead". Thus the creative and progressive power in man necessarily manifests as a prophetic power, active in determining what he shall be, and not what he has been.

What has been inherited or already determined as a conditioned reflex, is of the past. But that which selects or chooses as in the power of selective absorption of a seed, or the power of selective stimulation in physiological man, is of the "future" in a function of "time" which exists solely as a biological phenomena of succession in growth.

Thus there are innumerable sources of prophetic "feeling" in man which need
not be the occasion of any "mystery". In our very careless and inadequate verbal organization we speak of wishes, wants, desires, appetites, hunger; of ambition, aspiration, ideals; hope, anticipation, expectations, faith, and so on. These terms are neither clearly understood, defined nor differentiated; and means has not been provided to distinguish between those sources of prophetic feeling which are inherent to the structure of our physiological organization, as in the case of animals whose cycle of progressive activity repeats itself each generation, and those sources of prophetic feeling which are not inherent to the individual physiological structure but which manifest in human progress which repeats itself in cycles extending through several generations.

To the latter we must attach the "mystery". Self-preservation is not a remarkable phenomena, but race-preservation is.
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The man who will fight to preserve himself or his family is not a particularly interesting object of study, but the man who will live his life and give his life for the sake of mankind and human progress is manifesting the Mystery that is the Religion of Mankind. What is the source of his "Feelings"?

15.

But to return to my own experiences, I have found that whereas "memory" is not inherited, (i.e., it is not possible to "remember" before we were born in terms of our ability to recall our own sensory experience since birth), we do nevertheless inherit enough of our parents, and through them of past ancestors, to manifest a "feeling" that is capable of arousing parallel memories in our own experience. And thus our imagination may approximate some condition or memory of a parent or ancestor before our birth.
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I make this statement on the basis of considerable evidence. Often, however, there is a composite of elements derived from both father and mother, so that the feeling is complex and the resulting imagination a mixture.

Just what caused my mother to dream prophetic dreams while bearing me, and not any of the other children, is something that I do not even attempt to explain. What caused me to dream at the age of seven, going on eight, on a night when I was "reborn" by a distinct psychological change, a dream similar to one my mother dreamed the night I was born one month too soon; that again is something I cannot explain at this stage of the record. And why we both should have dreamed that Herman was hanging on the wall, nailed there as if he had been crucified, might possibly be considered a coincidence, in view of the fact that the symbolism is not unusual in a Catho-
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lie family; and if we consider crucifixion to be a symbol of suffering it could certainly apply to poor Herman, a crippled boy from birth.

Nevertheless I can swear that under the circumstances neither mother nor I breathed a word to Herman about that dream; nor did we tell anyone else on account of father's attitude toward such things.

We could not regard the dream as prophetic in a literal sense, since it would be absurd to think that Herman would ever really be found hanging on the wall. At most we could regard it as symbolic, and at worst, as symbolic of death. But Herman was with us still; so, as mother said, that was the one dream of a series that had not come true, and it had upset her so much at the time that I was precipitated into the world in a premature birth.

Therefore our feelings can be im-
agined when Herman called mother one day, after a spell of suffering, and said, "Mother, hang me on the wall here!"

Shocked, and thinking he was perhaps delirious, she asked, "And why should I do that?"

He answered, "Because I want to die like Christ died."

Mother said, "But you are not going to die, Herman! Don't talk that way."

He answered, "Yes, I am, mother."

She put her arm about him, and they prayed together.

Then Herman cried himself to sleep.

He never woke up again.
1.

So Herman died just when I felt that I needed him most.

Now I was the only boy; I had no brother; and I was indeed alone in the world. For my father was working all day at the large paper mill; my mother was kept busy; the girls had their own interests. I was sent to a Catholic school; but outside of school, had to shift for myself.

And now I made some discoveries; first, that Herman was not "dead".

How did I know? I could not see him, nor could I hear his voice. But I very definitely "felt" his presence. And then, of course, I could imagine him by remembering him; and in my imagination I could carry on a conversation with him.

Was this really Herman, or only my imagination? Well, in the first place, what
is the difference between the first sense-impression, and the recalling of that sense-impression as a memory?

When the reflection of light from Herman which affected my optic nerves affected instead the silver emulsion of a photographic film, we look at the result and say, "That's Herman."

I recall the image of Herman in my memory and say to myself, "It's Herman."

Certainly I know that it is only my memory, and only my imagination. But then I think, "Well, anyway, Herman is still alive in my mind."

It was that way when Herman was still alive; when I was off somewhere and he was home. I could remember him then too. But now this was different, because there was a "Feeling". And somehow Herman, or the thought of Herman, seemed to be able to put a life into my
GATES OF THE MIND

memory and make me imagine things I never imagined before, all through that feeling.

The first time I felt it was a few days after Herman was buried. The feeling came first, and then I thought of Herman.

I imagined him saying, "Well, Joey, I'm still here in your memory, anyway."

I thought, "Now you won't have to stay home all the time, Herman. You can play with me."

And then in my imagination, my memory of Herman said, "Then don't remember me this way, Joey! I'm not crippled any more."

It was then that I realized I was remembering Herman just like he was when I saw him last. So I changed everything except his face and his eyes and my memory of his voice. Limb by limb I took my memory of Herman and made it over in my imagination, until it could run around
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like I did.

And then I was so thrilled by the difference that tears came to my eyes. The feeling became so strong that it burst out of my mouth, and I said, "Thanks!"

Then something struck me funny, and I said, "Herman, was that me thanking you, or you thanking me?"

Suddenly a joyous feeling filled me, and I laughed with it.

I ran out to play and imagined Herman running out with me. I began to show him all the things he hadn't been able to see or do when he was crippled.

It did not occur to me to regard it as anything other than a pure imagination on my part. I did not think Herman's "spirit" was running around with me. I had always carried on conversations in my mind; and now for awhile, instead of talking with myself, I talked with a reconstructed memory of Herman in my
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imagination. The fact that my imaginary and reconstructed brother occasionally said things in my imagination which I did not knowingly put into his mouth was a fact that passed unnoticed by me at the time. I took it for granted as something quite to be expected.

For example, I would go to the woods, and I would imagine Herman saying, “Well, Joey, we haven’t seen any Indians yet.”

And this would remind me that my chief anticipation on leaving Montabaur for the new world was the prospect of Indians. There was first a long coach ride. It was night, and I was the only one of all the passengers who stayed awake. I imagined Indians stopping the horses and saying that they would kill me if I made a sound or woke the rest up.

I thought, “But you were asleep, Herman.”

And my imagination of Herman
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answered, "Not when you were scalped, Joey. That woke me up."

And then I laughed, because I had forgotten that incident; but now I remembered that right while I was in the thick of my imaginary Indians during the coach ride, someone in the coach dropped something which hit me on the head. So vivid were my imaginings that for a moment I thought I had been scalped, and woke Herman up with my war-whoop.

2.

School made me nervous, sitting so still. One day I began to beat a rhythm with my hands and feet. The teacher told me to stop and asked me what I was doing it for. I couldn't answer her.

She said, "Well, if you can do a thing, you can explain why you were doing it. Now tell me!"

All I could say was, "I don't know." -52-
So she struck me over the knuckles with a ruler and said, "Well, don’t do it again, or this ruler will know a better place to hit you."

I sat there stunned and humiliated, with tears blinding my eyes. It was not just the pain on the knuckles. It was worse than that. I had not been long in the school, and I had looked up with admiration at the teacher. I had wanted her to like me, and now she had struck me

Needing some comfort, I imagined Herman, and said in my mind, "Was that right, Herman? Was it right for her to hit me like that?"

I imagined Herman saying, "Why didn’t you tell her, Joey? Tell her why you were doing that. Go after school and tell her."

"But I don’t know why."

"Yes, you do."
And then it came to me. On the way to America we could not afford first class passage, so we were near the engine of the ship during the entire trip. For seventeen days the rhythmic beat of the engine pounded its way into my system, so that whenever I became nervous or restless my feet or fingers unconsciously tapped out the rhythm of that monotonous chugging of the ship's engine.

Then I imagined Herman saying, "Do you remember how you tied a tin can to a string and let it down over the side of the ship, Joey?"

Then I thought, "Yes, I would draw it up full of water sometimes. But one day the water in the can was warm. And then it was cold again. I wonder why that was?"

The answer came, "Ask her. Ask the teacher when you explain about beating your hands and feet."

And so I did. She was interested, and
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talked about it with someone else. Then she told me that when the water I drew up was warm, we were crossing the gulf stream. She said she was sorry she had struck my knuckles with the ruler, and would not have done so if I had explained to her; but I wouldn't answer her, and that's why she struck me.

3.

As time passed I took more and more to wandering through the woods, studying all living things in my own way, speaking to them and making believe that they answered me.

I thought, "Everything could speak if we could only interpret it."

By this, even as a child, I did not believe that animals and trees could speak the English or any other language of spoken words, or that they had human qualities. (That would have been anthropomorphic!) But I did believe that every-
thing in nature had a "meaning", like a word in the Language of Nature; and that this language which we see through our eyes, hear through our ears, smell through our nose, touch with our fingers and taste with our tongues, was also the language that was in my head when I closed my eyes and ears and "imagined" things.

This was a language "without words", and this, I thought, was the one language of all the world, the language of thought itself, in which all knowledge could be expressed. I was forced to this language for my own understanding, moving from a country where one language was spoken, to a country where another language was spoken.

So I looked at a tree and understood it. I heard a sound and knew what made it without looking to see. I smelled odors in the woods, and knew what they came from. And then I found that if I touched...
something with my fingers, I could tell whether anyone else had touched it before me.

How did I know? It was a “feeling”. And then I found that if I let that feeling make me “imagine” things without thinking, I could describe who had touched it, and other things connected with it in the past. As time passed someone told me, “Why that’s psychometry. You are able to psychometrize things.”

I answered, “But that’s silly. It isn’t anything but what I feel with my fingers. And then I try to imagine what the feeling means.”

And then they would say, “But you described the whole scene exactly, where this object came from. You must see it in order to do that.”

But I didn’t see it. I saw nothing but my own imagination; nothing but bits and fragments of my own past memories. But what put them together correctly to
express the meaning of a "feeling"?

What puts the letters of the alphabet together to form words? What puts words together to form sentences of understanding?

No one could answer me. Nor could I. All I knew was that if I stroked a thing with my fingers until I felt that it was a part of me, like my foot, I could "feel" it, just like my foot.

There is only one way my foot can talk to me, and that is by a feeling. It may be pleasant or unpleasant, hot or cold; comfortable, tired or painful. My own memory tells me why, and what it means. I can't see my foot; it's in my shoe. I can't see my foot even if it's bare. All I can see is the dead skin outside. That's all I can see of anything. All we ever see is the dead skin of things. We never see what anything really is. We can only "feel" it.

If people were going to insist on
calling that "seeing", very well then. I could "see" better with the ends of my fingers and with my eyes closed. Also I could "hear" better that way.

To prove it, and to amuse my friends, I would hold my hand high, finger-tips in the direction of a distant railway engine five miles away, that none of my friends could hear or see. I would say, "It's whistling, only you can't hear it now." Then, "It's coming closer, closer —now it's going to whistle: one, two, three—" and whoooo came the shriek of the engine just after my third count.

"But how did you know?"

"I saw the engineer reach up to pull the whistle."

"But how did you see it? We couldn't even see the train yet."

"With my fingers."

"But you can't see with your fingers?"

"Of course not. But that's what you
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insist on calling it.”

“But you must see it in your mind, then. It’s second sight. It’s clairvoyance.”

“That’s just words. And what they mean to you isn’t true. I don’t see that train and that engineer at all. I’m just imagining it. What I see in my mind is a train I remember looking at one time from close up. The engineer in my mind is one that waved at me one time. That may be him, but I don’t think so and I don’t know. It’s the engineer in my memory and not the engineer in the train that starts reaching for the handle to pull the whistle. When he starts reaching I start counting. That’s all there is to it.”

“But what makes the engineer in your imagination start reaching at the right time?”

“I don’t know.”

“Well, I don’t understand it at all.
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You're a strange one, and no fooling."

I didn't like this. I would say, "You could do it too, but you don't try."

One time I said, "I'll show you. Let me put your coat over your head. Hold up your hand. A cloud is going to pass over the sun. You tell me the minute it does. Then after a few minutes tell me when the sun breaks through again."

When this was done successfully I asked, "How did you know?"

"Because I could feel the warmth of the sun on my skin. When it was cool I knew the cloud had covered the sun. When it was warm again I knew the cloud had passed."

"Well, what's strange about that? It was a feeling in your hand and you knew what it meant."

"But that's different."

"No, it isn't different. Not in the way you mean. Of course it's different, but
it's the same thing."

"What a way to talk! It's the same thing only different! That's about as clear as mud, Joey."

So I stopped trying to explain things for awhile. I didn't know enough about them myself.

4.

In school things didn't go so well. Not that it was hard for me, or that I got poor marks. But they didn't teach the things I wanted to know about, and they didn't talk the language I understood best.

What I wanted I couldn't express or explain at that time. My soul cried out dumbly what others before me and after me found words to say: "Give me the things, not words about things. Give me the thoughts, not words about thoughts."

So I could not bring myself to study then; and in a whole lifetime of research
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I have never been able to study since; to study things and nature, yes; but not words and books.

Thirty years later I dreamed a dream of being a school-boy again, kneeling in a dusty corner asleep while the other pupils worked their heads off studying the essential oils. When recess came, I went out and had a fine time, but the rest were too tired.

This was symbolic of my whole life. I have seen more lives blasted and stunted by brain-cramming than by utter ignorance. Hence I have always preached against tiring out the colt in practice before the hour set for the race.

Man's worst enemy is his memory if he has misused it. It was never meant to be a trunk into which to pack a lot of words and opinions. It was meant to record experience as a sample-case an alphabet of nature's language, like stringing a harp or piano, one string of each
tone. Then any melody in the world of music can be played on it. And even from a distance the vibration of another tone will produce a vibration in my instrument if I possess a string of like pitch to respond to it. I do not need to see, hear, smell, taste or touch it. The string in my piano is going to vibrate if someone strikes the same string on another piano at a distance.

But the string of my piano is not going to vibrate if I use the piano as a trunk and pack it full of words. The words are going to bang around on the strings so I cannot hear anything else.

As long as I didn’t learn from books; as long as I kept my memory from recording anything but direct experience, experiment and observation; and as long as I could seal off a part of my brain for a vocabulary, but refrain from using it in my thinking, then my thinking was not confined to my head. I could think with
my whole body, with every nerve and organ: then I would know the truth, for they would not lie to me as men did, and as books did, using words.

I wanted the truth to select its own words, and not for men to try to shape ideas of truth in my brain with their words. This would not be true, and it was impossible ever for it to be true; for that is not what truth is.

Every argument that I ever heard was because of someone trying to shape the truth by words instead of allowing the words to be shaped by truth.

Fervently and deeply I wanted the truth, and I could see that none of the teachers knew the truth; none of the books told the truth. It was nothing but words, and words about words. Brick by brick, word by word, I saw the wall being built around us children to seal us for life into one room of our brain, with only two windows, our eyes, safely guarded
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with prison bars of words stronger than steel that also kept out most of the light; with every other gate of the mind carefully sealed by a word: so that no feeling could be arrived at save through a word first, like putting gloves on our hands, shoes on our feet, spectacles on our eyes, muffs on our ears and a woolen padding on every nerve end so we would be cut off from the quivering, life-giving pulsations of direct contact with the truth.

5.

So I revolted; tore down the wall of words; threw off my shoes, both physically and mentally, and walked barefoot even where the stones were sharp and painful.

I went out alone in rain and thunder storms, praying to God to let me feel the truth that no one could tell me in words. I promised that if He could make me "feel" the right things to do, I would
always obey those feelings, instead of what other people told me to do when one person said one thing, and another said another.

When I got out alone like this, a strange feeling would sometimes come over me. When it did, then as far as I could see, everything instead of being outside of my head, seemed to be inside my head.

Looking out over a marsh where the frogs were croaking, I would hear them as if they were inside my head. They seemed to be a part of me, and I would amuse myself by pointing in a certain direction, saying, "One, two, three, — now!" — and a big bull frog would croak from where I pointed.

So far as the evidence of personal experience is concerned, it does not answer the question whether the seeming ability to "cause" a frog to croak at will was a real one, or whether I predicted
the croak.

This is merely illustrative. The problem comes up repeatedly in my records, as this type of phenomena is now an established fact with a sufficient number of reliable witnesses, so that the solution to this problem is one of the most fundamental considerations in the fields of science, philosophy and religion. To what extent does the mind "make" things happen, and to what extent does the mind foresee what is going to happen? Does the mind create thought, or is it acted upon by thought?

Has man deceived himself by extending his conception of biological time beyond the sphere of its function in nature? Does cause precede or follow effect? Have we perhaps gotten the cart before the horse in thinking that the cause comes first because of our manner of recording biological time in a reflective function of memory where things are naturally re-
versed as in a mirror or any other phenomena of reflection? How is it, for example, that in dreams the sound that causes a dream wakes you up, and that the dream precedes the sound that has “caused” it?

Then, again, here is an acorn. Overhead I see the oak tree from which it fell. I know that if I plant it, it will grow into another oak tree; and if I gather all the acorns from that, I can prove that within my hand at this moment I hold the means to produce a whole forest of oak trees.

The past is “outside”, over my head; the acorn has left it forever. Yet in the same moment I imagine the future forest of oak trees; and I know that at this very minute, though the chemical constituents of that oak tree of the future are in the air I breathe and in the soil beneath my feet, I know that the true cause of that
future forest lies in the palm of my hand, inside the seed (in the future of that growth), and not in the tree overhead, (its past), from which it has departed forever.

The cause of a thing is an action or a function, and not a position or sequence in space or in biological time. The old oak tree produced the acorn in my hand, but now the active cause of the future oak tree is in that acorn as its own future which becomes manifest by selective absorption in growth. The old oak tree is cut off from any possible function as a cause of growth in the new tree. The power of creation is the future biologically. The past is the memory of the body, the future is the memory of the seed. My dream precedes the sound that causes it, just as my backward is forward in the mirror; for a dream is a reflex of memory.

And likewise when by shock of emer-
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gency or will of intent and earnest desire we suspend our logic and reason, and revolt from our walls of words, then only our raw nerves are exposed to nature; we think with our spine, our hands, our feet, our skin. What is outside of us is now part of us, inside. We are in a waking dream; we are conscious on the other side of the fence; our actions precede that which causes them.

I say, "One, two, three —" and the train whistles. I say, "One, two, three-" and a frog croaks. And one time, before eleven witnesses who are all still living as I write this, (this was later in life), I said, in the midst of a storm, "Look at that tree, if you want to see something. Suppose I told you that I could make the lightning strike that tree; would you believe me? Of course not. But watch it.... One....two....three..."

And no one was more astonished than I when a bolt of lightning split the tree
before our eyes; for I was in a "waking dream" at the time, having abandoned myself to the spirit and enjoyment of the storm. The lightning bolt broke my state of contemplation, or whatever you may choose to call it; hence I was astonished at the fulfilment of what I had been only half conscious of saying.

7.

This may sound incredible, but I assure you that it is a fact of experience before witnesses, and only one of several thousand cases embodying the same principle. None of my witnesses are of a type to grant me power to cause a particular tree to be split by lightning at the third count of my finger. There are, therefore, only a few other possible conclusions:

(1) That as in a dream, my speech preceded the sound or event that caused it; in which case, our conception of and
relation to “Time” needs deeper investigation and perhaps drastic revision.

(2) That neither my speech nor the event were the cause of the other, both being the effect of a common cause; viz. the power that caused the event also called my attention to it, and through me the attention of others before it happened.

Either (1) or (2) with variations could be embodied in a theory of prophecy or prevision. We could state another possibility,

(3) That the cause of my speech was not the power that caused the event, but rather a power in myself, or acting upon myself, which could foresee the event without any causal connection whatsoever.

Still further, (2) might be clarified by limiting the “power” to a purely material nature. For example, we say that “instinct” causes muskrats to “hole in”
just before a storm; but reflex conditioned by a change or degree of atmospheric pressure associated with a consequence would account for it.

Moreover, I have turned one of my laboratories into a large electrical condenser, with an electronic ohmmeter connected between a metallic roof and the ground. The radiation resistance of this portion of space started building up one rainy day; and as the needle mounted higher and higher, till it could record no more, at one hundred million ohms, I knew without any "mental phenomena" that lightning was going to strike in the vicinity. It struck within two minutes after the capacity of the meter had been reached. Who is to say that the human nervous organization is not as sensitive as one built by man's hands?

Still, that would not account for picking the right tree. Nor did the meter tell me what my nerves now did after the
crash, when I asked, "Did anyone get the horses in before it started to rain?"

My assistant said, "I don't know. Why? Shall I go and find out?"

I said, "The bolt was so close it made me feel as if I were a horse. I imagined a horse leaping into the air and falling down dead."

My assistant went back to the barn and found that the horses were not in, as the rain had come on so suddenly. One of the other men was standing in the barn looking out at the downpour that followed the crash.

He said, "Yes, I know the horses should have been brought in, but I was just starting back to the pasture for them when it started. I'm just waiting for it to let up a little."

So both went back to look for the horses, and found two of them dead. One of them had leaped a six foot fence and
was several feet away without any tracks leading there.

In this case and others like it, I have had delicate instruments in my laboratory, in a temperature controlled room, which correlated in their functions with outdoor temperature and weather changes, but slightly in advance of the outdoor effects. It became evident that the instruments were being acted upon at once by forces which a little later, sometimes five to twenty minutes, brought about the outdoor changes; thus enabling us to predict them by a small margin. Changes in atmospheric and electrical conditions, for example, preceded local meteorological effects, as also atmospheric tidal effects on temperature changes.

Thus it seems reasonable to believe that the human nervous system might be able to detect conditions on the same
basis. But this will not account for all the phenomena observed. The imminence of a lightning bolt might be felt, but what explains pointing to the tree it will strike, and timing the flash to the second? What explains the fact that when a real horse leaped into the air and dropped dead, a memory of a horse in my imagination did likewise?

And if that which causes a frog to croak can act more quickly upon my nervous system when “attuned” to it, giving me time to count “three” before the frog reacts, how does this work with the engineer tooting his whistle, or a man doing what I say he is going to do without his knowledge of the fact so that the power of direct suggestion is eliminated? Did I make him do it; did I foresee that he was going to do it; or were we both acted upon by some unknown third factor which caused me to predict the act, and the other man to fulfill it?
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All that is established experimentally, (and this I have done thousands of times in the course of my research), is a relation of sequence with respect to the biological time of myself and my witnesses. (1) I state what is going to happen. (2) It happens. Is (1) the cause of (2)? Is (2) the cause if (1)? Are both (1) and (2) the effect of a common cause? Is the relation entirely fortuitous, i.e. just a matter of “chance” or “coincidence”? Or is there some other explanation.

For example, is it possible that our conception of Causality is in error, and that prevision does not imply Predestination; that prophecy and “free will” are perfectly compatible if not identical, in the sense that free will requires dimension in biological time?

If free will on the part of Deity or man requires the setting in motion of processes that require or constitute time,
the determination and the fulfillment of free will will be separated by a time interval which may vary from an instant in which you ask your neighbor at table to pass the butter, up to a lifetime that may be cut short if it is your "free will" to end it, or to violate the laws of health in a slow suicide of neglect.

In any case the aim of the bullet can be altered up to the moment the trigger is pulled; but once pulled, the bullet is on its way to a target that was not predestined until the release of nature's forces beyond man's control.

Since in every case free will does involve a time interval, however short or long, between its determination and its fulfillment, it is perfectly possible that prophecy is based on immediate knowledge or foreknowledge of the execution of free will in a determination which thus permits the manifestation of prophecy in perfect harmony with free will. Yet this
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has been considered a philosophic and theological difficulty of insurmountable nature, whereas it is in nature and human experience no difficulty at all.

The only difference between scientific and intuitive prediction is that in science the execution of an act of free will is known by observation or intention, and that in the case of intuition it is "sensed" or "felt" in a way no more "occult" or mysterious than the function of an insect's antennae, but in man by the coordinated activity and sensitivity of his entire nervous organization. And whereas science is based on reflective analysis and comparison of sensory perceptions and memories of past sensory perceptions, intuition is based on the automatic and synthetic coordination of man's entire physiological organization wherein by selective stimulation of reflex arcs (called "memory") a series of "feelings" is transformed into an activity of imagination.
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which constitutes understanding and provides a basis for responsive activity of the motor or sympathetic nervous system.

9.

If thoughts may be changed, environments may be changed. If environments may be changed, destiny may be changed, for there is a constant adaptation to environments. So “Destiny” may be altered by one who knows the laws by which to do so intelligently. This knowledge constitutes “free will” and involves “moral responsibility”. Not everyone acquires or exercises it, hence the present condition of the world today.

Most of us do what we do today because of the momentum of yesterday, or by reaction to stimuli without exercising the ability to resist or suppress that reaction. Thus we are governed by past and present, (i.e. memory and sensory reaction), which perpetuates vicious cir-
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cles, retards progress, and prolongs un-
desirable conditions; whereas the exer-
cise of “free will” consists of and entirely
depends upon a consideration of and prep-
paration for “tomorrow”.

The present moment is too late to
exercise this prerogative with any expec-
tation of altering the present moment.
We can alter our future in cooperation
with nature's laws, by considering be-
tween two possible courses of action and
choosing not merely the course of action
leading to the “most desirable” result, but
the criterion by which we shall evaluate
that “desirability”.

The mistake many make is in consid-
ering the “will” and “desire” as simple
things. They are not simple but complex.
It is possible to change the will by “will-
ing to will”, and to change a desire by
“desiring to desire”, (i.e., by changing
one’s criterion).

Man has two sources of desire and
will that are founded in two distinct physiological systems of conditioned reflexes. One of these he shares in common with all animals; the other is distinctly the endowment and distinguishing characteristic of man. Neither of these two systems is "free" insofar as the reflexes have already been formed and conditioned. The freedom which is denied to animals and enjoyed by man is the power and the necessity by reflection to create and modify the growth and development of further reflex arcs, (i.e., to make or modify tendencies, habits or hopes).

If we call this reflective and representative ability "Intellect", then this is the seat and source and modus operandi of individuality and free will. For the intellect may lend its aid as a modifier to either one of man's two sources of will; or man's two sources of will may engage in conflict for the possession of the intellect. The one is the will of ex-
perience, habit, instinct; the other, of the selective development of latent possibilities in the seed. One is the voice of the past; the other of the future. Free Will is the gift of Prophecy; and the gift of Prophecy is Free Will.

The moment you lose hope and faith your destiny is established, regardless of your will, like a bullet shot from a rifle, which cannot be turned from its course. As long as your optimistic hand holds Opportunity you govern "Fate"; but if you drop it through doubt, carelessness or pessimism, you are in the hand of Fate's "destiny", not your own will.

Thus religion, as the guarantor of hope and the guardian of faith, is our only organized insurance of freedom and free will. A wholly dogmatic and authoritarian religion, however, is a religion in name only; a speculative system of beliefs, not an operative and phenomenal function of faith.
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Free Will is the power. What man believes to be his "will" is but a dam for the capture and use of this power. All is right until he uses his willpower the wrong way.

This is the power of the individual, of governing the polarity of his desires by commanding the animal propensities or the spiritual sentiments. Thus he determines which shall predominate, according to whether he allows himself to respond to instinct (past), or to be influenced by intuition or inspiration (future).

Man's only escape from this fundamental conflict of choice has been a disastrous one for him: i.e., to reject both instinct and intuition, thus confining himself to the independent operations of the intellect, (i.e., to a world of reflective and verbal representations).

Within this sphere of purely intellectual activity, the truth is entirely irrele-
vant with respect to the physiological and psychological consequences of the reflective and representative activities of the brain and nervous system. For the multifarious combinations of memory-sensations create states of mind and motivate action without regard to their "truth" or "falsity" with respect to any criteria whatsoever.

Until we embody the physiological laws of thought in a Logic capable of correlating Language with Life, mere philosophic speculation is barren and without any probability of correspondence with truth.

Our only practical physiological means of insuring the correspondence of our imaginative activity with external conditions is by the use of special sensory organs in the acquisition of experience, the exercise of immediate observation, and the invention and application of apparatus in experiments. This is science.
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Our only practical physiological means of insuring the correspondence of our imaginative activity with external or internal conditions beyond the capacity and ability of our sensory organs to acquire experience, to exercise immediate observation or to invent and apply apparatus in experimental observation is by the coordinative activity of the entire nervous system as “antennæ” in the acquisition of knowledge by “Feelings” which are to be understood only by the selective stimulation of memory-elements in the activity of imagination from which all independent operations of the intellect have been rigidly excluded.

This is the domain of religion, not as a system of speculative belief, but as an operative function of intuition and faith which involves and includes the inspiration of all the so-called “spiritual gifts” including Prophecy, and all types of mental phenomena to which have been falsely
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attributed occult or psychic connotations.

The exercise of the latter to the exclusion of the former produces but half-men and half-truths: i.e., mystics and mysticism. The exercise only of the former produces but half-men and half-truths: i.e., sceptics and scepticism.

The materialism of science and the spiritualism of religion are each in themselves incapable of embracing the Whole Man or the Whole Truth. It is only the two together, functioning in one man not in separate men, that produces the condition essential for extending the capacity of mankind with respect to a universal consciousness, coordination and understanding.
It was shortly after the time in my boyhood when I revolted against the school-room and turned to nature instead for my lessons. I would play truant and go off alone in a storm, talking back at the thunder as if it were God speaking.

I would say, "If I call upon You, and still fail to find the truth and the true religion, it will not be my fault, because we have been told, 'Ask and ye shall receive; seek and ye shall find; knock and it shall be opened unto you'.”

I would say to myself, "If there is such a thing as a Holy Spirit, let me feel it. I don't want anyone to tell me about it any more. All I ask is let me feel it myself, and then I will know.”

I said this, not doubting, not asking for “proof”, but as a hungry child demanding food, not words about food and
pictures of good things to eat.

When I thought this way, a tingle would start in my spine that chilled me from head to foot, and then a feeling would go out to the end of every nerve in my body as if my heart were pumping warm wine instead of blood. I would feel a glow all over.

I would say, "Thank You, God!" And then the tears would come to my eyes because I was happy. I never told anyone about this. People wondered why I was always happy, and always whistling and singing; and this was Why.

That was in the Spring, and when summer came I was sent to a farm to work for a man who was kind to me.

I tended the cows every day, taking them a long way out on a road where I staked them to graze. This was the school for me. I learned more doing this than I had learned all year in school.
When it was time to go back to school again I became so nervous and restless that I was allowed to leave school and work in a Spring Shop for a dollar and twenty-five cents per week, to help my parents.

Thus I left school at the age of thirteen, and have never been inside of one since, except later in life as Moderator and Director of local school boards.

As for religion, I was absent from churches as well as school rooms, and for the same reason: I had found outside in nature, and within myself, what they did not or could not give me.

I have in the course of my life investigated every religion known to man on earth, past or present. I have enjoyed close friendships with leaders and laymen in all faiths; with priests, rabbis and ministers of many denominations; and I
must say that when I dug beneath the words and the various intellectual representations of doctrines and concepts, I found the same fundamental, universal Faith by which man sustains a relation to his Creator and the Spirit of Truth in a function of neural activity or consciousness other than "Intellect".

And when as a scientist I convinced myself of the irrelevance of truth with respect to the physiological and psychological consequences of the operations of the intellect, a conclusion immediately follows which dispenses with all argument. It does not make any difference whether or not the doctrines, the concepts and verbal representations are true, so long as the physiological and psychological consequences are favorable to man's spiritual progress: i.e., if they lead the various types of intellect (to which the various doctrines are helpful) to the establishment of a relation with truth in
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a function of faith that is more fundamental than belief: i.e., an operative, not a speculative relation with the Creative Reality of God, or Truth.

I have therefore devoted my life to the experimental investigation and study of the scientific foundations of the spiritual verities that are of necessity and by virtue of the essential unity of mankind in common with all religions as the essence of a universal Christianity.

Because I have found these spiritual verities to be operative and not speculative; and because in my own experience I have found that they operate in mankind through a physiological function of faith and not an intellectual function of speculative belief, I urge the support of all religions, with emphasis on the Faith they have in common rather than the doctrinal beliefs by which they differ, and which a study of the history of religion and the history of mankind will
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reveal to have been the necessary expressions of intellectual variations to insure the perpetuation of the more essential elements of man's physiological relation with Truth through the non-intellectual operations of a living, universal Faith.

3.

At the age of fourteen I went to Chicago with my father. My mother and sister followed later. This was during the World's Fair, and my father was employed in connection with one of the exhibits. Later my parents had a bakery and a milk depot in the city. I got up early every morning when it was still dark to deliver milk.

By this time my father was a citizen of the United States, and was employed at the government appraisal store.

Not going to school, I always had some time for myself outside of work. I used it experimenting; and my mechan-
ical, electrical and chemical "inventions" were a source of great bother and worry to my mother who was afraid of fires and explosions.

From time to time I secured work in various trades, in search of different kinds of experience. When I was fifteen I worked for a company that made window screens. Here I invented and constructed a machine for stapling the screening onto the frames.

I used to dream of having a wonderful shop, fitted out with every tool imaginable, so I could make things. I wanted also a chemical and electrical research laboratory and workshop. All of these day-dreams materialized, though some of them many years later.
During this time I began to have experiences with regard to which space here permits the inclusion of only a few examples.

One time while working for the Hall Safe & Lock Company, I was sent out to dismantle the lock of a safe that had been blown open by safe-breakers. I placed a drift in position and raised my hammer to strike it.

Now came the first experience in my life in which something happened in my arm which I could not account for as an act of will or reflex to my own thoughts. With hammer in mid-air, something held my hand so that I could not hit the drift. The feeling was not as if some outside force held my arm, but something inside the muscles. They refused to make the motion I had instructed them to do by the impulse of my brain and the reflex of habit. So I examined the lock to see
if perhaps I was hitting it in the wrong place to accomplish what I had to do.

Satisfied that I was hitting it in the right place, I raised my hammer again but could not bring myself to strike the drift. Then down my arm came the "feeling" that there was something there I shouldn't hit. So I pulled the drift out again; and behind it I found a dynamite cartridge that had been placed there by the safe-breakers, and that had not yet been exploded.

This was the first of many similar experiences. Again and again throughout my life, I would have lost fingers, hands, arms, legs and life itself were it not for an independent action of my muscles in making a movement I did not direct, or in refusing to make a movement that I did direct.

What was it, within myself or in the universe that had the power to move my muscles without my own will, or to pre-
vent them from carrying out what I had every reason to believe to be my will? I did not know. All I could swear to was that it happened not once or twice but again and again; and at the age of seventy it still happens — but always as a last extremity. In later years I learned to look for a feeling and to obey it in time to direct my own course of prevention. But failing in this, "something else" took over; and as a result of it, in a long life of activity, of travel, of driving various kinds of vehicles, operating all kinds of machinery, I have never had a serious accident, but innumerable narrow escapes, all owing to some kind of purposive or automatic reflex of self-preservation.

5.

Problem: *What is it?* I have friends, bless them, who seem to think that such questions are answered by muttering a name.
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I demonstrate to them the fact that I can attract and repel a piece of steel "at a distance" by means of another piece of steel concealed in my hand.

I say, "There you behold an invisible force. You can't see it, smell it, taste it, hear it, or touch it. Yet I can cause that piece of steel to roll away from me or roll toward me at will. What is it?"

Secure behind their wall of words, such people say, "Why any school boy knows what that is! It's magnetism."

"Do you know what magnetism is?"

"It's what you're using to make that piece of steel move."

"But you don't know what it is."

"Well, no. Does anybody?"

"That's what I'm trying to find out. Very few admit they don't know until I drive them to it. They solve all the problems of the universe by means of magic names."
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As long as things have names people are satisfied. As long as they can mutter a sound or draw signs on a blackboard, or stir the sign and the sound up out of their memory, that is all that is necessary. Look around the world and hear the torrent of mutterings like a perpetual hail storm. See the rivers of ink flowing onto tons and tons of paper. Man has built ships for himself out of paper, and sails out into the universe on a river of ink blown by the breath of empty words. Then when the ship of his illusions collapses, he finds himself in total ignorance. For now, without words he knows nothing; but had he not deceived himself, he might now, without words, have known all.

Some of my friends do not like this line of thought. "You can't do without words", they argue, "You yourself speak and write every day of your life. You have written a newspaper column for
years, using perhaps four or five million words. You can't convey your thoughts without naming things."

To this I answer, "But I don't think in words, and I don't think with the part of my brain that remembers words. I'm trying to break down the wall of words that holds you prisoner, and unbar the gates of your mind that words have sealed shut. I'm trying to show you that your fingers, your muscles, your spine, and every organ and cell in your body knows more than you do; and that there is nothing more ignorant in the human anatomy than an educated brain that has barred every gate of the mind except that associated with verbal reflex.

A man with such a brain is nothing more than a piece of machinery; his voice, but a phonograph record. It is beyond his comprehension (because he has no comprehension; only fixed ideas, concepts anchored to words); he cannot be-
lieve because he cannot personally experience what it means to stretch out a quivering antenna of nerves that pick up feelings and transform them from electric currents which stir up visual and verbal memories and reactions, into the echoes of a past, a living, or a future voice or scene.”

6.

It is not the knowledge of the brain which holds the hand from hitting a dynamite cartridge that can’t be seen, or that causes one to hesitate and miss the plane or train that is going to crash. What is it? Are we going to “fix” it with a name?

A name is nothing without a meaning; a meaning is impossible without understanding; and an understanding is impossible merely on the basis of a chain-reaction in our verbal memory. An understanding is possible only on the basis of
neural activity in direct response to the object or subject of that understanding; not merely a twitch in a brain cell which awakens the memory of a few words, but the coordination of the entire physiological and neurological organization.

How glibly the vocabularies of philosophies and ideologies, of sciences and theologies flow from the tongue! And how many know anything? How many really understand anything? Very few can define the words they use; and when they do, the words are dead.

We speak of hunches, intuition, presentiments, precognition, extra-sensory perception, inspiration, psychometry, spiritualism, clairvoyance, telepathy, divination, superstition, faith, the Holy Spirit, God. All these words are used to talk "about" something. None of the words, as defined and understood by anyone I have ever talked with, adequately represent what they are talking about, because
the words have not been coined by men who know or understand adequately what they are trying to name.

Public conception of the terms has been deformed by the operation of "psychic racketeers" who have capitalized on the credulity and the hunger of the people for truth, by deceiving them with tricks. I have investigated these things and I know all these tricks. One of the purposes of this commentary is to attempt to rescue the truth, and to restore understanding and faith in man's God-given spiritual gifts, so that "each may prophesy, that each may be comforted" for himself without being deceived by charlatans and false prophets; and without being dependent upon the self-assumed authority of others for what he may seek and find and feel and know for himself.
One day when I was walking down the street I felt very blue and discouraged without knowing why. This was unusual for me, because I was ordinarily contented and cheerful, if not happy, in those days. This was a new feeling, and I could see no reason for it. I did not know of anything that would make me blue. I felt that way all day, and I could not identify or interpret the feeling. My imagination was no help to me now.

That night my father asked me what ailed me. I said I did not know. He insisted that if I was unhappy there must be a reason for it, and he wanted to know what it was.

The moment he asked the question the answer was there. It was something about my father that made me feel unhappy. Now my imagination had something to work on, but I didn't want to tell him about it, because now in his
presence I felt and imagined that he was going to die, and that was what made me feel so upset and unhappy.

However, he forced me to tell him that I was afraid he was going to die suddenly; within two weeks. And then he punished me for dabbling with such nonsense, and said he thought I had gotten over that sort of thing long ago.

For the moment my father convinced me that I was wrong, because I hoped I was wrong. So for the next few days I tried to put it out of my mind. At least I never spoke of it. But early in the second week my father came down suddenly with a fever which developed into typhoid pneumonia. At the end of two weeks he was gone.

Overnight my boyhood was over. I was now the only man of the family. I went to work to help support my mother and sister.
Shortly after my father's death my mother met friends who attended "Spiritualist" meetings. She accompanied them one time, and told us at home of what she had heard and seen. I could not believe her, and was curious to find out how much of it was true.

So I went to see this medium of whom my mother and her friends were speaking so enthusiastically. I was sorely disappointed. Before the seance was over I had detected and knew how all of the tricks were done by which the public was being deceived.

Here I do not wish to be misunderstood. The fact that I found one medium fraudulent was not grounds enough to form a judgment that all mediums were fraudulent. But the fact that the first medium I ever met was fraudulent is sufficient to explain why I avoided all seances on general principles until I made
up my mind to investigate and expose the tricks for the sake of the truth which did exist, and which I felt needed no "stage-trimmings".

Later on I met a number of very sincere mediums whom I judged to be honest but to some extent self-deceived. Also I met a few who confessed their tricks, and justified them by saying, "We use a trick to make people believe a truth, because the people cannot understand and will not believe the truth without the trick."

I cannot here include details of my later investigations along these lines, but I must say that while my own personal experience convinced me absolutely of the truth of Immortality, the reality of survival, the fact that death does not end all, and the reality of a type of communication based on "Feeling" such as might take place also between two living persons who are attuned by bonds of love and affection. I have yet to be convinced.
of any form of "materialization", trumpet blowing, slate-writing, spirit-photography, etcetera. And at the time I am speaking of, in the city of Chicago, this is just about all that spiritism consisted of; and in every instance where I was a witness I privately exposed the trick and revealed how it was done. And I can assure you it was not done by a "spirit".

Yet at the same time I frequently "felt" the presence of my father; the feeling revived a memory, and I could imagine him walking along beside me. I could "talk" with him by saying something and "imagining" what he might say in return.

If I had been willing to deceive myself as some mediums were, I could have said, "I see my father, and he tells me so and so." But I did not see my father. What I "saw" was a memory of my father. He did not speak to me at all. The words were out of my own verbal mem-
ory, and I put them into the mouth of the memory of my father in my imagination. Then how could I explain it when the memory of my father in my imagination told me things I did not myself know, and that only my mother knew?

It all comes back to the “feeling” again. So far as I could see the only link between the living and the dead, the seen and the unseen, was a “feeling”, just as the only link between two telegraph operators is the current in the wires. The click that the receiver hears is not the click that the sender hears. It is a different “click”. You do not hear the voice of your friend over a telephone; what you hear is a vibration in your receiver which sounds like your friend’s voice.

9.

Perhaps there do exist people who think that the voices they hear in their radio are the voices of the broadcasters.
a thousand miles away; but of course that is not true. What we hear is the vibration of a diaphragm in the magnavox and not the vibration of the larynx of the person who is speaking.

And perhaps people who watch the images on a television screen are really under the illusion that they are seeing the faces, forms and movements of the players in the broadcasting studio; if so they are deceiving themselves like the mediums who think they "see" spirits and "hear" voices.

You see nothing on a television screen but the variations of intensity of a spot of light which is moving with such great rapidity that it creates the illusion of sustained vision; and the distribution of light-intensity throughout the field, being determined by the reflection of light from the players and scene in the studio, deceives your optic nerves into believing you "see" the players. But how is this
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done from a distance, "without any wires" and "through the air"?

Answer that and you will have an adequate explanation of all so-called mental phenomena: with the sympathetic nervous system as antennae, the imagination as amplifier and television screen: and what you see in your mind's eye of imagination is nothing but the flickering composite of your own memory elements.

Whether or not this "means" anything more than your memory depends entirely on whether you can turn the switch in your nervous system which reverses the current so that the nervous system is acting on the memory and not the memory on the nervous system.

If the nervous system is acting on the memory, then your "feeling" manifests in imagination by selective stimulation of memory-elements to form an "image" or a succession of remembered sounds. Then
just as a seed manifests what it contains by selective absorption of chemical elements from the soil and air, so does a thought or a "truth" or a "spirit", or whatever you prefer to call it, manifest in a "feeling" which translates itself by selective stimulation of memory elements or motor elements, into imagination or action.

At least this was my early understanding of the matter. At no time have I ever had evidence that a "thought" or a "spirit" could move anything other than a human organism and nervous system. At no time have I ever had evidence that either a thought or a spirit could be "seen" or photographed. At no time have I ever "heard" a thought or a spirit. All I can state from personal experience is that whenever a feeling originates in my nervous system without internal cause, whenever I succeed at the same time in eliminating all other influence, suspend-
ing all other sensory reactions; i.e., when I stop thinking independently and allow my thought to be “shaped” by the feeling, then what takes place in my imagination (though it remains only imagination, compositied of my own past memories) nevertheless corresponds with some external reality or event—past, present or future, without any limitation in space or time save the decided and very troublesome and insurmountable limitation of what my memory contains to contribute to the visualized representation which is the foundation of my understanding.

10.

If this view disappoints any follower of fraudulent spiritism, let him then take comfort in the conclusion that though a “spirit message” may not be a direct contact of a loved one, neither is the voice over the radio. But you recognize the voice and understand its intimacy. Why
not the thought of a comforting mother in the “Beyond”?

Of course it’s nothing but your “imagination”. But your imagination will tell you the truth if you seek with a prayer, (tuning in), and if you will stop thinking with your brain and offer up every nerve from the top of your head to the tips of your fingers and toes, for inspiration. What is inspiration? First it’s a “Feeling”, and then the feeling paints a picture, sings a song, writes a book, or solves a problem that changes the course of history.

One medium said to me, “I realize all that, but if I tell my people that I only imagine what their deceased loved ones are saying, will they believe me? No, I have to work a trick, and pretend that the spirit writes it on a slate directly. I can’t admit that my finger does the writing.”

But to this view I could not agree. The search for truth itself is far more
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thrilling, more comforting and more profitable here and forever than any imagined thrill or advantage to be gained by deception or self-deception.

Nor could I feel that this was something to "dabble" with, like a plaything. My friends would talk about books on the subject, and tell me that I ought to read this one or that one. But every time I was tempted to do so, a "feeling" would stop me, just as I was stopped from hitting the drift with my hammer when there was a dynamite cartridge behind it and I didn't know it.

The only book I was ever able to open without this feeling was the Bible, and there I found the whole subject covered in the 12th chapter of the first epistle to the Corinthians, "Concerning spiritual gifts"; and the fourth chapter of John: "Beloved, believe not every spirit but try them whether they are of God,
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11.

So when my mother and her friends became interested in "table-tipping" and kindred phenomena, I didn't want any part of it. Later I investigated various forms of "automatic writing" and the phenomena of hypnosis and self-hypnosis to an extent which space does not permit including in this record; and for reasons given in that connection I did not feel it advisable to experiment along those lines.

All hypnosis is fundamentally self-hypnosis. No man has the power to hypnotize another against his will, if one exerts that will. All that a "hypnotist" is able to do is to contrive by psychological tricks to secure the willingness and cooperation of the subject. The "power" is in the subject, not in the operator; and the success of the operator depends large-
ly upon securing the confidence, complete trust or fear of the subject.

Ninety percent of the people in the world today have spent the largest portion of their lives in various stages of self-hypnosis. The production of these states of mind in the people has been the objective of organized efforts on an incredible scale throughout the world. I have witnessed two World Wars that were directly due to states of self-hypnosis induced in masses of people by the organized efforts and propaganda of small groups of men. We have lived to witness the greatest psychological crime of all history. War would be impossible if we could break the spell of self-hypnosis which holds the peoples of the world in subjection to false ideas, ideologies, personalities and Words, in a state of hypnosis produced by psychological tricks. We must expose these psychological tricks. But that is another story.
12.

So many experiences I had when a young man made me realize that the ready response in my make-up was due to my harp of experience, such as it was; and that whatever confusion and error came into the picture was due to what I lacked in this respect. So I made deliberate efforts to enlarge and perfect this instrument of understanding. Each tool or instrument mastered, added so many more strings, enabling me to give an opinion based upon absolute knowledge. And as I continued to add to this supply of strings, I found a readier response within myself when seeking knowledge by intuition, or endeavoring to interpret knowledge acquired only through the transferrence of “feelings” from others, or from sources unknown.

I would meet a stranger, for example, and as an experiment attempt to describe his father who would be totally unknown.
to me; or some other person he might be thinking of. The correct description, of course, is recorded in his mind; and if I have registered one thousand faces in my own memory, there will be one among these that will now be recalled from my memory by the "feeling" I get from the stranger. This provides me with an imaginative description as nearly as possible like the one in his mind, but which I can sense only in terms of facial characteristics recorded in my own memory in connection with faces I have seen.

These things were thus all clear to me early in life, and I could demonstrate them. But there was one thing that long remained a question-mark in my mind; and that was the anatomy of prophetic intuition. For in my own experience the difference between past and future was that I appeared to get the information of the past as an inductive activity of my mind, while in the case of prophetic in-
tuition it seemed as if I were in the future coming back, (deductive), and with it a sort of reverential awe, a kind of ecstasy as if just returning from a grand concert, or a beautiful garden filled with music, color and perfume: a peculiar feeling akin to what I would imagine is caused by opium or morphine, as nearly as I could understand it. Once felt, it is always craved. But whereas drugs destroy in reaction, this seemed to strengthen, giving greater endurance, greater power, greater precision and command to all activity, both of body and mind. This is the "Feeling", (with a capital F).

13.

There is a less pronounced sensation involved in so-called thought-transferrence. I say "so-called" since in reality no thought, as we ordinarily consider thought, is transferred at all. Any thought that I experience originates in my own
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anatomy and not that of anyone else. I can, however, be caused to think a thought similar to that which some one else has thought, is thinking or will think; and in the same manner whole masses of people can be caused to think similar or parallel thoughts.

There is only one way I know how to describe to another who has not felt it, the feeling which distinguishes a thought thus induced, (i.e., thought-induction rather than thought-transferrence); and that is to take him in a car along a street he has never seen before. I cause him to lose his sense of direction, and then ask him to check up on his sense of orientation. I ask him to make himself believe that he is going north, say toward his home. Then I ask him to change the direction mentally, and imagine himself going south. He feels himself denying a supposed fact, and acquires the new viewpoint only after he has wiped his mental

-122-
slate clean by an effort to eliminate his previous thought or belief. In so doing he experiences a mental "sensation" that is akin to that experienced when a thought is induced by the transfer of a feeling, (not of a "thought").

The acceptance and recognition of mental activity thus not self-originated requires the voluntary or involuntary elimination of previous or present self-originated mental activity. In other terms you must stop thinking in order to allow thought to be "induced" from external influences. But whether your mental activity is the result of current direct from your own batteries, or current induced by the activity of your sympathetic nervous system in response to external influences, it is nevertheless still your own memory-elements that are stimulated to constitute your "thought". Therefore the term, "thought-transferrence" is a misleading one, involving a conception that
is not in accordance with human experience and experiment.

IV

1. As a young man I began to visit all the various denominations of Churches in the city, and to investigate all forms of religious belief and worship.

There were many questions that I wished to ask, but hesitated to state because I did not want to appear unduly inquisitive. I soon discovered, however, that if I asked these questions “mentally”, (i.e., in my own mind without putting them in words), I would receive the answer in one way or another, during a conversation or discourse of the ministers or speakers.

I experimented with this for awhile, without anyone knowing what I was doing. I received such strange and direct
answers to my mental questions that I was led to experiment in having others ask silent questions of me.

The procedure was to start a conversation with the understanding that my questioner was only to think his questions; to talk about anything he pleased, but never to state the question he wished answered. Afterward we would compare notes; and I discovered that it was often easier to answer these unspoken questions than it was to answer questions put directly into words. Moreover, my answers to nine out of every ten questions were correct. What was functioning here?

In the first place, not knowing the question, my part of the conversation was spontaneous and without constraint or concentration of effort as was the case when faced with a direct question that I was expected to answer in the same direct manner.

In the second place, at no time did I
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make an effort to discover what the question was, either by questions on my part or an effort to "sense" it or "read the mind" of my questioner. I refrained from this for the simple reason that when I tried it, I was obliged to "think about it", and my best chance of success was not to think about it at all.

Consequently I never knew when or if I had answered the question until it came time to compare notes as to the result. I would say or talk about whatever popped up in my mind during the conversation. More often than not it was something entirely foreign to the conversation; and consequently more often than not I really didn't know what I was talking about at all.

This was the origin of a deliberate effort on my part to apply the principle of "effortless thought without thinking" on an experimental basis.
The result of these early experiments, however, gradually got me deeper and deeper into a situation from which I was later able to extricate myself only by drastic means of leaving the city and seeking seclusion. Word got around all too quickly that all a person had to do to get the answers to all their problems and troubles was to have a little talk with me.

At first I was glad enough to have people come to me, without my having to go to them, to carry on my experiment. It gave me a chance to learn a lot about human nature, human thinking and the troubles and problems of the people at large. Moreover it gave me a chance to practice and further develop the rather unusual art of "talking without thinking". Now, instead of being obliged to depend entirely on "images", I began to gain a greater facility in drawing on "words".
in response to my “feelings”.

But the less fortunate side of this experience, so far as I was concerned, was that as many as one hundred people per day, often more than that, would come to the place where I lived. This began to consume all my strength and time so that it was difficult to earn a livelihood; and I would not “commercialize” what I felt should be held “without money and without price”. Further, many of the people who came to me were poor and in real need, with real trouble and problems of life beyond their capacity to solve them for themselves.

Also, the main requirement for my success in helping them was a sensitive, sympathetic attitude on my part, to which I submitted to such extent that their troubles were my troubles. I became bound to them. I could not refuse them what comfort it appeared that I could give. And I shall never attempt to de-
scribe what I suffered as a consequence of this; sweating with them, shedding tears with and for them; keeping my nerves almost raw so that I would not fail them; praying for help, if help could be had from any "higher power" so that I could meet these demands.

From a casual experiment I was plunged over my head into the midst of human woes, with people by the hundreds looking to me to relieve them from those woes in a world where war had taken toll again, and where charlatans had risen by the score, of all types, to deceive them.

3.

And still further, advantage was taken of me at every turn. Many came to me out of curiosity alone. I had not then developed resistance to this, and did not like to offend. So when business men came to me with their troubles, I was often drawn into considerations with regard to which I was not prepared by experience.
to understand the real issues involved.

For example, as the time drew near for another presidential election in 1900, William McKinley was nominated for reelection on the republican ticket, with Theodore Roosevelt, then governor of New York, as vice president. William Jennings Bryan was nominated for president on the democratic ticket, and there were a number of other minor parties, each with a candidate for president.

For a reason I did not at first understand the outcome of this election was considered to be "crucial" by many business men, officials of various corporations; and one in particular (within the circle of my "friends and their friends"), J.W.A. who was a member of the Board of Trade in Chicago.

The subject came up continually in conversations as the election drew near, and for the first time in my experience I found myself being drawn outside of
purely personal considerations into the whirlpool of national politics and affairs.

For the first time too I found myself wondering about these things. For this would be my first experience in voting as a citizen of the United States. At the time of McKinley's first election in 1896 I had been only nineteen years old, and it was only in 1898 that I attained my majority of derivative citizenship due to the naturalization of my father before his death when I was a minor.

So now I took the matter of voting seriously, and wanted to know whom to vote for, and why. But the issues of the election were confusing. From all I knew previous to that time, they should have depended largely on questions of principle and policy in dealing with the colonial possessions that were taken from Spain in the Spanish-American war. There were questions of believing in war or not believing in war, of the liberties and treat-
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ment of peoples, of the principles of democracy, the spirit of the constitution of the United States and American ideals in general.

But now there was talk of a monetary question again. That had been an issue in the 1896 election. The democratic party had sought to introduce a silver standard and the republican party, taking a stand for the gold standard, had won out. The result of this election and subsequent legislation should have settled the matter, and everyone thought it was settled. Even the democratic party were willing to regard it as settled and concede their cause as "lost". But Mr. Bryan, as the democratic nominee, insisted on raising the issue again. As a result of this there was an unexpected confusion in the minds of those who took their responsibilities of citizenship seriously.

Many who favored Mr. Bryan's views against militarism and existing colonial
policies; and who were also in favor of his concept of a Christian Americanism, could not, for practical and economic reasons affecting their private interests, favor his proposal for a silver monetary standard.

Many who felt it necessary to support the republican view in regard to the gold standard did not approve of what they called "the greedy commercialism" which dictated the Philippine policy of the republican administration.

The result was that there were many in both parties who could not wholly approve of either candidate. As a consequence of this there was great apprehension in the commercial and industrial world with regard to the probable outcome of the election. And into this confusion of issues and uneasiness of spirit I was drawn through the instrumentality of friends and those who sought to take advantage of my mental experiments.
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What immunity I might have had through a natural disinterest in politics was weakened through my own concern as to how I should vote in this, my first election; though I had nothing personally at stake no matter what the outcome might be. In the first place I was aware that this time because of the confusion of issues many would not vote at all. I considered doing the same myself; but then I reflected that it would not be a good way to start my career as a citizen. I asked myself the question, "Are the majority always right? Do the people make the best choice?"

4.

Suddenly I discovered that I wanted to know who was going to be elected. I had never asked myself such a question before, as a mental experiment. But now I did. I "blanked" my mind and turned my imagination loose to catch the answer.
from my "feeling". The result was a mental flash of a newspaper headline bearing the name of McKinley and containing a figure somewhat in excess of a half-million majority. So I felt that while I was in no position to judge the issues on the little knowledge I then possessed, I would assume that the majority were right and vote for McKinley.

If that had been all, this book would probably never have been written, and the whole future course of my life and thoughts would have been changed. But it was not all. In the course of my conversation with a number of business men including the above mentioned J.W.A., when they asked me questions concerning the coming election, I forthwith answered now what I had never been able to "feel" in their presence: that I thought McKinley would be elected by about a half-million majority.

I do not recall any special reaction
to these conversations except in the case of J.W.A. Upon that occasion, however, I experienced a phenomenon that was new in my life. After predicting to him the outcome of the forthcoming election I became suddenly confused, and felt a sense of panic and shock followed by such a feeling of depletion, shame and dejection that I thought I was going to be ill. I could not comprehend it. It was as if a light in my heart and mind had suddenly been extinguished, leaving me in darkness. The "feeling" left me which I had come to regard as an ever-present function, as much "mine" as my sense of sight or hearing. From that moment I was unable to "feel" or sense anything. I could only reason things out. My intuition had died a sudden death. Why?
5.

I cannot hope to describe the feeling of desolation that came over me. People came to me with their troubles, and I could only sympathize with them by common sense and reason. I walked the streets so people would not find me home. I went out alone at night under the stars to shed tears where none could see me, and to pray and sweat it out alone to find the answer. Why? Why?

The election came and went. McKinley won by a little over 800,000 majority. I bought a copy of the paper with the headlines I had "seen" in answer to my mental query that had somehow betrayed me. Then I found that Mr. J.W.A., as a member of the Board of Trade, had cashed in on my prediction to an extent that netted him a profit of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars or more.

What was the answer? As days went into weeks, weeks into months, I was to
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ask myself that question a thousand times until I had written the answer so deeply that it was never to be forgotten.

There was only one answer, and I couldn't squirm out of it, no matter how I tried to reason it out. My eyes and ears were mine to use or misuse at will. But the "Feeling" was a gift that I was not free to misuse without suffering the penalty of losing it. Perhaps there was some natural law I did not understand, and which I had unknowingly violated. Perhaps it was an operation of a "Spirit of Truth" or Intelligence, such as the Bible described.

In any case, whatever I had believed as a child, whatever I might now assume from a rational standpoint, coincident with "coming of age" as an adult citizen of these United States I was painfully faced with the fact that my nervous system had sustained a relationship with some unknown "Source" of inspirational
energy which operated only on conditions; that I was still largely ignorant of those conditions; that as a child I had not been expected to know those conditions; but now as an adult I was responsible for the violation of those conditions even through the instrumentality of others. Ignorance of the law appeared to be no excuse.

The whole affair appeared to originate in my conversation with J.W.A. Whatever the fault, I was to blame, not he. I did not receive one penny from him as a result of his profits from my prediction, yet I was paying the price for it. And he never knew nor could he have understood the price I paid.

Other men had profited in one way or another from the by-products of my mental experiments; but not to this extent. Then why, in principle, make an issue of this case? Was it because I had given away without discrimination what
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had been given me in private as an answer to my own question asked for a very different reason?

6.

In any case, here I was with only logic and reason left to me, forced to conclusions against which my logic and reason revolted. What I had regarded as a physiological operation of my nervous system, which involved “feelings” as tangible as those of heat and cold and electrical currents, had proven to depend only in a secondary sense on the physiological and nervous mechanism I possessed. Primarily it depended upon the operation or cooperation of something “Other than myself”, and I was undergoing a reluctant proof of this fact by having the primary “current” shut off. My prayers and tears and torture were of no avail. I had to think my own thoughts; the thrill of having them in-
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duced by inspiration was mine no more.

It was then that I knew what made charlatans and fraudulent spiritists, even granting that they had possessed some kind of gift. For if and when they lost it for any reason at all, they were obliged to go on by “pretending”. Because they commercialized it their livelihood depended on it; and when it failed them they substituted tricks.

It became evident to me that there was some kind of spiritual ethics that was not very well understood. So I made up my mind that I would prepare myself with a better foundation for making use of intuition if I should ever succeed in regaining what I had lost. And this included insuring my own future freedom and independence, with a means of livelihood that would not be incompatible with a continuation of my research, though not dependent on it from a psychological angle.
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To this end I went to work at any jobs I could find; spent all the money I could spare on instruments and apparatus, and all my spare time familiarizing myself by experiment rather than text books with the principles of electricity, chemistry and microscopy. I bought the finest microscope that I could obtain at that time; and because it was a better one than any of my doctor friends possessed, I worked with them evenings in return for specimen. Thus I started my studies of biology and physiology, having made up my mind that if the gates of my mind were going to stay closed, I would take up medicine and become a doctor.

But I did not fix my mind too strongly on this thought, because I still had the vision of workshops and research laboratory where, if my intuition did not fail me altogether, I would delve into the mysteries of nature that constituted the still unsolved problems of science,
and support this dream of pure research by an occasional invention of a practical kind.

Thus ended the first twenty-three years of my life with the loss of the "Feeling" that had led me through all, from childhood.

7.

Exactly one year to the day from the time my "Feeling" left me it returned again; just as if an electrical switch, long disconnected, was again turned on.

What this exactitude of period might mean I did not then know. It was as if I had been sentenced to one year in "jail"; a jail with only two windows, my eyes; and with all the other gates of my mind barred shut. For though I could hear, what I heard meant little. And though I could still smell the odor of flowers in spring, the experience stirred no response. The flavor of food gave me no pleasure;
my appetite was gone. Things that I touched were cool or warm, rough or smooth, but I could not feel them a part of me to interpret their hidden meanings as I had done since childhood. My imagination and emotions which had previously been ever-active, sensitive to respond, were during this year entirely dormant.

For the first time I felt the deficiency of my education; for now that which had been the source of my understanding was no longer active. I felt that I knew nothing whatever about anything at all. So I set out to learn what I could while working for a living along with thousands of others who were serving "sentences" longer and harder than mine in the endless treadmill of the civilization of a large city.

The story of that year would be superfluous to this record. Suffice to say that in that time I was reduced to the humility of realizing that "in myself I
was nothing", and that other men in themselves were nothing; that without inspiration all men were nothing but electrochemical, biophysical mechanisms.

Then what was inspiration? What was the "current", and from whence, that brought life to dormant nerves, vision and understanding to the mind? I could see that men did not realize. The blind followed the blind, and none of them knew.

8.

What made men great musicians, great artists, poets, surgeons, scientists, leaders, prophets? Was it the men themselves? What and whence the energy, the enthusiasm, the ambition, the hope, the faith, the vision that took the clay of the earth, the body of an animal, and raised up out of the mob a great and lonely man?

And why did men flourish for a sea-
son, rise up inspired and speak their piece to thrill a nation, only to sink back to the level of a beast again, with a glaze over their eyes, a palsied hand, a pathetic ghost of a once-great man?

Only now did I know the answer, in the only way that one can ever know the answer to anything, by a personal experience. My little light hadn’t lit up a very large area; it was the light of a boy, not a leader. I was not a great musician, artist, or anything else. Comparatively few people even knew I existed. But my light had gone out. And I could see in the lives of other men that they too had flashed a greater light than mine, but it had gone out.

We were the wires and the bulb, the machine and the motor; but without the “Current” we were nothing but that. It required a man plus “Something Else”. Without the man, the “Something Else” could not manifest. Without the man the
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"Something Else" would be without hands, without voice, without strings to play a melody. But conversely, without that "Something Else" men are but the clay of the earth and go the way of all flesh as a herd of educated human animals. And I could see that if man did not sustain a proper relation with that "Something Else" it left him as quickly as the snapping off of a switch or the burning out of a light.

9.

Further I could see that it was this "Something Else" which had been responsible for all scientific progress; and still the scientists could only dissect the mechanism, trace the circuits of the nerves and experiment with the functions and disorders of the organs; but science had not yet detected the function in its own progress of that "Something Else" which caused even the hearts of scientists to burn with the thrill of great discoveries.
which they ignorantly presumed themselves to be making because they rightly assumed that their thoughts and conclusions consisted of happy correlations of their own observations, experiments and sensory experience; but they wrongly ignored the function of the very Energy that animated them in the fusion of their memories as an activity of understanding, failing to realize that without this inspiration they could not have been led to make the discovery: that it was not "accidental", as they thought; and that were it not for the "Something Else", they would have gone the way of all the uninspired on the endless treadmill of the world's repetition of routine.

And still further I could see that Religion had developed a vocabulary with which to do a lot of talking and preaching about this "Something Else" which had shown what it could do with men now dead for centuries, but seemed careful
not to imply too strongly or to encourage the expectations that even granting the omnipotence of that “Something Else” it can do the same things today.

If the sun had gone out, whence the heat and life of earth and man at this moment? But with my own light out, I could understand the past tense of religions from which the light had fled: living on memories, doctrines and speculative beliefs. What else was left? What indeed could we do but cling as best we might to a lost faith that having ceased to be operative physiologically had become a legend, where people worshipped at an empty grave that was but a reflection of their own lives from which the living, vibrant “Something Else” had fled, leaving but an echo and a “word”?

So I who had stayed outside of the churches that could not feed me with a living God to fill every nerve with life and understanding; I who had said, “Fill
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me with the Spirit if there is such a thing; don’t talk to me about it” —now I could understand. My heart ached for us all. I too now lived on a memory that began to fade like an echo without a voice to sustain it.

10.

I say all this in hopes of conveying some understanding of what it meant to be released again from the prison of my own skull; the gates of my mind flung open once more, dormant nerves alive again, so that the whole universe from which I had seemed to be a separate thing now seemed to be inside instead of outside of my head. The moon, the sun and the stars, the trees and the people I saw in that moment seemed to be as much a part of me as my own hands and feet.

I shook hands with a friend, and suddenly felt a pain in the lower right side of my abdomen. Not having seen him for
same time I asked him how he was, and he told me he had ruptured himself lifting a heavy packing case.

I was introduced to a man and a woman, total strangers to me. When I looked at the head of the man I imagined for an instant that it resembled a long, high bridge. When I looked at the woman, for a moment her face seemed to me to be that of an old man holding a violin under his chin. When I laughingly told them about it, the man said "That is strange. I am working on the specifications for a new bridge over the Mississippi River. I am an engineer."

The woman said, "Why, whatever made you say that? I never heard of such a thing! I have been thinking of just such a man. I met him at a musical in Paris, and he promised to give me lessons when I returned. I am planning to go there now."

A man was brought to see me by a
friend who said, "Joseph, this man has heard of your mental experiments and would like to talk with you about them."

When I shook hands with him, a feeling of cold crept up my arm like a cold draft that went all through me and chilled me from head to foot. I was hard put to it to complete the handshake courteously, without betraying my revulsion to the feeling.

During the meaningless formalities of opening a conversation, I kept asking myself, "Now what does that mean? What does that feeling mean?" But my mind went blank, and produced no answer. That was the answer, and I didn't know it at first.

The man said, "I thought perhaps you could tell me something of what I ought to do. I have become confused in my mind, and the doctors can't help me with it. They don't find anything wrong with me physically."
I said, "Well, I can tell you what you are going to have to do, if you don't let up a little, and take better care of yourself. You are going to have to take a long rest."

"Do you think I should quit working for awhile?"

"Try it for a week," I said, "And then let's talk about it again. Take a week off at once, and just rest. Then come to see me."

But I never saw him again. My friend told me that he dropped dead at his work, having arranged to finish the week out before taking a vacation.

Thus began a long period of adjustment between myself as a physiological mechanism, of which I now had a better knowledge, and the rest of the universe in connection with which there was "Something Else" that appeared to be
establishing a relation with my imagination and memory through the involuntary nervous system.

It was not all clear sailing, and I proceeded with a caution I had not exerted before, as I was determined both to test out its limitations, or perhaps I had better say my limitations, and still avoid losing it again.

There appeared to be a "code" or language of "feeling" combined with mental imagery by which I could learn to extend the range of my interpretation of conditions. For example, the cold draft up the arm, and the inability to imagine anything when death was near and there was nothing that could be said or done.

Then too there were lessons to be learned regarding the conditions necessary to sustain a cooperative relation between voluntary and involuntary nervous system. Perhaps it were well not to spend time theorizing about it, but rather mere-
to state a few of the facts.

Some of my friends thought that I had suddenly developed a "conscience", but I had given that considerable thought and I knew it was not what they meant by the word. Conscience to most of them was merely a matter of childhood training as to what was right or wrong; and later in life, a social conscience based on public opinion and fear of criticism, "what people would think", and so on.

On the other hand there is a private conscience of moral arbitration which governs conduct even in solitude on the basis of self-respect, ideals and aspirations. With this type of conscience I was acquainted from childhood. No, what I was now experiencing was a period of systematic training, (call it self-training, if you wish), in which my voluntary nervous system was obliged to subject itself in submission to the involuntary nervous system for self-preservation reasons.
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The bargain that intuition seems to drive, is that it will serve you if you serve it. You must obey your intuition to cultivate it, to develop it and to retain the use of it. This is a voluntary act. In colloquial language, you have a hunch, and the hunch is an involuntary experience. Whether or not you obey it is up to you. If it is a real hunch or intuition you will inevitably regret it if you do not. These experiences will increase in frequency if you obey them; and if you don’t they will cease altogether. This is evident from case histories.

But to complete the transaction one must go further than that. One must recondition the entire system of reflexes that constitute habit, so that neither habit nor sensory stimuli nor the influence or suggestions of environments, thoughts, desires or purposes of other people can interfere with the function or execution of your intuition or your relation between
your inner self and that universal "Something Else". That must come before all else — "or else", in the final transaction.

If this had not been the case to some extent with myself previously I would have hit the drift with my hammer at the time when it would have exploded the dynamite cartridge I didn't know was there. In that and many other cases where I was not alert to exercise any caution of intuition, I would not be here to write this record if my involuntary nervous system had not been responsive to "Something Else" besides my own will, knowledge, experience or senses. My arm refused to obey. On other occasions it had done just the reverse, by making a sudden movement, to my own astonishment, to prevent an accident that I had failed to prevent by a voluntary intuitive alertness.
So now this proclivity appeared to be undergoing a period of calisthenics in a series of minor issues. I would start to smoke, and experience a feeling not to do so. If I heeded it, well and good. If not, my hand would drop or throw the match away before I could light up. I have never felt required to stop smoking but I was definitely stopped from inhaling the smoke, limited in amount, and prevented upon occasion.

I have never been a drinker, and all my life have believed and practiced moderation in all things. Therefore an occasional drink was always in order. But now I had the occasional experience, (apparently as a sort of involuntary “exercise”), that I would have a glass in my hand and be unable to drink it.

One day I was asked to join a group on an excursion into the country, and the prospect pleased me. A day in the country.
away from the city was something that I would enjoy. I had “Yes, I would be glad to go” already framed and on the way to my vocal chords, but it came out, “No, I’m sorry. I can’t go.”

“Why not?”

That stumped me. There was no logical reason. I wanted to go. I couldn’t answer and did not feel like making false excuses to the one who was urging me, so I merely smiled and shook my head. This met with an argument. Why did I “spoil the party”, and so on. They thought me stubborn. I said I would be glad to go, that I really wanted to go, but not just then. If they would wait until day after tomorrow, I would go; but not the next day.

So the whole trip was postponed in order to have me go with them. Next day the train we would have taken was derailed in a gulley; three were killed and many injured.
13.

This was my wages, and countless other occasions like it, for "playing the game" that developed and conditioned involuntary reflex actions to the promptings of an intuitive feeling. If I had not allowed myself to respond to the reactions which threw a match away before I could light a smoke, and stopped my hand before it could raise a drink to my mouth, I would have been without that hand and perhaps my eyes from an explosion, and I would have said what I tried to say, "Yes, I would be glad to go", and we would all have been on the train that was wrecked.

And still, it is interesting to note that in "playing the game" above mentioned, I have in the long run never been deprived of anything, but have been merely reduced to moderation in all things. First, however, I had to demonstrate a willingness to give up anything and everything;
to do things I did not want to do, and
to refrain from things I did want to do: all to the end of clearing the road for
the greater freedom.

Friends have thought that I was obeying an "impulse". No, it is not that. It is
an intuitive determination to follow an inspired thought. The thought is my own,
an activity of my own mind and nervous system, but an activity which would not
take place unless it were induced by a feeling which constitutes inspiration and
which emanates from "Something Else", not my own.

I have utterly failed from the viewpoint of science and psychology to be able
to account for the results of experiment in field or laboratory without that "Some­
thing Else". I find by investigation that men who can do so on a purely mechan­
istic basis are themselves merely talking machines confined to the electrical re­
cordings of their verbal memory. My
radio is mechanistic also, but it has to have a "Broadcasting Station"; and that is the "something else".

I confess there are no "call letters" to the Human Radio "Station". I do not know What or Who or Where the "vibrations" or radiant energy comes from which is transformed into an activity of the imagination by means of selective stimulation of memory-elements, but I do know that so far as I am concerned, together with my associates through many years of research, on the basis of experience, observation and experiment, on an operational, not a theoretical scientific basis, we have established the fact for ourselves that man's survival and progress on a level superior to that of an intelligent animal depends entirely upon his rising above the level of a talking machine and establishing a relation as a "receiver" to "Something Else".

Name it what you please, it will still
be the source of all inspiration, all great art, music, literature, culture and scientific discoveries. And it will still be what has produced the world’s scriptures and spiritual concepts. All the evidence we can deduce today tends to establish the fact that one Jesus of Nazareth and His apostles knew what they were talking about; and that the mental activity of those who think otherwise is confined to the reflective operations of the sensory and verbal memory. This is indeed a self-sufficient “mechanism”, and that only, but without any dependable relation with truth or the rest of the universe unless it is responsive to the “Something Else” which has the power to shape out of the sensory and verbal memory an activity of the imagination which corresponds with or portrays not only past and present, near and distant, but also future facts.

This is something that each individ-
ual may test out for himself. It is possible for any and every human being to "prophecy" if he will fulfill the conditions. The survival of our Christian civilization depends on it. It cannot survive on the basis of doctrinal beliefs or a legendary, speculative faith. It must be an operative faith, rooted in a physiological inspiration of prophetic intuition that will restore to mankind his heritage of spiritual gifts.

This is the inner nature of the present historic crisis, and I foresaw this crisis and described it more than fifty years ago. The survival of our Christian-American civilization and democratic way of life depends on it. Christianity will survive, but not the speculative churches, and not our democratic way of life unless history is supplemented by prophecy; and unless a doctrinal God is supplanted by a living God and a phenomenal "Something Else" that can enter our lives.
through our nervous system on a basis at least equal to that of the radio broadcasting which now perpetually enters our ears.

I have for a half century since the early period that serves the purpose of this commentary, lived my life to discover, to prove and to exemplify this truth and the conditions that make such a relation possible. But that is still another story. And it includes the finding of Mary Lillian, the building of my home and laboratories in the Valley of the Pines, the birth of my sons, and the records of my search and research for the truths we understand and live by.