## AN ENEMY TO SPIRITUALISM.

## JAMES BURNS

AND HIS

## SPIRITUAL INSTITUTION

Being Two Letters from Mr. Thomas Walker to the Spiritualists of Great Britain, in reply to certain statements published in the Medium and Daybreak by Mr. James Burns.

"You bore,
Till forbearance ceased to be a virtue."
LIZZIE DOTEN.

#### LONDON:

PRINTED FOR THE AUTHOR FOR PRIVATE CIRCULATION. 1880.

### LETTER I.

I REGRET that there should be any need, or that circumstances should have called forth this letter, before my departure from England, in justice to myself, and to expose the conduct and character of one who is, I sincerely believe, the greatest foe that Spiritualism can possibly have; because, like a snake, he poisons the bosom that gives him warmth and stings the hand that gives him food. Readers of his paper will have repeatedly seen his underhanded attacks upon me and his uncalled-for sneers at my work. Whilst I have been in England, many who do not know me and know still less of Mr. Burns, have been influenced by his paragraphs to think ill of me, and there can be no doubt but that, to some extent, he has succeeded in throwing suspicion on my character and labours. convinced that what he will unjustly do towards me he will do towards others, and having observed the disgrace and contempt he is bringing upon our cause, I deem it important, on behalf of others whom he has wronged, of those he would yet wish to make his pliant and contemptible tools, and on behalf of Spiritualism itself, to let the British Spiritualists who do not know it already, know who those are whom he "puffs" and who those are he endeavours to crush. Briefly put, then, he puffs for pay and vassalage, and he endeavours to crush all who exhibit a spirit of independence and desire to stand or fall upon their own merits—in short, he condemns all who do not directly or indirectly bring the grist to his mill. since I gave him to understand on my arrival in England that I would not pay for my portrait and for puffs in the Medium, and since I ignored all his printed begging letters, and since I informed him I had brought no money home with me, and lastly, since I had the audacity to tell him what I thought of his conduct—after all this, he has done all in his power to ge deprive me of success. He has done so most persistently and maliciously through the paper over which he has sole control. He has mutilated reports sent to him speaking of my services, has sneered at those he was obliged from deference or debtorship to others to insert, and has put in, from time to

time, paragraphs insinuating that I was dishonest in my pretensions and implying that I was unworthy of confidence or employment.

A five pound note, or even a lesser sum, placed surreptitiously, or otherwise, into his grasping hand would have produced a very different tune indeed from his dishonest fiddle. In fact, there was fair promise of this when first I came, for before he had heard a word said in my favour in England, he gave through his paper a few notes of laudation, but after having received numerous reports speaking well of my lectures, without receiving in addition thereto donations to his private residence and shop (the Spiritual Institution), he changed the key, and strung out, ever after, the basest condemnation.

Lest it might be thought that this letter is alone the result of his treatment to me, it might be well to state a few things that I know about him. First then, as I have intimated, his Spiritual Institution, to which Spiritualists are so repeatedly and contemptuously entreated to subscribe, is neither more nor less than his place of residence and business; his book shop, cellar, sitting rooms, and bed rooms, at 15, Southampton Row, London. Is this honest? The Spiritualists of Britain are actually asked,—the poor hard working men and women, believing in Spiritualism,—are urgently besought to send a portion of their earnings, gained by the sweat of their brow and perpetual toil, to pay the rent, taxes, and boarding expenses, not to mention the other incidental expenses, of his place of business, where he alone is benefited. If the Institution belonged to the Spiritualists, and for their financial outlay in supporting it, they, some day or other, were to receive a dividend, one would understand his unparalleled "cheek" displayed in his weekly begging articles. But when he receives all the money he can for the purpose alone of making the Spiritualists pay for his family's board, clothing, and house rent, and when in reality the Spiritual Institution is his family's board, clothing, and house rent, the support, therefore, of which ought to be taken out of his business receipts, then one begins to suspect "there is something rotten in the state" of the Spiritual Institution, or rather, in the man who so christened it! If everything is as he represents it, and all the money he receives from subscriptions is spent for the benefit of Spiritualism, why dare he not publish a balance sheet? Have not the Spiritualists a right to know how the money they give to him is spent? Does he ever show how a half-penny of the money he receives from year to year goes? Where is the printing press that was to belong to the Spiritualists on his receipt of £500 to purchase it with, some little time ago now, and which was to print his paper on his own premises? We ask again, Where is the printing press, and the evidence that the Spiritualists of Britain own it or ever have owned it? Thirty pounds of this money he received from one town alone—Preston, in Lancashire. Is the Medium now printed at the so-called Spiritual Institution? He may, and no doubt will say something about this, but will he answer the questions I have asked?

Again, Does he acknowledge the receipt of all the money his subscribers send to him? Will he tell us in what way he acknowledged the receipt of £50 from Mr. Layley, of Victoria, Australia? Did he do it otherwise than by sending a cabinet portrait of himself, with "Yours truly, J. Burns" written upon it? Did he ever inform the English Spiritualists of the receipt of that sum? Is it not a fact that he received money from Dunedin, N.Z., for books, and that he neither returned money nor books? And have there not been similar cases to this from Australia? Have there not been similar cases in England? In Ashington for instance? Has he returned them either the money or the books they ordered, though they have written to him several times about the matter? Dare he tell how he stands with the Banner of Light Publishing Company, Boston, U.S.? Is it not true that they will not supply him with any more of their goods on credit, though they once did?

We know that Mr. Burns can plead poverty, hard times, and anything but his own inability, mismanagement, or something worse for his poverty. But if he has to be running continuously into debt to the danger of his creditors, to be constantly borrowing money to pay off old loans, and perpetually begging from those whose burdens are already too hard to bear, would it not be better, more honest, more manly, and more straightforward, if he would step out of the ranks and allow The Spiritualist and Spiritual Notes, papers whose leading articles are not upon the poverty of their Editors, and which do not seem to stand in need of exhaustless contributions, a chance of enlargement and extended circulation? If he cannot make his paper succeed by fair means and in a business-like way, let him give it up! Better be honest with his creditors and tell them that he cannot pay them, than to go on incurring greater liabilities. Better to fail with the debts of to-day, than

to wait for the greater ones of to-morrow. It may be asked what business is this of mine? I speak in the interests of Spiritualism, and of those he has wronged. Can it be right to borrow over a hundred pounds from a gentleman in Preston, and to give his life policy as security, and then to tell that gentleman that if he got considerably over a hundred more he could see his way clear to make the Medium and Institution self-supporting, and upon such fair assurances to induce the gentleman to give up the policy, so that a new loan might be contracted with it? Can it be right to get my friend to give up all security for the money he has lent, to never attempt repayment, though the loan should have been repaid long ago, and finally, to have begging letters in his paper nearly every week since the new loan was made, and strong appeals from time to time, to send in subscriptions to the amount of  $\pm 500$ ? He got the policy and the new loan by assurances that afterwards the *Medium* should be self-supporting! Almost the following week he begs for monetary assistance! No opportunity has been lost since then to touch the heart, excite the pity, stir the generosity, anything-to get the money-more money—ever more! By what name should this conduct be called ?

All this in the way of his business with the Spiritualists of this country. It may be thought that towards individuals connected with the cause, he is more just and honourable. so! What was his treatment of Dr. Peebles whilst in this country? Did he pay him his wages earned through months of labour, when he, Mr. Burns, had charge of them? What about the books sent for to America, in Dr. Peebles's name. getting that veteran's signature in order to get credit, whilst the Pilgrim became responsible for the payment? Is it not true that such was the case? And how about the treatment of poor Lambelle? Seduced by fair promises Mr. Lambelle left his home in the North, and went to live in London, as an assistant to Mr. Burns, where he was treated more like a slave than anything else, who ought to work without pay for such a Spiritual master. Dare Mr. Burns tell the truth about his treatment of the gentleman in question? Did he fulfil all the promises he made and pay all the money he owed him? And could not Mr. Morse tell a story if he were actuated by a spirit of revenge, or believed in returning evil for evil? But enough. though the list of individuals he has wronged might be considerably augmented.

Whilst he has disgraced Spiritualism by the mean, servile, and miserly epistles on the poverty of his Institution, he has weakened the power of Spiritualism to do good by condemning every organisation or individual effort, that did not just meet with his idea, or bring the coins to his pockets. Only last week he eked out his vituperation and vented his spleen—the results of spite—against the best organised, the most prosperous, and the best regulated provincial society of Spiritualists in England —the society that paid him £8 for a single lecture—the society at Newcastle. And all this because he cannot be Pope there, and make the society and its honoured president his tools! Trumpery seances at the Institution, where he has an interest in the admission fee, are praised to the skies, but a lecture which would educate the people is fit only for a subject to sneer and throw out slurs upon. He has divided the house against itself, he has set society against society, and put man against man. His policy has been that of the house of Medici, to produce as many divisions as possible in the state! He has made his paper, a public journal existing for the purpose of supplying the Spiritualists with news, an engine to injure those who might have been his friends, a power to throw dirt at those whom his jealous eye mistook for rivals, a means of ventilating his private quarrels, and of doing public injury to those against whom he had any private grudges. Can the man who does all this be a friend to Spiritualism?

The issue of his paper for last week contains a paragraph which, for meanness and downright determination to do me an injury, I have never seen equalled, even in those papers whose avowed object is implacable hatred to Spiritualism and its The paragraph in question has for its foundation a quotation from the National Reformer, stating that a lecture which I delivered on the French Revolution is, with slight alteration, a repetition of the words of Mrs. Besant's lecture on the same subject. Did Mr. Burns satisfy himself that this was a fact before inserting it in his columns? Will he inform his readers which lecture of Mrs. Besant's it is a repetition of? Will he be kind enough to print any paragraph of my lecture and the corresponding paragraph in Mrs. Besant's? The truth is, Mrs. Besant has delivered several lectures on the subjectsix or seven I believe—and I want to know which one is the lecture I have repeated. Certainly Mrs. Besant has lectured on the same subject, has given the same facts, and in the same order, and has gone to the same source for her authorities.

Where my lecture, then, will appear most like hers I can prove that hers are most like Buckle, Carlyle, Lamartine, or Michelet! Where two lectures are upon the same subject, and the facts—especially historical facts—are to be stated in the order in which they occurred, and their connection to be shown, and if to a great extent the view is the same in both lectures, it is impossible to escape a considerable degree of similarity. But that I have repeated one of Mrs. Besant's lectures I emphatically deny, and defy anyone to prove! It must be remembered, also, that the whole of my lecture was not published; that there were quotations from Burke, Lamartine, and other authors direct, which the reporter (Mr. Henry Pitman, of Manchester) omitted, to save space. These quotations, which can be produced from the reporter's notes, I can confidently affirm, without fear of contradiction, are neither in Mrs. Besant's lectures, nor in any other lectures delivered on the same subject.

But, it may be asked, why should the paragraph be put in the Reformer if not strictly true? It was owing to a correspondence in the Preston papers in reference to a lecture which I was advertised to give there, under the auspices of the Liberal Association. Advertisements appeared in the papers stating that I should deliver a political lecture on a certain date. When, however, the Liberals of Preston ascertained that I was a Spiritualist they refused to have the lecture and got another in my place. One or two correspondents then complained of the conduct of the Liberals, and made certain accusations against them, to the effect that whilst they would not allow a Spiritualist to lecture for them they had helped to pay the expenses of the Secularist lectures; had advertised Mr. Symes as the Reverend Mr. Symes, and that they were then granting a room rent free to the Secularists. Immediately after these accusations appeared, the Secularists received bills for the expenses of their meetings, and had to commence the payment of rent. Of course, I was made the bone of the controversy, though it was exceedingly distasteful to me, and undertaken without my knowledge. When the correspondence was sent to the editor of the Reformer it called forth the paragraph of which Mr. Burns makes capital. Now, there is every possible excuse for the editor of the National Reformer; and, though it injures my reputation for honesty, I cannot but believe that the accusation against me was made with perfect sincerity and conscientiousness. I only wish he was editor of a Spiritualist newspaper instead of the one he is. I know of his public career and cannot help but respect the man, however much he may feel inclined, from conscientious motives, to insert damaging paragraphs against me. If I can avoid it, by hook or crook, I shall never quarrel with him; for I know the good work he is doing in freeing the minds of men from all kinds of superstition, and in liberating the people of this island from political bondage. And how can Mr. Burns be excused? How can he consistently rejoice that I have been accused of dishonesty? Is he the man who ought to be thankful that I am alleged to be a plagiarist? The editor of the Reformer is an entire disbeliever in the theory of "Inspiration." Mr. Burns is an advocate for it. The consistent condemnation of the unbeliever is inconsistently quoted as a contribution to "Spiritual Science," by no less a person than James Burns, O.S.T., editor of the *Medium and Daybreak*, and sole proprietor of the Spiritual Institution!

But Mr. Burns goes further. He makes accusations of his He cudgels his irritable brain to add to the charge, and so continues:—"Mr. Walker travelled to Australia with Dr. Peebles, at the time the latter was busy with 'Darwinianism,' hence the 'Inspiration' of Mr. Walker in his lecture at Cardiff, on the 'Origin of Man.'" The implication is, that Dr. Peebles has taught me the lecture, or that the lecture is one of his. How will Dr. Peebles fare in this? If my Inspiration is from Dr. Peebles, from his tuition, from the Inspiration of the training he has given me for the purpose, where is Dr. Peebles' honour? But I can prove that I gave lectures on the Darwinian theory in America, before I went to Australia with Dr. Peebles. But how does Mr. Burns account for it, that Dr. Peebles does not believe in the Darwinian theory, whilst I do? His lectures condemn Darwin's views—mine support them. this contradiction if you can, Mr. Burns! I have now in my possession a paper written inspirationally on the Pacific Ocean, on my way to Australia, which Dr. Peebles, so much liked for its treatment of the subject, that he wanted me to publish it, and even asked me for it, that if I would not publish it, he might. Surely master and pupil stand in curious relationship Some of the ideas Dr. Peebles received through me on the subject during that voyage, I am sure, from my knowledge of the man, he will not be ashamed to acknowledge he has since made use of. It may not be known to Mr. Burns that every other day, or nearly so, Dr. Peebles came into my cabin during that voyage, to gain information through me

on the subject on which he was then busy. That I have learned much from Dr. Peebles it would be ingratitude to deny; but that he has ever "crammed" me to give a lecture, he himself will disown. Mr. Burns can, if he likes, in fact as he has done, call any lecture of mine on "Geology" or "Darwinianism" a "stock" lecture, but there is one comfort—it is one lecture in stock more than he has. I could, I dare say, if I had been trained in his school, have had only one string to my fiddle, and only able to play one tune upon it with all kinds of carping variations; but thank goodness my "Inspiration," let it come from where-so-else it

may, does not come from 15 Southampton Row.

I make the following assertion confidently, and defy Mr. Burns to contradict it and make good that contradiction—that I have lectured on a greater variety of subjects than any other man living at my age. I know this is egotistical, but I make the assertion in my own defence. I can furnish the proof at any time from my literary scrap book, where I have preserved the reports of my lectures. So let Mr. Burns talk about "stock" lectures as he pleases, he must at least admit I have a large "stock." And how have I acquired the stock? I was twenty-two years of age, on the fifth of last month—February (which I know many doubt, but any one can have the proof of the statement on reference to the Registrar at Preston; and I have a copy of the certificate of birth in my own possession). I have spent a large portion of my time driving horses, following the plough, and in the usual occupations of the farm; the schoolmasters where I received my education are living in Lancashire, and can testify to the fact that my "schooling" at the "National Schools" was comprised in a knowledge of the three R's. How then have I acquired my stock? In England I have lectured upon subjects Historical, Scientific, Ethical, Political, and Spiritualistic. I do not boast of this, because I am arguing for the theory of Inspiration, and not to sound my own praise; but I do ask, if I, at twenty-two years of age, comparatively without education, can acquire such a stock of lectures, and am what Mr. Burns would have others believewhat becomes of the theory of Inspiration? Where is Mr. Burns's inspired man after this? There is no shirking the conclusion—If I am not inspired, there is no proof of Inspiration among the Spiritualists. So whilst Mr. Burns is cutting the ground from under my feet, he is also hacking at the foundation of Spiritualism itself! This is self-evident, for there is not

a single argument which can be used to prove the inspiration of the other speakers in our cause, but can with equal force be applied to me, and there is no argument which cuts against me but will with equal force cut against all others. Mr. Burns condemns me as an impostor! Where then is his genuine man? Is it the person whose "puffing" simultaneously commenced with the acknowledgment in the subscription columns of the reception of half-a-crown? Again I ask, where is the genuinely inspired in the cause of Spiritualism if I am fraudulent? Let those who have heard me be the judges. If Mr. Burns will undertake to prove that my inspiration is a farce, then I will undertake to use his own arguments, and prove that all inspiration in connection with Spiritualism is equally Thus, whilst I am reasoning in defence of myself, I am doing the same in defence of Spiritualism. It is the cause of Spiritualism I am pleading at this time, when my own is made identical with it.

Nearly two years ago, on the 17th of May, 1878, Mr. Burns inserted the following in his paper:—

"Melbourne, Australia.—The Harbinger of Light for March has come to hand. The leading theme is the success of Mr. Thomas Walker's impromptu orations in the Prince of Wales's Opera House, which is now crowded, though the press have engaged in a conspiracy of silence to prevent the popularity of the speaker. Mr. Walker was announced to debate with a rev. gentleman for six evenings."

The six evenings were extended to nine. I have put the word "impromptu" in italics. He had no private spite against me then. My "stock" lectures were then "Impromptu Orations," and I was thought a foeman worthy of the steel of a reverend gentleman!

Now all that I have said is rendered all the more forcible, when it is remembered that he has never heard me give a single lecture. I am condemned unheard.

I will not do more than allude to the conclusion of the paragraph with which I have been dealing. His calling me "Little Tommy," and saying it is wise I am going "among the Zulus," is beneath contempt, excepting for the fact that I am going to the Zulus at the invitation of one of Mr. Burns's best friends, Mr. Berks T. Hutchinson, of Cape Town. Mr. Hutchinson had sent orders for books to me which I need not, unless I choose, forward to Mr. Burns. The books were to be sold or given away at my meetings in Africa. I did send to

Mr. Burns the orders, and yet his base ingratitude to his friend in Africa is so great, that he writes so contemptuously as he does of my intended visit there. Can it be possible he is afraid of my influence in that quarter and so gives me the stab?

I have now stated my side of the question. No doubt Mr. Burns will have something to say in reply, but if he condemns me through his columns, will he have the manliness to open those columns to myself and friends for the purpose of vindication? He knows I am to leave England next week, and so has made the statements he has when he thought I had no means of contradiction. My engagements were nearly concluded. I should soon be where reply was impossible. His columns were closed to me. I had apparently no means of redress for the wrongs he had done me. Knowing all this, can his conduct be construed otherwise than as cowardly?

And now, O Spiritualists of Britain! I appeal to you with all sincerity and with all the fervour of my soul to do what you can to remove this moral stigma from our cause. His paper is a disgrace to us, his conduct is worse—it may prove our curse. His selfish ends first!—Spiritualism (to get them) after! No man, however pure or genuine, however enthusiastic or devoted, is safe from his abuse, unless he be willing to pay for his just rights: to offer bribery for what is justly his due. Lecturers are to be his agents or victims; Mediums, his taxpayers or his enemies. All must be beneath his paws, or his fury knows no bounds. The cause which is growing great on the broad continent of America and on the lovely Islands of the southern seas, is in England converted into an agency for supporting a sort of workhouse for him and his minions. Every one who knows what he is, shares his guilt by helping to preserve his power. I feel sure that this cannot last long. must come down from the post he occupies ere, at least, many years have sped. Those who, by refraining to support him, help to bring him to his level and his senses,—who help to take him from the position he is not qualified to fill,—will be doing a service to Spiritualism—nay, to humanity itself.

Let me, just before closing, ask you to view this hasty letter with some charity; I have had to write it hurriedly, as my time was short in England. Its composition may be faulty, but it aims at doing good, at defending the truth, exposing injustice, and at opening the eyes of those too trustful to the cruelty, hypocrisy, and hatred which can emanate from him who makes

the highest pretensions of any in our cause. Read it, and ponder over it, but give it not into the hands of our foes. I leave the rest to your judgment.

Yours truly,

THOMAS WALKER.

BLACKBURN, March, 8th, 1880.

## LETTER II.

SINCE I wrote my previous letter, and gave it into the printer's hands, Mr. Burns has treated his readers to another abusive article headed, "Advertisement. Master Thomas Walker, Boy Orator." It is full of base insinuations, and abounds with "Spiritual Institution" charity. His reason for writing the article he takes especial care to inform us is, "Master Walker is about to leave us; and, as I do not approve of speaking behind backs, I think it best to make my statement now." One cannot but feel thankful that he tells us this, for had he not done so, we should have gathered from the tone of his article that the reason why he felt it necessary to throw "Spiritual Institution" mud at me was because he felt that my visit to England had "injured" his Institution by diminishing the annual subscriptions.

But let us take the version he gives us, and see if he has afforded us a good illustration of "not speaking behind backs." Let us see how far short of "speaking behind backs" his article comes.

I. The *Medium* was published on Friday. I left England on the following Tuesday. Therefore three days were allowed for me to reply! But how? Through the spiritual press? I could not do this, for the first publication after the issue of his article would be on the following Friday, and then I should be

away from England on my voyage to Africa! I say, even if the columns of his paper had been open to me, I had no means of replying to his charges whilst I was in England. So the effect is the same as though the article had been written a week or a month after my departure, for I could then do what I am doing now—send my letter home for publication. Is it not then tantamount to speaking behind my back?

II. I had concluded all my engagements but two. I had only to lecture in Burnley and London after the article was written. I had no means, therefore, of verbally replying to his Had he written this abuse three months ago, I production. could then have paid visits to all the provincial towns and have given my version of his conduct, which I can so substantiate by living witnesses, that I should have left those his enemies whom he sought to make mine. But he left his abuse until the very last week, when if I even wished to write letters to my friends I could not, when, so far as he knew or thought to the contrary, there were no possible means of replying. It was impossible to revisit the towns the Medium would reach, and impossible to write to them. If slandering a man after he is gagged (to use a metaphor) is not to all intents and purposes speaking behind one's back, what is? The course he has taken is just as cowardly as though he had waited until I had got away before he had spoken; indeed, it is impossible to conceive anything more cowardly! With the Medium as a weapon and protection, as his castle and torpedo, he waits until he thinks his enemy completely disarmed, and then he begins his work of destruction. I have heard it said, "There is honour among thieves," but there does'nt seem to be any among slanderers—at any rate, if Mr. Burns be taken as a specimen!

But his conduct is even more than cowardly. There is something in it which the casual reader will not be able to see. There is a meanness which facts alone can explain. Let us look at them. I am going to Africa. Mr. Burns has a most excellent friend there, one who, to my knowledge, has assisted Mr. Burns to the full extent of his abilities. He has sent him money as subscriptions for the Institution, and has been a constant purchaser of books, &c. He was, and still may be, a most valuable friend to Mr. Burns. Now, the idea of Mr. Burns was, that if I got to Africa with a good character, I might influence Mr. Hutchinson, as I flatter myself I have done others, to look upon Mr. Burns as otherwise than a martyr and

By my statements of facts I might open Mr. Hutchinson's eyes, and so prevent him being duped by such a hypocrite. "Thus conscience does make cowards of us all!" His fear in this direction dictated his epistle. To prevent me being believed he must send my published character along with me. He must, forsooth, give me "gratis" a published statement of my phrenological peculiarities. Says Mr. Burns to himself, I suppose, "If I send Master Tommy to Africa with a bad character nobody will believe him when he tells the truth about Not a bad idea! The same ship that takes him shall take his character for malicious dishonesty along with him, and since I have the credit of being a phrenologist, I can manufacture just such a one to my liking." And so he did; and, to make doubly sure of his plan, he shows the bold face, the face that knows not now the blush of shame, and bombastically writes. "He (myself) will no doubt admit its truthfulness (the article's) in every particular. Should he not do so, I have only to say that every statement which he may have to make derogatory to me is an unmitigated falsehood." Is not this enough to make a puritan smile? Mr. Burns is immaculate! Nothing truthful can be said against him! Go ye into oblivious gloom, ye saints, and hide yourselves for shame, ye embodiments of the virtues of the past! Go hide yourselves as do the stars before the glorious sun! Now march up, gentlemen! come and see the only man living so immaculate, so chaste, so charitable, so honest, so kind to his enemies, so forgiving, so unselfish, so obliging, so innocent, so devoid of malice that he never told a lie in his life, so fond of poverty that he would rather have it than riches, that he is a perfect paragon, warranted to surpass Socrates or any other such trash; the only man alive who can put his hand upon his heart and say, 'Every statement made derogatory to me is an unmitigated falsehood!' Admission—11d a week for the Medium and a small annual subscription to the Spirititual Institution!!!

To me such a statement made by any man would appear so ridiculous that I could scarcely help having a laugh at it; but when made by James Burns, O.S.T., my friends must excuse me if I cannot help but show how ridiculous the statement is. Mr. Burns says he does not want to be a Pope, but he claims to be immaculate and infallible, and that is more than any Pope in Europe ever claimed. So much then for his object and his cowardice in writing the letter.

Let us now proceed to analyse the statements he makes.

After mentioning my arrival in England, and the first meeting I had, which was at the Spiritual Institution, he says, "I was unable to make a report, but a friend made an excellent one. which appeared in the Medium, and on the following week it was rather ungratefully commented on by Mr. Walker." I have put two words in italics. Is Mr. Chapman a friend of Mr. Burns? He was then, I admit, for he was helping to get Mr. Burns a security for a loan, but does Mr. Burns acknowledge him as a friend now? That friend, having served Mr. Burns's purpose, has been turned adrift, and is now treated as an enemy. Deny this, if you can, Mr. Burns. It will be gathered from reading the paragraph I am now quoting from, that Mr. Burns was too unwell to be present at the meeting. How, then, does he know that his friend made an "excellent" report? If he had heard the lecture he might be excused for saying so, but he did not. I have no doubt but that he thought so, for he wrote to me characterising it as such, and informing me that he could spare a number of copies of the Medium with it in. I wrote back to him saving I could not take extra copies of that week's Medium, as I could not send it away, inasmuch as it contained many inaccuracies. I wrote a letter to the Medium, making what I felt were absolutely necessary corrections. For instance, it was stated in the report that I met warm friends at Brabazon! Well. Mr. Brabazon was one of the friends whom I met at Auckland, N.Z.! Other mistakes of a like nature I simply corrected. is what Mr. Burns calls "ungrateful commenting." Was I to let the report go to the world with what many of my friends know was untrue in it? Of course such statements as it contained, if fathered by me, would be deliberate untruths; but, if corrected, they would simply be mistakes on the part of the reporter, who did not take a single note during the lecture, but had to put it together afterwards from memory. Was it not my absolute duty to make the corrections? Yet Mr. Burns is so accustomed to let mis-statements go to the public that he calls me ungrateful for making necessary corrections!

The article continues—"I had a good deal of talk with him about his work and development. He showed me his rings. studs, and other pretty play-things, and I was quite pleased to see them." What, Oh, James Burns! pleased with seeing playthings? Come, we are on a level for once! If he was pleased to see them, what must he have been to have had them?

Now, I should not have noticed this part of his article, had

it not been that I take it as an insult to those who have given me such tokens of their good will to me, as Mr. Burns is pleased to call play-things! A la Mr. Fox, "The grapes are sour." Not the intrinsic value of a ring, a stud, a watch, an album, or a writing-desk do I value, but I do value the spirit which prompted every gift I have received. Each one reminds me of an absent friend, and brings back to my memory the kindness I have received from strangers in other lands. one takes me back again into the presence of those who, in spite of my own unworthiness, have ever done all in their power to make my visit amongst them happy, friendly, and Thus, the presents and testimonials I have received are sacred to me. They are keys that unlock the doors of pleasant memories, and awaken all the dearest associations of the past. They are charmed things by means of which, as by the magic rings of the Arabian tales, or the lamp of Aladdin, we bring into our presence again that which we most love or desire. They constitute the visible tribute of friendship: they are the magic mirror looking into which we can once more see the friendly face of the absent giver. However much accustomed, therefore, Mr. Burns may be, to regard any gift he may have received (given by some friend he may have had as a token of esteem) as a mere play-thing, I cannot be guilty of such ingratitude and worldliness.

Now comes a few sentences by means of which Mr. Burns strives to make me my own accuser. He insinuates that I am dishonest under the guise of perfect frankness. facts, the conclusions from which he would appear to be ignorant of, but hopeful that his readers will do what he does not do here, viz., conclude that I am quite able to do all Mr. Burns says, and have, in fact, been educated for it. "He had been studying under a tutor, a step which I warmly commended, and that he hoped to drop the 'trance,' and enter upon the open-eyed method of public speaking, upon which point I also commended his resolution." Now, the natural inference from this is that I have virtually admitted to Mr. Burns that the "trance" has been a sham, and that I am anxious to "drop" it. Well, if it has been a sham, and I am anxious to "drop" it, Mr. Burns must admit I am growing more averse to shams, and more honest as I get older. is more than can be said of him! Thanks, Mr. Burns, for this testimony in my favour. You give your readers to understand that my "trance" has been a farce, and now you say I want to

get out of it, and be honest and honourable! "But," it will be asked, "had I a tutor in Melbourne, and did I tell Mr. Burns that I was anxious 'to drop the trance'?" Yes, it is true. Mr. Burns tells the truth for once, though in a bad way. I had a tutor for a little over three months in Melbourne, and was learning how to parse and conjugate, how to reduce a decimal to a vulgar fraction, and how to construct an equilateral triangle on a given line A B! Yes, I actually thought so little of getting up my Sunday lecture, that I had spare time on my hands, and that I would employ it in cultivating my mind. If I had stayed in Melbourne, I should have continued to do so. I hope to be able to do so in Africa. Why? cause I believe it is our duty to do all we can for ourselves, to fill our minds with as much useful information as possible, and not be ignoramuses all our lives, simply because we happen to be mediums. "The gods help those who help themselves." believe also that the better cultured my mind is, the more readily, and with better effect, can it be used for the purposes of mediumship.

But did you tell Mr. Burns that you wanted to "drop the trance"? What I did tell him, and what I have often told others, was, that it was my ambition to speak with my eyes open, and to grow into the higher phases of speaking. I told him that one of the objects I had in coming home was to bring about that result. I felt that the "trance" did not correctly describe my condition, for it implied that I was entirely unconscious, whereas, every lecture I gave, I was becoming more and more conscious. Was it not honest in me, therefore, to want to dispense with the incorrect term "trance," and adopt the more correct one of "Inspirational"? I have, since I began to feel interested in the work that circumstances have thrust upon me, always yearned for the time when I might give a lecture above suspicion, when people should think me honest whilst delivering it, and when I might add the weight of my own earnestness and testimony to the matter advanced. have prayed for this and, I thank the angel-world, my prayer is answered. I have wanted to grow out of the "trance" in the same manner as you might conceive a child, only able to stand by the help of its parents' hand, ambitious to commence to walk by its own efforts. Besides, I have been anxious to be able, at all times, to defend our cause; not only when I was on the platform, but when I was off it. My work is that of the missionary. I have to take the "glad tidings" of immortality into foreign lands, and often do I meet in my travels those who are inclined to sneer and falsify. Is it not a laudable ambition to want to be able at all times to defend Spiritualism from the ruthless attacks of its enemies? Must I simply depend upon "Mediumship," which is governed by such subtle conditions often not procurable; or must I use, and so improve, the intelligence that God has given me? If I have wanted to "drop" the "trance," it has only been that I might throw away the scabbard, and so keep the sword of truth more constantly in my hand.

Do not suppose me to be despising the assistance of the spirit-world, or to be undervaluing it, because all that I can justly boast of in this world I owe to Spiritualism; but I do say that mediums should not be "nonentities," that they should do all *they* can, and, after they do their utmost, then

leave the rest to the spirit-world.

Mr. Burns makes it appear that at first when I came to England I wanted him to take a hall for me, and place me before the public as he had done Mr. Tyerman and others. I never asked him to do any such thing. I did, however, ask him for advice about going to London, and he wrote to me saying that if I wanted to come to London I must get some private friend to help me, when he knew I had no private friends. When I urged that, in his advertising columns, asking for subscriptions to the Spiritual Institution, he professed to furnish advice, and quoted the very paragraph which stated that he did furnish advice, he replied to me, "The Spiritual Institution is not necessarily an agency for itinerant professionals."

I afterwards complained of his coldness to me in his paper, and made bold to ask him if it was because I had not sent in donations; and the letters I received from him after that were, without doubt, the most insulting I ever received in my life from anyone. He complains of my letters to him. Doubtless they were not the most mild, cringing, and submissive letters that might be written, but I don't believe in cringing to a tyrant, even though he possesses unlimited means of doing me mortal injury. When I feel that I am in the right I fear no one, and will yield to no one. And every harsh word that I said to him, not publicly mind you, but in my letters to him, was provoked by his letters to me. He spoke of "the overreaching selfishness of my exactions," and sneeringly contrasted my conduct with pretensions to being a "spiritual hero," when I had made no such pretensions. He accused

me of being conceited, selfish, uncharitable, and all the rest; and I threw his phrases back at him, and I found that when they went home again, they fitted the first sender a little too well for his comfort. The cap he had made for me fitted him a little too tightly; his glass house was injured by the stones he himself had thrown. Speaking of my letters to him, he says—"I was astonished to receive letters from him of a most impudent and unfriendly nature. . . . The last letter I received from him I returned unread, and put a paragraph in the Medium to the effect that I could receive no further communications from him unless he tendered me an apology through some respectable person." Now, I again say his letters were more "impudent and unfriendly" than mine, and were the first to be so, and my "impudence" consisted in retorting the phrases he himself had constructed to wound my feelings. During the quarrel I asked him if he could look over the matter, and let bye-gones be bye-gones; but no! nothing would satisfy him short of an abject apology on my part. I must say I was very sorry for all I had done, but to make an abject apology, would be to admit that I was altogether in the wrong, and he altogether in the right; whereas, I felt it pretty much the other way. So I wrote to him that I might just as well ask him to apologise to me, as he ask me to do so to him. I felt I had right on my side, and I would not yield. I had offered to forget his insults to me-for so he knew I considered them—had offered him the hand of friendship—to forget the past, to forgive, what I considered, his wrongs to me. But no! this was not sufficient. I must place testimony in his hands that every charge he had made against me was just and true; admit, in short, that I was an ignorant, selfish, conceited professional. Well, if Mr. Burns has no principle within him, I flatter myself that I have enough to prevent me willingly prostrating myself in the dust to a petty tyrant. He never wrote to me again after I had given him to understand that I was willing to forget everything, but never to apologise for that which I believed to be right. A short time went past, and I thought it possible he might have changed his mind. I thought if he had a conscience at all it would have pricked him, and he would have relented a little ere that. So I again ventured upon writing to him asking him to make peace, and concluding with asking him the price of some books which I wanted, and was willing to purchase from him. Lo, and behold! the letter returned to me.

Where, in the world, was Amy Ivi, the wonderful clairvoyant? A letter with an order for books returned from the Spiritual Institution! It was an event unparalleled in the history of that world-famed establishment? And the next week there appeared a paragraph in the *Medium* to the following effect—"T. W. We return your letter to you unopened. The nature of our duties renders it necessary that we should guard against evil and annoying influences. If you have advances to make to us, you must do so through some respectable person." quite sure that I quote verbatim as I quote from memory, but those who have read the paragraph will know that it is not far wrong. When I got the letter back I read it to several of my friends to let them see the kind of evil and annoying influence Mr. Burns was guarding himself against. I have the letter yet with the stamp mark on it and date, so that it can be produced at any time as evidence of the truthfulness of what I sav. Well. do you know, kind readers, after I had that letter returned to me, I lost all faith in the Spiritual Institution! If Amy Ivi's "Daisy" with such an eye to business could not decipher a letter with an order for books in it, and if all the spirits kept for subscription seances at the Institution, mistook such a letter as I wrote, for something evil and annoying, I thought the "stock" "inspiration" of the Spiritual Institution must be of a very poor sort indeed?

Mr. Burns has still the idea that he can bring me to my He mistakes my disposition to forgive an injury as a desire on my part to covet his aid, because I asked him somewhat distantly when I sent to him the order for books received from Cape Town, if I should call for them whilst I was in He had an idea that he could force me to come London. and call on him in such a way as would exculpate him and convict me of everything that was base and mean. have called on him whilst I was in London if he had not written his last nasty articles, and if he had intimated that he would see me. This not because I wanted to solicit his friendship, but because Mr. Hutchinson, of Africa, had written to me requesting it; and because I was anxious not to stand in the way of his friendship with others, even though he had quarrelled with me. I say that what I intended for "charity" he mistook for servility, and so with a vanity common to him he writes, "If my young friend likes to call with a couple of witnesses approved by me, and express his regret at the injury he has tried to do me, I will forgive him heartily and destroy his

calumnious epistles before his eyes." If Mr. Burns waits until I call with two witnesses to see my letters destroyed before my eyes, he will live to be a very old man, I'm thinking! Besides, I think it best that he should keep them, for I also have kept copies, and his may be very good for the purposes of comparison and verification some day. If he thinks I am afraid of their publication he is greatly mistaken, and I now challenge him to publish every letter, mine and his, from the very beginning, in extenso! Not one here and one there, a sentence from this and a sentence from that, but every one just as it was If he attempts it piecemeal, I shall written and received. publish the whole correspondence myself with those letters appended which he returned to me. No, Mr. Burns, you cannot frighten me or blackmail me into your serfdom! My spirit is far too independent for that!

I have been not a little amused by comparing one part of his article with another. In one place he says that if I will apologise he will "forgive him (me) heartily," and in the concluding paragraph he says, "Though the unjustified imputations spread by Master Walker have been a great injury to me, yet I thank God that the youth is my opponent, not my coworker." He "thanks God" that I am his opponent, and yet he is willing to forgive me "heartily." Now don't forgive me, oh! noble inventor of the O.S.T.'s, or you will have nothing to thank God for. And if it is a matter for such congratulation that I am your opponent, don't make it appear that you are so annoyed or angry at it. What you claim to be thankful for you should not rebel against.

Here is something else amusing. "In this cause, dear friends, we do not so much want garrulous youths who work for themselves in public and the destruction of well-known men in private, as we want substantial, well-intentioned, honest men and women." Why don't you forsake the cause then, Mr. Burns? What pretentions can you make to stay in its ranks? Are you substantial? Are you well-intentioned? Are you honest? Do you never work for yourself in public? Do you never work for the destruction of well-known men in private? Certainly not; Mr. Burns could'nt do it, he "is so humble."

At the commencement of this letter I made allusion to the phrenological description of my character, and that I may do Mr. Burns a good turn by advertising his skill, I will quote his estimate of my abilities generally.

"Phrenologically he has, got an excitable temperament,

great gush of words, little restraining power, good perception, and a shallow intellect. With such an organisation no spirits are needed to enable him to talk away on any subject more than spirits are needed to aid in ordinary conversation. It is possible for a speaker to talk freely and even intelligently on a 'subject chosen by the audience,' and yet give no light thereon. The lecture on the 'Origin of the Human Race,' reported in the *Medium*, was a case in point. The body of it, taken from books, was all right, but the logical arch-stone, which real inspiration would have supplied, was not there, so that the structure falls to the level of the earth, earthy." Good! I am glad to find that I have qualities that are by no means despicable. Even though my intellect is "shallow," it is such as to enable me, according to the description, "to talk freely and even intelligently on any subject chosen by the audience!"

Be careful, Mr. Burns; you will put me above your own level Now, he has taken special care to show that I have powers to talk—the organs necessary to be used in giving a lecture. Now, Mr. Burns, for your Spiritualism. If I had not the organs, could a spirit use them to give a lecture? Your own theory to your rescue! Did you ever know an inspirational speaker totally devoid of the organ of language? Have you not in your paper, in a lecture of your own, borne testimony to the fact that the ablest inspirational speakers were those with the best normal organisation, and, in their leisure moments, paid the most attention to the improvement of their natural talents? Have you not even mentioned the honourable name of Mrs. Tappan in this connection? Deny it and I will produce the Medium with the proof of what I say from your own pen and lips! But now it serves you to play upon the ignorance of the uninformed and to make use of the prejudices of outsiders for the sole purpose of condemning me! Oh, honest and consistent Mr. Burns! You speak of my lecture at Cardiff again. You say the body of it, taken from books, is all right. Thank you. I am glad some of it suits you. But if even spirits state facts upon any subject they are asked to speak upon, must they not state that which is found in books? If you asked the infallible control of Amy Ivi how many two and two made, would that control say otherwise than four? Perhaps it might, for there is a very peculiar kind of calculation in vogue, I believe, at the Institution. But would anv other control? And would you sneer at it because the question you had asked might be found answered in a penny arithmetic or a halfpenny book of tables? Oh no; especially if it were

to your financial interest not to sneer.

But what is this "logical arch-stone which real inspiration would have supplied"? Why did you not get the "stock" medium of the Institution to supply it, and add it in a note to my lecture, that your readers might have the benefit of it? Perhaps it is what you have constantly been pouting about; that tantalisation of spirits not telling exactly where the first man came from? Every time you have seen a notice of my lecturing on such a subject you have thrown out the sneer that it was very funny that spirits did not tell exactly where men came from, and that they left us just where we began. Mr. Burns, such poor mortals as we are, not being proprietors of Spiritual Institutions, may feel the need of more light, but surely you cannot feel such want? All you have got to do is to ask "Daisy." Now I challenge you to give the "logical arch-stone." I challenge you to get your "spirit controls" to aid you and give to the world an indisputable account of the "Origin of the first man." Where, when, and how did he come? Now don't shirk it. Get all the Institution spirits to your aid and let us have proof conclusive that, at least, at your shop there is such a thing as "real inspiration." Such a thing would be a grand contribution to science, and would carry your great name, with O. S. T. at the end, down to an admiring posterity. Let us not, then, die in ignorance. Since we have not the result of "real inspiration," supply us with it. But you cannot, Mr. Burns; and you know you cannot. I had been on friendly terms with you, and had paid you well for your sham friendship and blighting patronage, that lecture would have been an excellent one and full of the evidences of Inspiration. I know it is impossible to tell just where, when, and how the first man came, and so I speak so positively; for even you, Mr. Burns, must admit that the first man was the only one there at the time, and you must admit he would have to possess a most extraordinary memory to remember all the circumstances till now. As a slight proof of this, just sit down, Mr. Burns, and try and recall the circumstances of your own birth, and then try and recall the circumstances of your father's. You have no inspiration about you if you cannot.

Now I have pointed out that Mr. Burns says at the beginning of his article that everything I may say derogatory to him will be "an unmitigated falsehood." Yet he knows he has told unmitigated falsehoods in the very article in which he accuses

I like to have proof for all I say, and I happen to be able to furnish proofs for this assertion. He says, "Notwithstanding this contention, I published every notice respecting his work that came to hand." He knew this was false when he wrote it, for scarcely has he inserted a single notice of my work as it was sent to him. Those he has put in as they were sent he has been obliged to insert, as they were sent by persons to whom he was indebted in some way or other, and then he has put the sender's name to the report to show that he did not father it, and as much as to say, "This is so and so's opinion." But there have been reports sent to him which he has never inserted at all. One from Macclesfield especially. Noticing his conduct to me, the friends in Macclesfield held a meeting at which they passed certain resolutions to the effect that I was fit to take my place amongst the public representatives of our movement. These were sent to all the papers and were signed by Mr. Rogers, president, Mr. Hammond, treasurer, and Mrs. Woollam, secretary. Did Mr. Burns insert these resolutions in his paper? No! On the contrary, the following week after he received them there was a short article, insulting both me and the friends at Macclesfield. I quote from memory, since, being on the ocean, I cannot get at the Medium in question, but he said, "We are frequently receiving 'puffs' of people in the talking trade." He said they found their way to the waste-paper basket, and he implied that some he had received were drafted by the hand of the person they were intended to puff, and he gave his reasons for not inserting such "puffs" in something like the following language: "We see no more reason why we should puff such people, than why we should advertise dealers in butter, or treacle, or any other luscious article." Did he put in the Wigan, Edinburgh, Gateshead, and Ashington reports as they were sent? Did he even insert an advertisement sent to him of my Manchester meetings? No! And yet in spite of all the proofs I can bring to the contrary, Mr. Burns says, "I published every notice respecting his work," etc. How much short of "unmitigated falsehood" is this, Mr. Burns?

I think now, dear reader, I shall have wearied you sufficiently, and had Mr. Burns had sufficient discretion to keep quiet I should never have troubled you at all; but I deemed it necessary in justice to myself, and for the cause of Spiritualism, that I should let you know how vile a man my maligner is. I have undertaken to expose his conduct not so much because

he has most wronged me, but because I can best afford to take the consequences. Those he has persecuted hitherto have found it to be their policy to keep quiet, and not to fight an editor armed with such powers of slander, whilst they, comparatively speaking, were without weapons. But I have youth and determination on my side, and I would rather go to prison for libel and let the people know of his meanness, than let him go on unarrested in his reckless career of tyranny and extor-What I have said, I admit with considerable personal feeling, I have said because I believe it to be to the interest of Spiritualism that I should say it. The man is completely devoid of principle, and he is doing all he can to impress his character on Spiritualism. Shall we, who love our cause more than individuals, suffer him to inflict his ruthless wounds on such a fair breast? Now that I am away he will without question have much to say against me; but let him be cautious, for it is at present only my intention to be away from England a few months, and if he does too much "behind my back" he "may do that he will be sorry for." All the energies of my being I am determined to devote to letting the world know what he is, if his mean conduct goes any further. He may think light of my warning, but I mean it.

There is one hope with which I close, and that is that when I return to England a few months hence the *Medium* will be non est. Let every earnest Spiritualist anxious to see our cause prospering help to start a large weekly paper, something after the style of the American Banner of Light or Religio-Philosophical Fournal. Such a plan is on foot, I believe, and already a number of shares are taken. But more are needed, and let us do what we can to procure the necessary funds for making a new paper in every way a success. Mr. Dawson Rogers, of London, will be able to supply you with all necessary information. To work, then, and let us have the honourable for our guides, the honest for our editors, the truthful for our representatives, and the heavens shall smile their blessings upon us

and success be eternally ours.

I remain, etc.,

THOS. WALKER.

Madeira, March 23, 1880.

# Publiquious of the London Occult Lodge,

No. 2.

## FIFTEEN YEARS' EXPERIENCE IN SPIRITUALISM,

**≒**•==

By A. F. TINDALL, A. Mus., T.C.L., President.

In writing my private experiences during fifteen years' investigation of Spiritualism, I do so only from a sense of duty. I feel that through the desire to hide private matters from the public eye, much of the phenomena which establishes the proof of spirit communion is withheld; and it is the knowledge of these phenomena which is most needed at the present time. The physical manifestations are admitted to be true by most persons who know anything of the matter; but our scientists, if they go so far as to admit this, deny the spiritual origin of the said phenomena. Therefore, I feel it a duty for all who have any facts in support of this theory to publish the same, even at the risk of some loss of reputation, of the ridicule of the frivolous, of the holy horror of the bigot, and of being called insane by the scientist. In these confessions of mine I have adhered to the strict letter of fact, nothing has been exaggerated for the sake of literary effect, and nothing withheld except that which would injure or be objectionable to others.

From my earliest years I was brought up a member of the Established Church, and I was a sincere believer in Christianity. But as I grew, and read, and heard more of the world, one by one, doubts appeared. Then came a season of great suffering-I prayed earnestly for help. I read all the books I could in support of the Christian evidences, and fought out the battle; but ever as I thought I had conquered, some word or conversation brought up new doubts, which I found harder and harder to allay. (I may say here, in passing, that had there been any truth in the Christian religion. I could not have been allowed to fall from grace, for in all I did I was only actuated by desire to do right; and I even tried to blind myself to the truth, so that I might force my mind to believe.) It was at this period that a cousin of mine became acquainted with Spiritualism, and tried to convert me to a belief in Here was a new antagonist. I looked upon it with horror, in true orthodox fashion, as a snare of Satan. I remember one Sunday evening, on coming home from church, how disgusted I

was to find that my mother, aunt, and this cousin had been sitting at a small table; and that this table had actually moved. For many months I strove to combat the increasing evidence in its favour. Being so religious, I considered it as subversive of the dogmas of Christianity, and therefore to be condemned. I went through the states of mind always experienced by the sceptic; at first condemning it without investigation as jugglery; but after the many marvellous manifestations witnessed by my friends, whom I knew to be sincere, and whose word could be relied on, I was compelled to own that there was something more in it than mere imposture; and at length I determined to investigate for myself. After reading several books on the subject, and learning from them that it was possible to obtain the phenomena alone, I began by sitting daily in the light at a small table. After six trials of about half an hour daily the table moved into my lap. I then asked if a Spirit was manifesting, and by the usual method of signals found out that the communicating Spirit was my grandmother. In the evening of the same day I tried again and asked the Spirit if she could tilt out the number of years which had elapsed since she died, of which I was quite ignorant. She replied "yes," and tilted out eighteen. I did not know if this were correct, only remembering that she died when I was very young, but I had forgotten all about her. Thinking this would be a test; I asked her again on the following morning. Again the number came eighteen, and on making inquiries I found this to be exactly true.

The following are communications received by me through tilts

of the table:-

May 17th—"Am I doing right?" "Yes." "Shall I be a medium?" "Yes." "Are you happy?" "Yes." "How long

have you been dead?" "Eighteen years."

May 18th—Spelt out—"Never doubt!" "Ought I to go to church?" "No." "Will you ever tell me anything to harm me?" "No." "What ought I to do?" "Wait a little longer and you will find out. Just upset your notions about God." "Ought I to trust in God alone?" "Yes." "Will you tell me what I ought to think concerning Him?" "Yes." "Ought I to pray to Jesus Christ?" "No." "Was He God?" "No." "Was He different from others?" "Yes." "Will He ever communicate through me while on earth?" "Yes." "Does He know anything of me?" "Yes." "Was He a great medium?" "Yes." "Are we saved by faith." "No." "By works?" "Yes." "Did you not think to be saved by faith?" "Yes." "Are the ecclesiastical systems wrong?" "Yes." Here I would call attention to the fact of the communications being totally at variance with my wishes and beliefs as a Christian; this being at the commencement a proof of the existence of a foreign intelligence.

I had a great friend of about my own age at this time, to whom I used to confide many of my mental difficulties. The next day (May 20th) the following was spelt out: "God is anxious about him, and warn him not to disbelieve; so limit not your writing to

him that he may believe, and put in your letters much about Spiritualism." "Can you bring Mr. and Mrs. H——?" "In five minutes." The table here ceased moving, but soon after recommenced, plainly with another influence. "Are you happy?"
"Yes." "Have you any communication to make?" "Yes." Table tilted out: "Are you quite convinced?" I may here remark that when my friend and I sat together we obtained violent table movements. I remember after a lot of these, I asked for a test and the table became suddenly perfectly still and would not move. We could not understand it, till it occurred to us that they meant some sort of a test by this. On my saying I was convinced, the old movements recommenced at once. I received many good tests alone. A cousin came to me, and I having asked her for a test, she tilted the name of a game we used to play at, which I had Another spirit mentioned a trivial circumstance quite forgotten. which we did in her honour when she came on a visit to our house some years before she died. This was also a matter I had quite forgotten, and was not the communication I expected—I was thinking of the probability of something quite different being said at the time. These may be said to be trifles, but it is in such forgotten trifles that the evidence of a foreign intelligence is often shewn. I may remark a great peculiarity with these Spirits. They often confused me by insisting that they were not dead but alive, and that it was I who was dead and that they were living. This to me is another proof of intelligence other than my own.

Many times every day I continued to obtain communications from Spirits by placing my hand upon any movable article that chanced to be near, which, without my volition, instantly moved. So I gained many tests of a like character to the above, viz., knowledge communicated of which I was entirely ignorant, which proves that the manifestations were not merely involuntary actions, but the result of intelligence, and that intelligence not my The information in many cases was not, nor ever had been, in my mind, and being alone when making these investigations, it could not have been the reflex of other minds. It must therefore have proceeded from unseen, or spiritual intelligences. I was directed by the spirits to sit at a table holding a pen over paper. I did so, keeping quite still and shutting my eyes, so as not to influence the manifestation. I sat passive, and I felt a burning sensation at the elbow as if something like a live coal were pushing my arm. Then my hand began to move, at first slowly, then rapidly. When it stopped, I opened my eyes and was surprised to find the following:—

"God bless you and have you in his keeping; and your mother

and father.

"Yours affectionately. "Mary Anne Griffiths."

That this was not the effect of the action of my own mind, voluntary or involuntary, is proved by the fact that, till I opened my eyes, I did not know in the least that there was anything but

mere scribble, let alone a signature. As to the name "Mary Anne." I did not know, nor do I know now, whether it be correct The surname is that of my mother's family, but she declares her sister's name to have been Mary not Mary Anne. Had I had a hand in it, I should have certainly written "Mary." I may say that with my eyes shut, the pen was constantly lifted and violently pushed in the small ink vessel I had close to me, when After this I obtained daily lots of fresh ink was required. communications in the same way. They have always been of an unexpected character, many of them in the handwriting of the deceased persons, and containing accounts of events, both past and future, of which I was quite ignorant, and also teachings of a nature entirely above the calibre of my mind, and, indeed, explaining the very things I was perplexed about, and could not otherwise find out.

I was afflicted with lameness, so that I could scarcely walk about, leaning heavily upon a stick, and my system was enfeebled. One day I obtained the following, spelt out with difficulty: "Get an abdominal belt." Now at the time I did not know of the existence of these contrivances. I asked the Spirit to write and explain. I was then told all particulars and even a price named, that I might know which kind to get, which proved to be correct. I was told to go to Messrs. Pulvermacher, and upon obeying these instructions, I, in a week, either from the belt or from spiritual action through the same, became wonderfully strength-

ened.

Before detailing my extraordinary experiences in music, I must explain that I had at that time no knowledge of musical theory merely a little knowledge of the piano. I had often longed to compose, but could not. I had written a song, "I await Thee," which was the very utmost of my attainments, and this I now find to have been most faulty, and a very indifferent production altogether. Even this I could not do before I became a medium so probably I was helped even with it. Having said this, my readers will be able to understand the following communication better. The following are a few specimens of writing received:

"God is Spirit, and they who worship Him, should worship Him----.\* They that seek to know Him strive to do His work.

"Mary Anne Griffiths."

"Must I tell you again not to doubt? With your song will be found a great many things that you little think of, namely spiritual things, and joys unlooked for by you, or any of you family. You will be a joy to them, if you believe. They will live (and happily) if they believe." (My father was ill at this time I always had great fear of losing my parents.) "Yes they will, i you behave aright, and follow my example. You are rather to much given to murmur, and God likes a cheerful mind. Try t

<sup>\*</sup>In cases where blanks are left, the writing is illegible in the origina communication.

believe, and work for the cause, and go to Mr. H—— next Sunday or the Sunday after, and it shall be told you what you shall do. Rest satisfied; I only mean to warn you. All is well. Your mother and father are sure to believe and live long and happily. God bless you. Try, but be constant. There are few like you in the world."

"God is a Spirit Essence, and must be worshipped in truth—by deeds, not words. He is infinite; we are finite. He is pure; we are impure, comparatively. He is no distinct—Spirit but all in all. It is not wrong to worship the Essence, the Spirit Essence in us all, but beware of———. There is no such thing. God is the infinite Whole; we are parts. I have travelled for eighteen years and not seen Him, save in his works. I would not deceive you, my child. I am your grandmother.

"Sarah Griffiths."

I also received a communication in her own handwriting from a young lady (Miss Maillard), who had been dead about a year. This, however, I cannot give. I feel it too sacred, too extraordinary. Suffice it to say that probably no more convincing communication was ever received; no more convincing test that our departed ones do not cease to love us. This letter furnishes to me (knowing, as I do, the whole circumstances of its production; and my total inability to conceive of a such communication, much less to deliberately forge the handwriting)—this, I say, furnishes to me a complete answer to the theories of scientists, and Psychical Researchers, as to delusion, hallucination, right and left brain action, telepathy, etc. Armed with this letter, I feel that I can approach the dark river, assured that I shall find on the other side, not a world of mocking demons, or elementary phantoms, or blank annihilation, but, living and real, the loved ones who have gone before.

I now come to assistance received by me in music. Here is one

communication I received:

"My child, your song is much admired in many places. Do you think that you could write much more fluently if you were inspired by a spirit musician? If you think you would like his help, there is M——. He is a German, and a very little man in his day, but he is much more now than many you think great. M——, he will assist you. When you become entranced he will write—you."

Sometime after this a pupil came to me, wishing to learn harmony, of which I was ignorant. The Spirits, however, told me to engage to teach her, and that they would help me. When this German Spirit came he had great difficulty in writing his name, (probably through my ignorance of German). It came out Merf—, or something like that, so after many trials, he said let it be "Muffler." I think this was meant rather as a joke. The following is the first communication received from him in writing:

" Dear Friend Musician,"

"Listen to me: mark my words, my boy. You must learn before you teach, my boy. I ought not to tell you, but I may put

you in the way. Get—on 'Harmony,' or stay, get Hamilton's second book. No, no, the 'Musical Concatenation and Ideas,' that is what I mean; and get Clark on 'Harmony,' first book, or else look in the British Museum for works on- My boy, do go to God, and it may be that I may be permitted to tell you. My boy, you must not love me more than God, but I know you do not. I cannot tell you vet—ask again—. My boy, promise me to do what I tell you, now, now. It is sometime hence, to tell her that it was God. Yes, yes, remember. My boy, my dear boy, get a slate pencil and write out all the common chords, then write out all the inversions, then make her do the same, then tell her to turn them into every scale, then tell her to write little exercises on the bass, then tell her about the dominant seventh, then make her write that and its inversions in every scale, then the superfluous sixth, then let her write pieces on the exercises at the end, then let her write pieces of her own, then get a simple song and ask her what key it is in, then get her to understand all its chords—their progression—then get her to take the same into another key, first by writing out, then at sight—yes, of course a simple one. You would like to know what to do with her when Make her do this before you. Make her write it out she comes. here, not at home. Do you see? Do not fear what she will think, she will think it quite right. Make her sit at the table, and do you explain as she writes, and be writing yourself something at the time. Make her write everything out. Mind, no hurrying, no skipping, that will only land you in the mire. You mind, get on as I tell you. My boy, forget not your promise; she is here for other things than you wot of. Teach her presently that which you know so well; that which you are ordained to teach. You were never sent into the world to teach music, but to teach religion. Oh learn of Him, oh, learn of Him who is meek and lowly of heart! Yes, ves. ves. Go to the Museum as soon as you can. Tell her to get a music book. Get Clark on 'Harmony.' Get her to repeat what you have already told her; tell her to get a book, write questions in her book, and tell her to write the common chords out in every scale without bass, major first, then minor. Is that not enough for her? If not, get more paper.

"What is an interval? What is a scale? What is a chord? What is a common chord? What does a common chord consist of? What is harmony? What is a diatonic scale? What is a chromatic scale? How many kinds of diatonic scale are there? How do you form the major scale? How the minor? Why is the sixth and seventh made sharp in the ascending of the minor scale? Enough for to-day. Make her write out the intervals when you have that book. The chords will amply do for to-day. Good-bye. Thank God. Remember, you must give God glory. Make her write out the inversions. Surely that will do! God bless you.

Adieu.

" Muffler."

<sup>&</sup>quot;Show her the common chord of the scale, make her write it out

in every key, then make her write the others, one at a time. I repeat, write it out for her and let her copy it in every key. Well, write them all three, if you like, it does not matter. Tell her that there are three different kinds of interval—major, minor and chromatic, or else say major, minor, and diminished, or else—perfect, imperfect, and diminished. You must tell her that there are three kinds first, never mind their names. What are names? You on your world are all names, names, names, names." (Drawing of an ancient musical instrument like a spinet here.)

"While on earth I was a German, and a poor man—a soldier in the Austrian army. I used to play on a kind of horn, and composed music, but was not taken any notice of, being poor. I have seen many battles, and learnt to abhor them. Since I have been in the spirit spheres I have gone through many strange conditions of being, till I became attracted to little Frederick Tindall, whom I love more than if he were my own child. Now I am no longer poor, but am a great musician, and all are pleased to listen to my compositions. I had not your opportunities, but I do not complain. All was for the best. Mind you do not make spirits cross. Mind you do not thwart us. Mind you are good. Mind you are holy. Mind that you are all you ought to be. Oh! that you would give up your foolish doubts and complaints." (Here followed questions on musical theory for my pupil.)

"Now do you see the difference, you muddle-headed one, now do you remark that there is something at which Spirits can laugh." (I had the idea that they were like our biblical angels, incapable of such frivolity.) "They laugh heartily, I can tell you, at your notions about harmony, though, mind you, you have a very good perception of music on the whole, but you must remember that you have not had much earthly instruction. Never mind that, you remember you chose God once, above all earthly masters, and He was and is pleased. So be diligent, and we shall yet see you do great things, even in music. Many before you have become confused about intervals, but I will tell you another time.

Master what I have already told you, for I tell you that I, at least, love to do one thing at a time. Good-bye.

"Muffler."

"Do you know that I am very much pleased with your experiments on the piano? You will soon hear something which will surprise you. First come the exercises, then the tunes, or should come, should they not? Yes, I do not mind, you shall play, possibly at Huddersfield, something, though not much yet—you have other things to do. You shall hear me play on something better. I will play on the piano." (A horn drawn here.) "My boy, they are very much amused at harmony, as you explain it, but never mind. You stumble on; something will come of it all. Haydn is most anxious to talk with you—most anxious—but Haydn is not so very wonderful. Haydn is very much amused at musical ideas as—by yourself, yet he does not think you a dunce by any means. He does laugh when he sees you struggling

over discords, and concords, and diminished and augmented, and chromatic, and major, and minor, and sharp, and flat, intervals. We, nevertheless, think that you will one day be great at music if you proceed with it. I will say nothing about it. Try your best. Good-bye.

"Muffler."

With regard to the book Muffler speaks of, namely, Clark on "Harmony," I had never heard of it, and finding there was such a work, I considered this a good test of knowledge foreign to my Being fond of Shelley's poems, I asked if they knew anything about him. They said they would bring him, if I waited till next day. I then received what purported to be a communication from him, signed with his autograph, which on comparing with his in a copy of his works, I found to be exact. am sure that I could not have done this myself, nor could I now if I tried. Whether the Spirit was Shelley or not is immaterial. The fact of the autograph proves a foreign intelligence to have One of the things I dreaded most was to have my been present. religious notions shaken, as I considered them necessary salvation. Yet one of the first communications received was: "Just upset your notions concerning God," and in writing I obtained the following: "Beware of believing in the Trinity; there is no such thing. God is the Divine Whole, of Whom all are parts." Bit by bit they combatted my views, till they had altered them.

I now come to painful experiences, namely, deception. This deception consisted in urging me to do a certain thing I dreaded. This was kept up persistently, day by day, till I succumbed; and then I found I had been grossly deceived. A part of this took the form of writing a letter, and this very letter was written through my own hand by spirit power; yet the whole proved a deception. But I am glad of this now, as I often think of it as one of the strongest proofs of a foreign intelligence communicating. It is curious that often in the early days of investigation, many people have met with deception. It is, I believe, our own imperfections that enable a class of earth-bound influences to get power over us for a time; but if we trust in God and seek only the truth, brighter spirits will help us out of these evils. This was my experience.

I was also influenced to draw and paint three pictures of a most extraordinary kind. One, called "The Creation of Light," consisted of multitudes of spiritual forms gazing upon a focus of light from which issued rays lighting up a dark ball, which I suppose was meant for the earth. The second, called "Nebuchadnezzar's Dream," was a vast figure, with the peculiarity of three eyes, and in each was depicted a scene in the life of the king. The third was "The Handwriting on the Wall." I was told the three subjects beforehand, and, strange to say, I thought I was drawing the third when I was doing the first—another instance of Spiritual direction. I used to be awakened suddenly very early in the morning, plainly by Spirit influence, and made to work

at these for hours in my bedroom. They certainly were of a character quite impossible for me to conceive, and even the drawing far beyond my powers. I had also, one night, a most extraordinary vision. I was awakened by feeling exactly as if I were being tightly clasped in some one's arms, and, on looking up, I saw in a blaze of light, these words in gold letters, "Redeeming Love." I was not asleep. I was awakened by the clasping, and felt the arms around me after I woke, and, when quite awake, saw the light and the words. It made a most wonderful impression upon me. I never saw anything more plainly or so vivid before or since. The light seemed like the magnesium or lime-light; and

the letters appeared to be graven into my very soul.

About this time I was told to pray for more gifts, and to sit alone. On doing so I felt a convulsive movement in the chest, followed by a groan issuing from my lips. Then several voices spoke through me; my grandmother first, and as I heard the tones of her voice, I seemed to recall the voice I had heard in infancy. After she had ceased Miss M. spoke in the quick tones I knew so well. I had thought it was necessary to be unconscious to get such manifestations. My surprise may therefore be guessed. I now come to some very strange experiences. After I had obtained the power of trance speaking, I had been told for some time to prepare myself, for my Spirit Guide would speak through me, and I well remember the first occasion. It was on a Sunday afternoon. I was sitting alone. After two other spirits had spoken in their own voices through me, so that I instantly recognized them, I felt a powerful and holy influence, and a Spirit said through my lips: "It is I, be not afraid. It is better to be loved by the Spirits than by mortals." After this I obtained communications on the slate in writing. I was told to go to Mr. Hunt's seance. I there sat with Mr. Hunt and his daughter, and I went into a semiunconscious condition, and a most powerful and extraordinary influence took possession of me, which I was quite unable to resist. Under this influence I was forced to act the whole drama of the Crucifixion. Mr. Hunt not knowing what to think invited a gentleman of some experience in such matters (Mr. Whitley) to the next seance, at which they, considering it to be a deceiving influence, tried to reason with, and drive out, the Spirit, but in vain. Terrible were my sufferings at this time, with all my friends bitterly opposed to Spiritualism, and still more incensed at hearing Wherever I went amongst the Spiritualists. of such a control. they also attacked the control as a deceiver, and this opposition caused acute sufferings, both bodily and mental. The control would write his name through me, and also speak it, though I dreaded his doing so and tried all I could to stop it. This, though most unpleasant at the time, I consider now to be a great proof of the existence of a foreign influence, At length, at my earnest request, the Spirit promised to give no name at all, but only to announce himself as my Spirit Guide. I was then told I was to go to Huddersfield. Here I had some very terrible experiences.

The influences would come upon me at all times, and try to speak through me and control me before strangers. If I resisted the consequences were very painful. On one occasion I unexpectedly went into a trance, and on some one shaking me to wake me up, the Spirit influence violently threw the person down. This terrible influence was no doubt aroused by intense, bitter scepticism. At this time I was forbidden to enter a church, and obliged to put all books relating to Christianity away from me. If I tried to sit out a service, I was so violently acted upon, that I was obliged to leave. I remember once thinking to go into church, and getting as far as the porch, and there feeling a sudden attack, as if every bone in my body were being wrenched asunder. This, happily, was not seen, and I at once gave up all thought of entering.

Being still under the influence of the Spirit Guide previously mentioned, and a friend of mine having been converted to Spiritualism, we commenced a series of sittings with this Spirit. My friend experienced the same influence, and was controlled by many of the same Spirits. He also had the name of my Spirit Guide written through him when far away from me, thus proving that all this was not the fancy of my disordered imagination. This was done, I have been told since, to prove this to me. received the most extraordinary teachings and communications, and the most extraordinary personages were supposed to come. Thinking, as we did, that we ought to do all we were told, we did very absurd and strange things; but I have since seen good in all this, for though doubtless much of it was caused by Spirit action on our peculiar religious condition of mind, yet I can see a great purpose through the whole. The great name that we thought influenced us, caused us to be more obedient to the influence, and thus I was gradually drawn out of my religious dogmas, silly material ideas, and trained towards adeptship. These experiences have led me to study Occultism, for my trials have proved to me that there is a vast deal more in this subject than the ordinary Spiritualist fancies. With regard to this control, however the Spirit's influence has become mixed, I cannot consider him a deceiver. Though the exoteric character of the control seemed often misleading, the whole tenour of his teaching is educational, and has evolved both material and spiritual advantages to me, besides exhibiting an amount of love and tender kindness in all my every-day wants and difficulties. Therefore I know that the Spirit is good. Then some may say, why doubt? Because we read of so many mediums having the same sort of control, though I have certainly never read of any having the same kindness shewn to them. But I look deeper for an ex-I feel either that there are great reformers behind this movement influencing all wherever they can, or, as I think more likely, that as among the Gnostics, the Christ is the Spirit Ego, the Divine Part of us, so the real meaning is that this Spirit Guide is the Divine Ego, or the Higher Self, or Spiritual Soul of the Theosophists brooding over me. With regard to the giving of a

great name, here I believe we come to an occult mystery. By the uttering of certain names great things are achieved. The Bible teaches us this, so do all the occult writers of the middle ages, and my experiences prove the truth of it. It may be for this cause that the name was given. My Guide told me to cease communion with all but himself for awhile, seeing I was so worried with deceptions practised upon me. He also told me that he had established a link with my Spirit, so that no other Spirit could communicate except through him, and that so I could be kept from deception. Now, since this time, I have lost the power of getting direct communications from any Spirit. I can no longer get letters in Spirits' own handwriting. It also seems to be impossible to obtain physical manifestations. I should like here to ask those who allege that the manifestations are the result of our own enhanced powers, how is it that I cannot, since my Guide took possession of me, get this kind of phenomena? If it were myself, my own brain action, I ought to be able to get it as much now as Though this has stopped, I am able nearly always, without losing consciousness, to get communications from my Guide, and those whom he allows to speak, by motions of the hands, by signs, by whispers, and by impressions, or clairvoyant pictures, or visions. By these means I am often shewn the course to pursue when in doubt. I can also see pourtrayed the results of certain actions. and hints of what will happen or has happened far away. I could give abundant instances of this. In professional matters, I often receive signs in drawings, words, etc., which denote coming events—disappointments or successes; and I invariably find them true. Sometimes certain melodies are hummed or sung through me as I am at my work, and certain of them mean different things; always portend disappointments, some success, pecuniary benefit, some warnings; so that I am often disturbed when I hear them. I have also a kind of clairvoyance, or I might better term it impression. Instead of words, pictures are placed before me: and in all my life I experience a constant guidance. can tell when some of my most intimate Spirit friends approach. by intuitive feelings.

With regard to manifestations through others, I may say that my wife, though somewhat sceptical in these matters, has obtained some strange phenomena. Once when sitting late, by a dim light, drinking some coffee, she exclaimed that the cup was full of light. I advised her to look steadfastly, which she did, and then gold writing apppeared. She used often, after this, to look into it, and received most astonishing communications in gold writing and in poetry, quite beyond her power to compose. The modus operandi was as follows: My wife used to look in a large breakfast cup, which covered both eyes. The first signs would be that she would declare she saw a light in the cup, then she would say it seemed as if she were looking through a hole in the cup, like looking through a stereoscope, and she would see all sorts of most unaccountable things, things the very last we should ever imagine.

After this, gold writing would often flash up, and as the letters appeared, before they died away, she would call them out, while I put them down. We have a great deal of such writing, but one instance will suffice for an example. She described a hill, surrounded by a large number of people, then a man ascended the hill, dressed in a long robe, with a girdle round him, and began to preach. Then this faded away, and the following writing came:—

"Do not be perverse, but follow my example. Caution others against disbelieving Spiritualism. You can influence Fred, and materialize spirit forms. Do not be too anxious, but perceive as you go along. Be sincere in your doings. Cultivate your mediumship. Good morning. Be not fearful, but trust in Providence. All

happiness awaits yon. Farewell, until some other period."

My wife has also seen scenery, and the faces of many we have known. We have sat by ourselves, and by placing my hand lightly upon hers while she holds a pencil over a slate, her hand has been moved, and we have had most astonishing communications and convincing proofs, without either of us in the least knowing anything of what was being written. The peculiarity of these communications is that there is no commanding them. Often when I have thought that a good opportunity has occurred, we have obtained nothing, or nothing but nonsense; at other times, unexpectedly great results. Often when I have earnestly wished to go on, the Spirits have drawn her hand off the table, and made it so rigid that we could not easily bring it back again.

If they write "Good night," or "Farewell," or some such words, you may try and try, but you will get nothing more. My wife and I attended a seance at the Quebec Hall some years ago. She was very sceptical, and rather ridiculed the whole thing. particular occurred until just as we were going to break up, when, without any warning, she fell with her hand on the table in a dead trance. None of us being experienced in this sort of thing, we could not wake her, and we sat for an hour and a half, hoping she would come to, when the people declared they must close the hall. We then, by shaking her, and trying all kinds of means, got her upon her feet, and she was led into the street by a Mr. Taylor and myself. She returned to a sort of half-consciousness, but kept talking as if she were someone else. At last we got her home, Mr. Taylor staying outside to see if I could get her upstairs, as I had promised to make him a sign from the window, if all right. I had great difficulty in doing so, and when she got into our rooms she declared she saw her sister, and for several days she was like some other person, and kept constantly falling into trances, and talking incoherently. These trances come on by quick breathings. It was a long time before these disturbances left her. But, what was most strange, at about the time she said she saw her sister, her sister, with some friends, was staying at Brighton, and while sitting at supper about twelve o'clock, when all else were in bed, they heard heavy footsteps coming up the stairs, and pausing at the door. When they went to look there was no one there. Two out of three present heard this.

On one or two occasions we have obtained raps; once when sitting with Mr. Dale and other friends, at Seymour Place. On this occasion a relative rapped out several convincing messages in response to the alphabet which Mr. Hancock called over. I wished

him to do so, as he knew nothing of our affairs.

At one time an aunt of mine was very ill, and one night my wife awoke me by saying that she heard several loud double knocks at our street door. It was raining heavily, and it was in the early morning, so most unlikely that any person should be at the door. These knocks were at intervals. We thought probably my aunt was worse, and they had sent down for us, so we both listened, but not hearing it again, I could not make it out. I was determined to go and see before breakfast, feeling sure they had sent. I went, and found that they had not sent, but that my aunt had died just at

the time the knocking occurred.

I will now relate a few seances with other mediums. Seance at Mr. Maynard's.—Mr. Fever medium, a perfect stranger. My grandmother controlled him, and made him keep passing the fingers of one hand over the back of the other. I could not think, at the time, what this meant, but the next day remembered. about two or three years old I used to sit by her side, and her hand being long and thin, loved to pinch it continually. This is almost the only thing I can remember about her. Seance with Mrs. Kimball.—I went with Mr. Hocker. She was a perfect stranger to She described Mr. Hocker's three children who were dead, three being the right number. Also an old gentleman and young lady by me—my grandfather and Miss Maillard. Seance with Messrs. Williams and Husk.—I saw John King materialize over the table, heard Spirit voices, etc. At another seance with Mr. Husk, through the curtains not being quite closed (it being afternoon), I distinctly saw a musical box floating in the air, far away from the medium.

Music was written through me in the following manner: I was told to sit down to the piano, and I then used to go into a semitrance condition, sometimes with eyes closed, but at others with my eyes open. My hands were then violently moved over the keys. The first melody I ever obtained was the first part of the piece: "The maid has placed a magic ring," since placed in the operetta of "The Village Festival." I had made one or two crude attempts at composition before being a medium, but had not the slightest talent for the same. One of these was a few strains towards a waltz. All these Muffler altered and made quite new. He told me once to go to the piano and at once played the melody on pages 5 and 6 of the "Clara Waltz," which by critics is always considered the best part. He afterwards wrote the rest. They went on in this manner to compose a great deal of music through me. Let it not be imagined that this progress in music was the effect of my own study. I did not study. I sat down, held a pen over paper, or sat at the piano, and, without my volition, the information I needed was given, and the problems that perplexed

me solved. I had no knowledge of harmony previous to these Spirit instructions, and a very little knowledge of the piano. Therefore the compositions were not the product of my own mind, or reproductions of previous knowledge which had been forgotten. The recitative and air, "How strange in dream," was said to be written under the influence of Mendelssohn. Many of the great composers used to try to influence me. I had also written some poetry before I became a medium. I may here remark that even before I knew anything of Spiritualism, I believe I was under some sort of influence. I can now trace it back through my childhood. These writings the Spirits corrected, and altered. They also wrote new poems, and urged me to publish them. In this way all my previous attempts were revised; and an oratorio ("The Son of Man,") a cantata, (" The Worship of the Image,") and an operetta, ("The Village Festival,") were written through me. these was written far away from a piano, without my knowing whether I was writing rubbish or not. One of the purest examples of this was a hymn (words and music written together) beginning "In the endless spheres of being." I have found that these compositions were produced by a regular course of spiritual evolution. That is, they were first produced in the manner described, then I should be told to put them aside, and if I wished to publish those which were not considered perfect, I should find such difficulties arise that I could not do so. Then after they had been put away for awhile, the Spirits would begin to revise, and so they have done over and over again, so that when at length perfected, the original plan would be quite altered, and the final result would be what I should never have conceived at first. This, in itself, is another proof of a foreign intelligence. Such are a sample of the phenomena I have obtained in the course of fifteen years' investigation, but it is a very small part—a great deal is not capable of being published, being of too private a nature. The whole course of these phenomena tends to prove the Spiritual theory. I think that nearly all the objections since alleged by scientists occurred to my mind in these investigations, and were disproved one by one, by the most delicate and convincing methods -methods which I am now totally unable to describe.

I must here explain with regard to getting communications, that these are not at all times at my command. They come in the way mentioned whenever the Spirit wants to help or guide me in some particular way. When sitting with others, I am thrown into a deeper trance, when Spirits will speak through me. But this I very rarely subject myself to, as my friends are so opposed to it, thinking (though I believe wrongly) that it injures my health. I wish I could explain the nature of my clairvoyant powers in the little affairs of every-day life, but I fear in retailing such matters to become ridiculous. However, I will give a few instances. When I am sitting alone and a certain Spirit suddenly appears (I can see and describe Spirits occasionally), I often hear or see, shortly after some of their immediate relatives on earth. One evening an aunt

of mine, who died about fourteen years ago, appeared. I asked what she wished to communicate, but could get nothing clear. I suppose not ten minutes had passed before her married daughter (who was in some trouble at the time) knocked at the door. This ladv we had not seen for two years, she was totally unexpected, and she lives far away. A like instance happened to me only recently. fact, never a day passes without some occult experience. I find that the Spirits can not only shew visions of what is to happen; but that they can exercise more or less control over people and things in this world. I will try and explain. Suppose they tell me by their symbolical methods that a certain troublesome event will occur. I ask them, cannot this be mitigated or avoided? will perhaps answer, If you do so-and-so for us (perhaps something for the cause), we will do what we can for you. If I follow their advice, I shall probably find that the event, whatever it is, will happen, but happen in such a way, or such new circumstances will arise in connection with it, that the sting is taken out of it. I have found by following my own course (which might seem to be the most reasonable one) the thing, in all its trouble, will come upon me. They are able to help us in a thousand different ways in life. Another experience I have. When sitting alone (if under their influence I am directed so to do), I can as it were look through things and see people at great distances. Then, by further concentration of mind, I can hear them speak, and see a sort of symbolical representation of what they are doing, or intend to do. This is, however, often not clear enough to prove it easily to another, but it is certainly proved to myself, because in meeting or hearing from these people, I find enough evidence that I have really seen them and their doings.

That, however, which convinces me the most of the truth of Spiritualism, is that I experience a constant guidance in all the affairs of life, which reveals to me the presence of a power possessed of knowledge greater than my own. This is to me one of the most glorious blessings of Spiritualism. When I hear people talk of Psychic force and whether our own Spirits produce all the manifestations, I feel that persons holding these views have not yet advanced far enough to lay hold of this greatest proof and blessing

of Spirit communion.

I believe, then, that my experiences explain many of the enigmas of Spiritual influence, they shew that to get their messages through a mortal mind there must be a connection established, a constant stream of inspiration ever flowing, that this causes an exalted state of soul and revivifies, so to speak, our old ideas, that many of these are reproduced in mediumistic trance and writings, and that only after a considerable time, in which we must apply ourselves to educating our own Spirits (under their direction) can they—the higher Spirits—at all reproduce the truths and teachings they wish to convey—in any degree of purity. This explains the vast mass of contradictory religious teachings, foolishness, etc., coming through mediums. Let us listen, knowing that all this is educationary, not in the spirit of the scoffer or of the open-mouthed

Digitized by Google

enthusiast; but as students, eager to catch the grains of wheat amongst the chaff. It may be said, if it is necessary to go through all this to become a medium it is not worth the trouble. But though I have suffered much, I would willingly go through all again to obtain the knowledge I have gained, namely, that there is a life beyond the grave. Many may object to the methods of the influences who have been my teachers, but probably, if we knew all, nothing better could have been done, considering my ignorant and bigoted condition of mind, and the difficulties of communicating. Anyhow the method has been effectual. I have that proof of the existence of foreign, immaterial intelligences which the Psychic Student asks for, and which no mere witnessing of manifestations through others can ever give. Through all my difficulties and blunders, through all the curious symbolical names. I behold a great and good purpose towards me, helping me in my every-day life, educating me in various kinds of earthly knowledge, strengthening my body, and also developing my Soul Powers—the Spiritual Ego—which is the grand purpose of Spiritualism. Therefore I believe in, and am willing to follow the counsels of my guide. It matters not to us whether our guides be a ray from one of the great Reformers of old, or the concentrated influence of many, or a planetary spirit, or our own Higher Self—the Christ Spirit of the Gnostics, the Divine Ego in each one. "By their fruits ye shall know them." One thing is certain—the power is outside ourselves as material beings. Therefore Spirit is proved to exist, and if we are led by love and kindness to truth and goodness, then is the source Divine and the guidance to be followed—not blindly, but in faith and trust in God. There are many enigmas in Spiritualism, many things dark, much to learn, but the first thing to do in this material age, is to lay a firm foundation by proving the existence of Spirit and a future life beyond the grave. It is to help towards this end that I publish these experiences, though at the risk of ridicule of the frivolous. The phenomena are beginning to be believed in, the question now is as to their cause. I maintain that these experiences prove that they proceed in great measure from the action of departed spirits. Meanwhile, I say to all who would know the truth, experiment for yourselves, for "he that seeketh findeth." Trust in the Divine power within you and around you, and you will gain that knowledge which will recompense you for "Strait is the gate, and narrow is the way all your trials. which leadeth unto (eternal) life, and few there be that find it."

> H. OLIVER, 77. CHESTER STREET, Cul

G. SHARROW, Storm Frincer, 20a, Devenshile Street, Portland Place, W.

ALSO 62, ST. JAMES' ST. LEEDS.

Digitized by Google