

THE TRUTH ABOUT FLYING SAUCERS

INTERPLANETARY INTELLIGENCE REPORT

UFO EXIST!

MARCH 1966
Vol. 1, No. 6
Price 50¢




TULSA PHOTO

OKLAHOMA CIVIL DEFENSE OFFICER
STATED:

"It emitted a short brilliant flash and
suddenly changed direction."

UFO ARTICLES •
PHOTOGRAPHS •
NEWS CLIPS •

AIR FORCE Weather Observer Sighted



unlisted

Information appearing in this report may be used providing credit is properly given to the IIR of the IIOUFO.

IIOUFO Board of Directors

- W.F. Riefer Senior Director
- H.C. Hewes Director and Editor
- Joan Whritenour Director
- D.V. Critchfield Associate Director
- J.W. Maney Deputy Director
- V.C. Johnston Secretary
- H.D. Crawford Art Director
- A.C. Roberts Photo Research

SCIENTIFIC PANEL

- Major C.W. Dutreau - Director Civil Defense, Oklahoma City, Okla.
- Mr. W.M. Greene, Astrogeologist, Huntsville, Alabama
- Mr. J. Gumm - Photography Oklahoma City, Okla
- Mr. F. Halstead - Former curator, Darling Observatory, Minnesota
- Mr. D. Johnson - Assistant Planetarium Director, Kirkpatrick Planetarium, Oklahoma City, Okla.
- Prof. N.N. Kohanowski - Geologist and Mining Engineer, North Dakota
- Mr. C. King - Photography, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
- Dr. Askold Ladonko - Psychology, Caracas, Venezuela
- Dr. F.B. Salisbury - Plant Physiology, Colorado State University

Photo Analysis

- Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio
- Eastman Kodak, Chicago

Photo Labs

- A.C. Roberts, Wayne, New Jersey
- V.C. Critchfield, Oklahoma City

not listed

FROM THE DIRECTOR

Since May, 1966 with Vol. 1 No. 1 of the IIR we have come a long way. From a six page issue to our biggest with the 32 pages November-January double issue. We have tried in our first year of publication to give you all the facts. The truth, both the civilian as well as the Air Force side. We have tried to give each one of our readers what he or she is interested in. If it be an article, newspaper clipping or photographs.

We have tried to bring about a better understanding between the civilian UFO organizations and the Air Force as well as bring about a closer exchange of information and cooperation from the civilian UFO groups.

I wish it were possible to publish the IIR each month. Maybe in the future we can. It has been YOUR suggestions that have resulted in the improvement of the IIR. I will welcome any suggestions or ideas you may have. If you have any information what you feel is important we will consider publishing it.

Our next issue, May 1966 marks the beginning of our second year. If you subscribed with the May 1965 issue and wish to continue receiving the IIR your subscription is due. Planned articles for the future include the 1952 Sky watch Tower case of August C. Roberts, and well as a two part series by Mrs. Joan Whritenour, on the "1965 Florida Flap". Also we will have ALL the information on the 1947 William A. Rhodes photo which has been published by several as authentic, along with new and old UFO photographs you have and haven't seen.

Watch for the new book (some 250 pages) by Mr. Albert Onori and myself which will be called "Flying Saucers In the News" plus two small booklets one on the Wanaque, New Jersey sighting (this issue page 5) by August C. Roberts and "The Brooksville Sightings" by Mrs. Joan Whritenour. So as you can see the plans for next year is big.

Hayden C. Hewes

IIR MARCH 1966

CONTENTS

- From the Director 2
- Organization 3
- Flying Saucers & Black Out 5
- Supercivilizations 9
- GT-5 Photos 10
- Public, Government & UFOs 11
- Spaceships or Shooting Stars 12
- Wanaque, New Jersey 14
- UFO Publication 15
- Examination Tulsa Photo 15
- Important Evidence 16
- Florida Flap 17
- Gravitational Control 19
- USSR 21
- Tulsa, Oklahoma 24
- UFO Report 25
- Project Bluebook 26
- Yours In Research 29
- Civilian UFO Research 30

THE MEANING OF ORGANIZATION

by Mrs. Joan Whritenour
Director East Coast IIOUFO Headquarters

There is a political saying that says: "It is not what your country can do for you, but what you can do for your country." This is a statement that holds true for those of us in the UFO FIELD. Too many times there are those who joined an organization on an impulse, only to find out later that the organization in question expected a certain amount of their time and effort in return for that membership card.

There are also those who are "PROFESSIONAL JOINERS", these are the ones who join anything and everything irregardless of the fact that the said organizations, in some cases, are diametrically opposed to each other, in their beliefs and stated platforms. They the joiners, seem to be of the opinion that the organization MIGHT believe so and so, BUT, they don't have to believe in the same way. If they did not believe in the said groups beliefs, why join in the first place? The organization is NOT going to change its' stated policies without just cause, and with more than ONE members approval.

A good organization is judged by the QUALITY of its' members. When a person goes forth, in his local area, to make an investigation, and the person represents himself as a member of a national group, he holds the integrity of the ENTIRE organization in his hands. What he says or does, personally, reflects back upon the group that issued him his membership card. If he, in any way, gives others the opinion of unreliability, underhandedness or being devious, these impressions are left with the witnesses, who in turn blame it on the entire organization that the spurious investigator represents.

A bad area of contention in the Field is WHERE DOES MY LOYALTY BELONG? In the case of the Joiner, a typical example is this: He belongs to a LOCAL group, AND ONE OR MORE national groups. If a sighting of importance occurs in his locale, WHO DOES HE REPORT TO FIRST? AND TO WHAT GROUP DOES HE SEND THE MOST COMPREHENSIVE REPORT? Supposing that the local outfit believes in keeping sightings intently to themselves, the LOCAL people will investigate, we don't need the Big Outsiders to come into OUR territory and tell us what to do, SO, we will tell no one but the local

level, THIS IS WHAT THE LOCAL GROUP DECIDES, BUT, WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE THE POOR MAN WHO BELONGS NOT ONLY TO THE LOCAL LEVEL, BUT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AS WELL? He assumed the obligation when he joined the National group to report ALL sightings in his area. Who is he going to be faithful to?

Then we have the problem of Those who "barter" their information to the highest bidder. For example: Mr. Anonymous, who shall we say belongs to more than one National organization, will look at the situation and decide just which organization will give him the most publicity, or which one will promote him the best, or in the process of releasing this "HOT" information, which group can he do the best job on running one down while building the other one up?

This in all truth, is not a laughing matter, nor is it an UNKNOWN experience. Many of us in the field have run across the same MR. ANNONYMOUS. Playing his same tricks, over and over again, BUT by this time he is the possessor of much of this type of "HOT" information and others are afraid of treading on his toes for fear that he will 'accidentally' impart his information to those who are in a position to do a great deal of harm.

Then we have the Joiner who joins one or more national or local groups for the express purpose to gather what information that he can get from the ONE group, to PASS ON to the other group. Then he, in all probability, will have a hard time deciding which one he will sell out first.

These are only a few of the "JOINING" problems that beset an organization. There are many others with which we are all familiar. TOO familiar. The national organizations are now facing problems with their own members, as well as problems with the Field in general. This is an unique situation, the solution of which will influence ALL national groups when the time arises.

The significance of the world situation as it is today, and with the problems that are plaguing our country as a whole, the menace of an all out war or a natural catastrophe, dwarf the small problems that we in the UFO Field face.

But in a sense, they will affect us also. The ever increasing number of low level sightings, and the number of "landings" all point to one thing, and this is: The "saucers" are now definitely "here". "They" want to be noticed, "They" want to be observed, and I feel that our first obligation is to educate the public to what is happening and what could POSSIBLY happen! The most intelligent way of doing this is to try to put on a UNIFIED FRONT. To present ourselves in a dignified way to the public, to be available when called upon to inform as many as possible the situation behind the UFO. So when the time comes, and it will, that the "saucers speak" shall we say, the PUBLIC will NOT panic, and that they will have an inkling as to the problems involved. An informed populace, will have faith in those who inform it. Who else, in the world, but US in the organizational end of UFOLOGY, reaches so many that are interested in the phenomena that we face? Who else is better prepared with facts, documents, signed statements to the effect that we are being "visited" by "strangers"? The different governments of the world have proven that they are unprepared to release to the general populace the "facts" on the UFO that they possess. Who then Will?

I believe that we must tighten up our lines of communication between the different organizations, call a truce for the betterment of all concerned, stop fighting over "choice tidbits" and get down to the work at hand, namely, serious researching.

The title of "RESEARCHER" in too many cases a misnomer. How can one research any given subject without investigating the evidence that one has on hand? Why must some "researchers" stick their heads in the sand when approached with a problem that they "personally" dislike? What does personality have to do with a FACT? Some things are just "there", they exist, they have being, how can you deny the fact that the saucers are intelligently manufactured, in a sense, and then deny the existence of the "somebody" or "something" that manufactured them, or composed them or whatever you may wish to say created them. "They" are "here". And they got here somehow. And they have landed. And they left evidence behind when they left. "Somebody", or "Something" had to be involved "somewhere".

If the major organizations could at least agree on the foregoing, we would be many steps ahead in gaining the respect of the different opinions rampant in the field today, and we could proceed to a new and better understanding of the problems that face all of us. We could perhaps gain a small measure of trust between the groups and try to reconcile the differences in feeling.

We must learn to "respect" other opinions, and not to try to judge another's viewpoint. "Contactees" have as much right to their feelings and opinions as do the more "reserved" ones. Who, Alone, considers himself sufficiently informed to say that all others who do not agree with him are "WRONG"? NOT ME. I AM NOT A GOOD JUDGE OF MYSELF, MORE OR LESS OF ANYONE ELSE. After all, what is the one thing that we all are interested in???. What is the one thing that we all wish that we knew???. What information is it that we are all striving so hard to get???. That's right!! Who are "they?" "Where do they come from?" "What makes them tick?" Now, believe me, Mr. Interested Researcher, IF you saw a landed Saucer, with "occupants" walking around on the ground, and IF they made a move towards you to "communicate" do you mean to tell me, or anyone else for that fact, that you would walk away? If this DID occur, and IF the "occupant" did convey a meaning to you, Mr. Reserved, YOU WOULD THEN BE A CONTACTEE YOURSELF. Now, don't stand there, with your bare face hanging out, and tell me that you would not be curious, to say the least, if you saw a "landed vehicle"!!!!

To return to the problem at hand, I contend that we, in the field today, must take stock of not only ourselves but others as well. We owe a great debt to our members who put their faith and trust in our integrity and honesty. We must be willing and able to render them, our members, the services that we promised them when they joined. We must endeavor to live up to the ideals that we want to be known by. And we must weed out those who only USE the organizations for a "private stamping ground" for their psychological problems. "WHEN WE CAN REMEMBER YESTERDAY WITHOUT REGRET, AND LOOK UPON TOMORROW WITHOUT FEAR, THEN WE KNOW THAT WE ARE ON THE ROAD TO SUCCESS."

FLYING SAUCERS CAUSED THAT BIG BLACKOUT

by Timothy Green Beckley

Are the UFOs now making themselves known by causing giant power failures? There is evidence to show that this may be the case.

The UFO which caused the giant north eastern blackout which plunged some 30 million persons into total darkness was even photographed by an outstanding member of the community and was observed by dozens of persons.

Even actor Stuart Whitman, who starred in the motion picture THE SOUND AND THE FURY claimed to have observed and even talked with the occupants of a UFO on the night "the lights went out" in N.Y. City. Whitman vows that during the power failure of Nov. 9th two flying saucers pulled up outside his hotel window and the occupants chatted with him and admitted that they were responsible for the power failure.

He described the objects as one being blue the other orange in color. He said that he was not able to see portholes in them because of their basic brilliance but said that they appeared like a whirlwind shifting their position as they hovered by his window. He is quoted as having said: "They spoke to me all the while in English. But later on I thought that perhaps this might not have been audible to anyone else (sort of telepathic). They said they wanted to talk with me because they felt I appeared to have no malice or hate in me. They said that they were most fearful of earth because earthlings were messing around with many unknown quantities and might disrupt the balance of the universe or their planet. The people in the UFO said that the blackout was just a little demonstration of their power and that they could do a lot more with almost no effort. It served as a warning. They said they could stop our whole planet from functioning. They asked me to do what I could to fight malice, prejudice and hate on earth, and then they took off." The reporters who interviewed Whitman said that he was dead serious and not the drinking type. This he proclaimed was NO JOKE!

The blackout on November 9th, 1965 which plunged an estimated 30 million persons in the Northeast, from Canada to New Jersey, into total darkness may have been the result of either a power draining unidentified flying object which hovered and maneuvered in the sky near the New York - Canadian border, or a UFO which got too close to this important power system.

For weeks in a row, both before and after the blackout, reliable witnesses claim a huge UFO hung suspended in the sky, turning night into day, and looking like a birthday candle over the area of Erieau, Ontario, Canada.

Residents, of this secluded fishing town say that the object at times gave off puffs of smoke and would twist around in the sky as if controlled by intelligent forces. One of the first to witness this strange sky phenomena in Erieau was Harvey Vidler who described the UFO as a big round light which moved up and down and back and forth. The light would go out and then re-appear nearby during the entire evening according to Mr. Vidler.

At the request of many citizens The Canadian Air Force was asked to intervene in the matter but it is not known to what conclusions, if any, they were able to come to regarding the situation.

The first sighting on the evening of the big blackout came from Weldon Ross, who is self-employed as a pilot-instructor working out of Hancock Field near the northern sector of Syracuse, New York.

While flying above Syracuse about 5:15 p.m., only a few minutes before the lights were to go out and not be turned on in some places until shortly after 6 the following morning, he was certain that he, and a student pilot who was flying with him, had been witness to a flame colored globe of light. Later interviewed by the NEW YORK JOURNAL AMERICAN Weldon is quoted as having said: "My first impression was that it was a building on fire. I said to the student with me, 'My God, somebody's




Photo from TIME Magazine – courtesy IIOUFO – A.C. Roberts

in trouble. Look at the fire.' It originated on the ground and grew in size to about 100 feet around - a ball of fire, sort of reddish-orange, like nothing I ever saw before."

"Then, almost as quickly as I saw it, it diminished, got smaller and finally, about 10 seconds after I first saw it, it disappeared completely."

From his position Ross said he could estimate with some degree of certainty that the UFP first appeared over the New York Power Authorities Niagara Mohawk Company (attributed by some as being the source of the trouble) and during it's rather brief visibility continuing on over the New York Central Railroad tracks near Lake Oneida.

Among many other persons known to have observed the exact or related event was Robert C. Walsh, employed by the city of Syracuse As Deputy Aviation Commander. Walsh said that while on a simple pleasure ride over the shopping district of downtown Syracuse the lights seemed to dim, then brighten and then go out completely. At first he was panic stricken with the thought his eyes were failing him and fearing that he might crash and hurt someone he began to take evasive action. However at about this point he could still barely see the lights from the traffic in the street and not knowing what to think he radioed the tower at Hancock Field. They told him, NOT KNOWING the overall wide spread

trouble, that the whole town had gone completely dark almost at once.

Deciding that he would be of more help on the ground than in the air he proceeded to land his light single engine aircraft. Upon landing he proceeded to help other pilots, who were likewise circling the field, to come in safely.

Taking a needed rest he and a few of the other air port officials sat down at the side of one of the long runways. Just about then he, and ten others, saw a large "ball of fire" south of them toward Thompson Road and Carrier traffic circle. "It appeared to be about 100 feet in the air and 50 feet in diameter. All I could think of was a mushroom effect." he later stated.

About ten or twelve minutes later another display of which "I have yet to see an explanation for" was seen by the same witnesses at approximately the same or nearby location.


In the nearby town of Camillus a Mrs. Dewey Boshers and her three children - Dewey 17, Howard 9, and Suzette 14 - said they saw a "huge, dome-shaped" object in the sky, with a mushroom-type trail. The object appeared, to them, to stay in the same position for a good ten minutes and then rose over the moon and then, just as suddenly, all the lights went out. The UFO then seemed to change it's position in the sky and whisked away in a flash.

Tom Doxsee, another notable witness, said the object appeared to him as if it were a setting sun "only in the east". His observation, along with that of a neighbor, who said it stood on end and glowed yellow, said it appeared to stay in the sky for about an hour after the lights went out.

Two pretty teenage co-eds who live on Mt. Olympus, a hill-top on Syracuse's beautiful campus, said they saw a smoking reddish ball "moving towards their dormitory complex with sparks coming out of it" both the night before the blackout and once again on November 15.

Another unidentified resident of Matty-Jale, near Hancock Field, said he witnessed an unusual sight in the form of an egg-shaped object while out driving on the night of the blackout.

But perhaps the biggest break through came in the form of two pictures showing the mysterious sky visitor taken by William Stillwell, a sexton for the St. Paul's Episcopal Church in Syracuse and released to the news media on November 16th. He claims that he has seen identical objects on twelve different occasions. He claims that they travel at varying speeds, hover in the sky sometimes for hours and then take off in various directions. He says that he is quite certain that they are not any conventional type craft and he is at a loss to explain the phenomena.



Pictures of "fireball," taken about one minute apart by William Stillwell

One time, while looking through his telescope, from the East wing of his private room in the Church, he said he was able to get a good look at the "what is it" by turning the power to 117. The UFO's center appeared to be rotating, "going around and around."

Stillwell told newsmen "I don't know where they came from or whether they are manned or unmanned I don't know - I do know they aren't Air Force planes - we haven't got any planes that go that fast."

Residents as far removed from upper New York state as New Jersey and Connecticut also saw strange lights and objects in the sky on the night of the "big blackout".

One such event recorded in or near Somerville, N.J. by Mrs. John Dorr was unusual in that the UFO appeared to make a large "box" by moving up and down and back and forth. One New York City resident claimed that a very black and menacing cloud, in an otherwise perfectly clear sky, was the most unusual sight she had ever witnessed. And the funny thing about it is that it did not move but stayed in the exact same spot for a considerable length of time.

Suggestions for these events, which could not otherwise be explained, included an explosion in the city dump near Syracuse, the moon, street lights from a nearby city reflecting on cloud layers and dust blown up from the moon by the latest Russian space voyager all of which failed to stand up under close investigation. Some in fact were harder to swallow than admitting that a UFO might have been responsible for the blackout.

Both NICAP and APRO list many identical cases where UFO's have caused power failures. NICAP's recently published UFO EVIDENCE lists many of these.

With this case in mind it is not hard to speculate that the cause of the recent flurried reports in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana and as far away as California were in some way involved with UFO activity in the sky over the United States.

For on December 9th, 1965, over some seven states a mysterious object or objects reportedly blew up causing wide spread brush fires.

Fallen debris from the object, or one of them if such be the case, are said to have started fires in at least three states - Ohio, Pennsylvania and Mich-

igan. The first report came from Windsor, Ontario, Canada about 5 p.m. Shortly thereafter the object was said to have fallen in the vicinity of Elyria, Ohio but a search of a wooded area produced nothing. The witness, a Mrs. Ralph Richard told the NEW YORK JOURNAL AMERICAN that the object didn't have a tail or anything and she saw no smoke although it did start a fire. It was not like a fireball, according to her, but instead was round and seemed to give off a blue-yellow glow which later turned to pure yellow.

Officials stated that another woman reported seeing a UFO doing maneuvers in the sky and then falling to the earth near her home. It was not close enough for her to see any great amount of detail. The area in question, about 30 miles from Pittsburg, was quickly roped off by the Army as reported on the 1 A.M. news broadcast over WNBC TV.

About the same time near Laper, Michigan two small stacks of shredded foil were found by the Sheriff's office investigating reports that a flaming UFO had fallen in the area.

The U.S. Coast Guard said a flying object apparently exploded over the Windsor-Detroit area and 4 vessels were dispatched into Lake St. Clair but they could find nothing.

And indeed if it was a meteor or fireball we must acknowledge it as the most unusual on record for from Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Canada it was also observed in California by two patrolmen, Charles Seril and Clark Mears, a number of hours later at 1 a.m.

They said it moved in a southerly direction over the hills west of San Francisco Bay. Police reported phone calls on sightings of a second object at 5:40 a.m.

A meteor that crashes in five different places, starts fires, vanishes and then shows up thousands of miles away hours later sound better than any saucer tale to date.

To back up the idea of stopping wars or nuclear experiments which might effect the entire universe while President Johnson was in Hawaii (early Feb.) speaking with representatives of the Viet Nam government an entire two mile radius around his hotel had an unexplained power failure. The lights returned some 10 minutes later as mysteriously as they had went out. No explanation was offered.

Other recent power failures include a hundred mile strip of the southwestern

U.S. from El Paso to Central New Mexico (the site of much UFO activity since 1964) was without power for more than an hour on December 2nd.

On Dec. 26 a big power failure left some eight million persons in greater Buenos Aires without electric power for two hours.

Also in late December all the south and central parts of Finland including the four largest cities of Helsinki, Turku,

Tampere and Lahti were darkened for fifteen minutes leaving about three thousand persons without electrical power.

And on the night of January 8th a UFO is thought to be responsible for a black-out which resulted in a loss of power in Southern Italy. Witnesses said a big fire-ball like object flared across the sky and then disappeared.

THE CASE FOR "SUPERCIVILIZATIONS" IN OUTER SPACE

(A joint press release issued by Joseph L. Ferriere and Armand A. Laprade, Editors & Publishers of Probe.)

The recent announcement from the Soviet Union that they have been receiving signals from space that just might have been beamed Earthward by intelligent beings may, at first glance, tend to create a bit of a shock. Especially to they who have found contentment and security in the belief that man, a rational and intelligent being, is unique in the cosmos.

While it is only natural to react with surprise to the Russian announcement, we must be very careful not to arrive at any definite conclusions solely on the basis of the meager evidence offered thus far. The signals received from CTA-21 and CTA-102 are indeed added evidence in support of the growing belief that we are not alone in the universe, but-surely more concrete evidence must be forthcoming before we are ready to admit that there is "somebody out there."

Actually, if we take an in-depth look at the possibilities that lie herein, we find that these strange signals have been preceded by still other "messages" from space. Messages also strange, perplexing and - possibly, intelligent in nature.

As far back as the year 1939, Mr. Grote Reber, an electronics engineer from Wheaton, Illinois received and recorded what he stated were intelligent, though undecipherable messages from

the stars. The messages he received were a weird sort of dot-dash signal. It was none of the codes used on Earth, either in general use, or in secret code use, such as military code. Reber told of listening nightly for as much as eight hours to these signals from the stars. He specifically stated that they were coming from one area, either a star or a constellation. Lest you think he was not heard respectfully, this same man who claimed he had received intelligent messages from outer space is now the head of a four-million-dollar Government in Hawaii. His job? To listen, at Government expense, to those same "hissing noises from the stars"!

Actually, we can go as far back as the year 1900 when electrical engineer Nikola Tesla reported hearing signals from space which suggested "number and order". Public ridicule however, discouraged him from publishing any data. Data which, in this age of enlightenment, would not be so hastily rejected.

Also, while the signals received recently seem to originate from a source that is many light-years away, it is altogether possible that we need not look so far for evidence of intelligent messages from space. For instance, in 1953 radio astronomer Dr. John D. Kraus, then director of the Ohio State University observatory, said that he had picked

up signals from Venus that resembled telegraph code. He made the disclosure in a statement to the Associated Press. The AP clipping read as follows: . . . "An American astronomer thinks there could be a radio telegraph transmitting station on the planet Venus. The director of Ohio State University's radio observatory in Columbus - Dr. John D. Kraus - reports he has picked up signals that resemble radio telegraphy in many ways. He says numerous observations have convinced him the signals definitely come from Venus. Earlier this month, Dr. Kraus reported that radio signals resembling static from terrestrial thunderstorms were received from Venus - sometimes called Earth's "twin." . . . But today he says that the new signals are distinctly different. As he puts it, this type of radio emission has "many characteristics of signals from a terrestrial radio telegraphy transmitting station." (end of AP story)

Astronomers on Earth have been hearing through their radio telescopes a medley of radio signals from Venus, Jupiter and many other sources in space.

Professors Philip Morrison and Giuseppe Cocconi of Cornell University say... "near some star like our Sun, there

are civilizations with scientific interests and with technical possibilities much greater than those now available to us---We shall assume that long ago they established a channel of communication that would one day become known to us and that they look forward to the answering signals which would make known to them that a new society has entered the community of intelligence."

Finally, while the few isolated cases represented here may serve to strengthen the evidence that has recently been submitted through the Soviet Union, we must bear in mind that while this still does not offer concrete proof that we are not alone in the universe, it is readily apparent that we stand on the very threshold of discovering that which we have oft-times speculated upon. We must then, keep our mind's open - that we may be psychologically prepared for the day when an official announcement shall be forthcoming that the creator, in his all pervasive wisdom, did not see fit to limit his works of wonder to this small and relatively insignificant globe.

Until that day - we can only ask: are they friendly? How do they look? And ... most important of all...what do they want?

UFO'S and GT-5 PHOTOGRAPHS

In the November 1965 issue of the IIR we carried the story of the photographs taken by James R. Peek during the launching of GT-5. Peek, a senior engineering laboratory technician, optics expert and experienced amateur photographer saw an object traveling at a very high speed. "It looked like a silver of brilliant green phosphorescent light just east of the contrail left by the rocket."

"It made a sort of S-turn in and around the contrail, became elliptical in shape as turned, then changed into a clearly defined disc."

In a three page letter from Project Bluebook dated January 13, 1966 the following information was received. "The photographs of Mr. Peeke were submitted to the Air Force at Patrick Air Force base for analysis. Even at a superficial glance, it was obvious that the photos contained processing defects. However, in order to confirm this fact, the negatives were submitted to photo analysts and evaluated as emulsion flaws. What the camera sees, man can also see with his eyes. Countless millions observed the launch on television."

THE PUBLIC, THE GOVERNMENT, AND UFO'S

by: JOHN PRYTZ

In order to find out whether or not the United States Air Force and/or Government could be right in censoring UFO information, the Oyster Bay UFO Investigative Committee director, John Prytz, conducted a survey, which they imposed on part of the American public. As most saucer researchers will agree, the U.S.A.F. and/or Government is withholding UFO information from the general public. Although most of us know not why they are doing this, we can guess that the two most major reasons could be (a) to prevent another mass panic, as occurred in 1938 with the Orsen Welles' scare, and/or (b) for national security (whatever that might include). The Oyster Bay UFO Investigative Committee (O.B.U.I.C.) went around to 52 Americans of all ages and professions trying to find out what they thought of themselves, vs. the government, vs. the Flying Saucers. We were attempting to find out if mass-panic could be the reason to the UFO hush-up. A total of ten questions were asked and the answers are as follows:

Q. (1) How would you react if the United States Air Force and/or Government told you that Flying Saucers were real and that we were being watched by beings from outer space?

A. (Included) "I would believe them"; "I wouldn't be scared"; "Interesting"; "Delighted"; "unconcerned"; "Highly interested"; "Wouldn't be upset"; "Worried"; "Help"; "I'd say 'nuts!'"; "wouldn't be surprised"; "I'd take care that at the next election"; "Why worry?"; "Go on as usual"; "Excited"; "Accept it as a fact"; "I wouldn't believe them"; "No reaction"; and "Like to know more."

Q. (2) What would you do if you saw a Flying Saucer land providing the object(s) takes no hostile action against you and you saw no life on board the craft?

A. Run Away- 4; Take Hostile Action 0; Stay Put- 14; Inform others- 32; Walk towards the Object- 10; Try and make some sort of contact- 20; Other answers included; "Clean My Glasses"; and "Get a Heart Attack."

Q. (3) Why would you do any of the above?

A. "To see what the hell sort of an object it was"; "Out of Curiosity"; "So as to stay alive" and "Fear of the unknown". (Most said Curious)

Q. (4) If you told someone about the object(s) that you saw land, who would you tell?

A. Mother, Police, First human I saw, The military, family, C.I.A., F.B.I., John Prytz, Everyone, Air Force, Army, Wife, A scientist, My dog, and Saucer Magazines. (Police and military were predomanat)

Q. (5) If you told nobody about the object(s) that you saw land, then why wouldn't you?

A. Crazy (I'd be called); So not to endanger the occupants of the saucer from trigger-happy police and military, and It's so unbelievable.

Q. (6) If Flying Saucers are real, do you think the American public should know about it?

A. No - 2; Maybe - 8; Yes - 42.

Q. (7) If the United States Air Force is withholding information on Flying Saucers, on what grounds do you think they might be doing this for?

A. Mass-panic - 28; Security Reasons - 8; Other answers included: No idea, Too Fantastic, Circumstantial evidence, and narrow-sighted military mentality.

Q. (8) Regardless of the reason, do you think that the Air Force has the right (both legally and morally) to censor UFO information from the American public?

A. No - 22; Maybe - 10; Yes - 20.

Q. (9) If Flying Saucers are real, would you want to know the truth?

A. No - 0; Maybe - 0; Yes - 52.

Q. (10) If someone told you that they saw a Flying Saucer land, would you believe them?

A. No - 6; Maybe - 42; Yes - 4.

It is most evident from this survey that (a) most people won't be affected by the reality that Flying Saucers are real nor that we are not alone in the universe, (b) most people are not afraid to tell others if they see a Flying Saucer, (c) Most people think that should UFO's be real, the American public should know about it, (d) most people would want to know the truth, and (e) most people have an open mind on the UFO subject. This is the most important of all. It should be noted that in a past survey done by O.B.U.I.C. 19 out of 52 people thought the Air Force and/or Government was withholding UFO in-

formation from the public.

It is suggested that perhaps other UFO groups conduct similar or different surveys in their area to see if the ratios they get are the same as in the New York City area.

So far as the public is concerned a general dissemination of this knowledge is greatly needed if we are a truly aware people. I question the supposed need to shield the people from the truth. The quest of this field cannot remain in military hands alone. Remember that when you have eliminated the impossible, whatever remains, however improbable, must be the truth. We are not alone.

SPACE SHIPS OR SHOOTING STARS

by: John W. Dean, author of *Flying Saucers and the Scriptures*

The Press is to be commended for its loyalty to the Air Force anti-saucer propagandists who continue to try to kid themselves and their feeble-minded children into believing that the space craft sighted by thousands of people over four south-central states might have been large fixed stars which are to be seen somewhere around the world every night as the Earth rotates under them and all of the others.

Then the flimsy references to the wind and temperature as being favorable, those few nights, for scintillation! There were many other hot windy nights and the stars twinkled just the same before the Air Force spokesman was born. Are such servants of Uncle Sam paid for talking such nonsense?

There were four space ships seen closely enough to be described as long ovals like flattened footballs. Ignoring the details the experts said they could be "observations of a delta meteor shower" which would continue from

July 26 to August 6 (twelve days). Maybe so, but the Encyclopedia Americana says the Delta-Aquarids show for only three days and the maximum is July 28 when an average of 27 per hour strike the Earth's atmosphere. The shower seems to radiate from Delta, the fourth brightest star of the constellation Aquarius.

It is more likely that those seen the first few days in August were the Perseids (from Perseus), due to continue for twenty-five days with their maximum August 11, and averaging 69 per hour, day and night, for the whole Earth is exposed to them. Most of them are about like grains of sand which become incandescent and luminous when they come into our superheated stratosphere and possibly our atmosphere and are consumed within one to four seconds, depending on whether the stream flows with the movement of the Earth in its orbit or against it. If the latter, then

they are more numerous during the morning hours.

The Perseid shower is really a stream possibly over two million miles through and it follows the orbit of its comet which gradually loses dust and gas as it goes. It is doubtful if the Earth's orbit passes through the stream at right angles or through the center so we cannot be sure of the actual width.

The Great Comet of 1861 came within 13,000,000 miles of the Earth and we passed through its tail with no harmful effects. That meant it was half way between Venus and the Earth which is very unusual. The Morehouse Comet came even closer to our orbit in 1908 but the Earth was not near at the time. A comet is said to be a 'dark body' when far from the Sun. As it approaches it develops a tail or it begins to show. The head also increases in diameter as though it flattened out from the resistance of the force of the sunlight.

If we passed through the two meteor streams in close succession we would see meteors for nearly a month, all around the world at our latitude. It is not a local affair for a few nights or even for just one city. But there was just one space ship which came down in front of a truck driver near Elmo, Kansas on Route 15 south of Abilene and blocked the highway, for no great star as big as our Sun, nor a flattened balloon, nor a floating meteor fits the description given. Just a spaceman wanting a bag of peanuts! Stars (suns of other systems) do not leave their family of planets and move about, nor shoot, nor fall. Meteors travel in a straight line for only a few seconds. Space ships, high in the air, move about to attract your attention so don't try to deceive yourself.

The following is not in my book about Flying Saucers and the Scriptures but what would the Air Force say if I told them that their far-fetched alibi of shooting stars was in the Bible? Space ships certainly were reported but cavorting stars are not, for the Book of Jasher was not eligible for the canon of the Scriptures although it is quoted twice in the Old Testament as authentic. It was just too long - 90 chapters which fill 267 pages; also Jasher's giants were too tall, but it does give many interesting details which are omitted in other books of the Old Testament.

Probably not one Bible student in a thousand knows the story of the birth of the patriarch Abraham. The strange story is told in Chapter 8. I will quote

from the first four verses:

1. And it was in the night that Abram was born that all of the Servants of Terah, and all of the wise men . . . rejoiced with him that night.

2. And when all the wise men went out. . . they lifted up their eyes toward heaven. . . and they saw one very large star come from the east and run in the heavens, and he swallowed up the four stars from the four sides of the heavens.

3. And all of the wise men were astonished at the sight, and the sages understood this matter, and they knew its import.

4. And they said, "This betokens that the child who has been born to Terah this night will grow up to be fruitful, and multiply and possess all the earth, he and his children forever. . ."

Though Abraham was not a child of promise as was Jesus and John the Baptist such a sign would mean that he would be someone extraordinary. And when the King heard about it he decided to save himself by killing the child. So he made a deal with Terah to buy the child. Terah seemed willing but stalled for three days, probably knowing that one of his servants would bear a child soon. She did, and it was a boy so he took it to the King, got his price, and watched the King kill the child. That meant that he had to hide Abraham for several years. . . but it is too long even to summarize here.

But the astral display, probably in 1948 B.C., certainly indicates that space ships were behaving as no respectable meteor or star would ever do, as a sign to Terah that a great space man was being reborn, or a child who would become an extraordinary man. This is proved by the account of how Abraham, as a youth reasoned that his father's idols were simply man-made objects of wood and so he destroyed them. Later, he left the idolatrous Ur of the Chaldees and migrated via the Golden Crescent to Salem of the Jebus, as though he had been called by that space man, Machiventa Melchizedek, who suddenly appeared on the scene announcing himself as the priest of El Elyon, the Most High God.

Melchizedek taught and ruled and reigned at Jerusalem for ninety-four years, according to the Urantia Book, and was then taken back to heaven, by the eleven other members. Jesus, when he had 'finished' his last bestowal, was raised to be a member and later was made their chief, "a priest forever after the order of Melchizedek".

WANAQUE, NEW JERSEY

A UFO observed by several hundred persons around Wanaque, New Jersey last January 11, 1966 may prove to be one of NJ biggest sightings. The UFO was first observed by Mayor Harry Wolf, Civil Defense Director, Bentle Spencer and several members of the Wanaque council. Some of the best observations came from the Wanaque Reservoir Dam, Patrolman George Dyckman stated, "It was weird. A bright white object about two feet in diameter, moving up and down and around."

The UFO reappeared the following night. The Air Force explained the light as the planets Venus and Jupiter in a news story January 14 in Newark, N.J. The Patterson New for January 14 stated that the Air Force had explained the sightings as an Air Force helicopter equipped with a powerful light. However the Air Force was unable to explain the "Fliting" movement of the object.

Reports came in that the UFO was flying at tree top level. Tom Garrison 39, stated, "This time it came down to tree top level, the bright white light

coming from it seemed to dim and then brighten, like it was turning or rotating." Alan Smith reported the same thing while observing the UFO over Tulsa. Garrison continued, "It was bigger and brighter than any star I've ever seen and it made no noise."

IIOUFO member August Roberts was quoted in the Herald News, January 15, "It seems strange that the Air Force should send jets to check on its own helicopter."

August C. Roberts of Wayne, a member of the international Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects, said last night "these objects follow a certain pattern. Quite possibly this one wil lreturn. I want to be on hand when it does."

The object did return and the above photo is what was captured on film. The photo was taken at 11:30 PM on January 22, 1966. As Mr. Roberts stated, "It appeared to be coming towards us, either on top of the ice or just below the water."



Photo by - A.C. Roberts

HOW ONE UFO PUBLICATION GOT ITS START

by Gene Duplantier

Back in 1937 science fiction was having one of its most imaginative sprees. Some stories featured disc-shaped vehicles from Pluto and beyond to spark the intellect to its utmost in stretchability. Ten years later they became a reality with Ken Arnold's famous sighting. It was ten years more until it struck me that what this country needed was a saucer magazine to inform others in all parts of the world that Canadians were also being visited by unidentified flying objects. And so "SAUCERS, SPACE & SCIENCE" made its debut as an exchange magazine.

It wasn't long before established publications such as Norbert Gariety's S.P.A.C.E. were using material from SS&S and that initiated a wave of persons who wanted to know how they could get the entire issue of SS&S. In a conversation with Gray Barker, at that time the editor of The Saucerian Bulletin, we arrived at what we thought would be a fair rate. It has remained the same to this day although SS&S is now quite a bit thicker than the original eight pages.

Little did I realize that the hobby I had undertaken would deprive me of much of my spare time. It has nevertheless been a rewarding experience. I have met personally and indirectly through the mail some of the most engaging persons you could ever want to know. Most have taken SS&S to their hearts by sending in sighting reports both local and regional. The material ranges through unusual sightings, contactees, reports of unusual phenomena, book reviews, upcoming UFO convention dates, space movie lists, space humour, articles by well informed writers, UFO photo offers and anything that might be of interest to a ufologist who desires to keep up with the latest developments.

With continued assistance from regular readers and a self-imposed clipping service, I hope to keep a steady flow of reliable information and news coming your way for some time to come.

(Subscription rates to SS&S are 6 issues for \$2. Send your order to:

Gene Duplantier,
17 Shetland Street,
Willowdale, Ontario, Canada.

FIRST EXAMINATION of THE ALLAN SMITH'S PHOTOGRAPH

Following an analysis here in Bruges it appears the many parts of lights determine a solid shaped object.

The element which is absolutely interestingly is the dark dash we can see on the below part of the object. In fact in France many times peoples saw discs generally red with a black dash across the circular surface.

I think it is a similar object here for the Allan Smith's picture. Above this page you can see the frontal structure of the "possible" object. The bluish and reddish parts determine a spherical upper part with a dark "bridge" of dark dash. This bridge is covered the spherical blue-red shape. The two little square in the upper part are perhaps gleams of the "cupola" part. Or two portholes (if we consider the definit squares. But, you can see that this little squares are just in an inclination which is symmetrical. What is so absolutely sure?

1. The spherical part of the below part incorporated in a disk.
2. A dark dash or "bridge" across the below part which cover the spherical part.
3. Two squares (part upper) which are symmetrical in inclination.
4. The "thing" is a typical UFO as many see in France: red or yellow with a dark dash across. (GESAG is looking after such sightings for IIOUFO, to follow.)

CREDIT: GESAG BELGIUM - JAN. 1966

The December 1965 issue of "Modern Man" has an article "Flying Saucers Are Back" by Bob Nystedt. Many photographs.

The January 1966 issue of "Popular Science" has UFO article "Why I Believe in Flying Saucers" by MacKinlay Kantor. UFO photographs.

IMPORTANT UFO EVIDENCE OBTAINED

INCIDENT 2

An Oklahoma Civil Defense officer sighted a UFO between 10:30 until 11:00 p.m. on Monday evening August 2 while driving from Oklahoma City on Interstate 35 enroute to Norman. The object was NE of Norman. In a letter dated August 4, 1965 to HIOUFO headquarters "The craft was in the or over, the NE area of Norman. There was a quarter plus moon and the comparative size of the craft was almost as big as the moon would have been were it full. I saw four or six lights that were blinking regularly in rhythm; there was never any variance in the rhythm. I did not bother to count the lights because I was so startled, but half of them were red and half of them were either blue, green, or blue-green; the colors were alternated and were arranged in a circle that in my view looked oval-shaped. The red lights blinked simultaneously. All this time the lights were traveling in a counter-clockwise motion on the craft.


The astounding thing to me was that the lights traveled to a point east of Moore, or NE of Norman. Then it emitted a short brilliant white or yellowish flash and suddenly changed its direction and began to travel toward the southwest towards Norman. When it reached a point southwest of Norman it again emitted that white or yellowish flash and once again began traveling toward the NE of Norman. I will further say that this object was too clear, too rhythmical and too complex to be an illusion or a scintillation." (All information on file HIOUFO headquarters).

INCIDENT 1

On Sunday night August 1st, 1965 a trained Air Force weather observer using high powered binoculars and a 40 power spotting scope spotted a saucer shaped object over Norman, Oklahoma. The object approached from the northeast about 9:30 p.m. and "arced" in a direct line to the southwest. "The thing was moving quite rapidly. We observed in a little less than five minutes before it went out of sight. It was about 22,000 feet up, pitched at about a 45-degree angle."

"It was shaped like a flying saucer, and through the 10-power binoculars took on the appearance of being quite large. There were two sets of revolving, pulsating lights on its perimeter. They were surrounded by a bluish halo, but were bright enough so the saucer shape was easy to distinguish."

Complete story was published on Tuesday, August 3, 1965 by the Oklahoma Journal, 7430 S.E. 15th Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.



INCIDENT 3

In August, 1965 several thousand persons reported sightings multi-colored UFOs over a nine state area. Radio and television programs were interrupted as persons dashed outside to get a glimpse of the strange disk shaped objects.

The UFO's appeared to be bright lights, but when observed through telescopes had a large dome on top and were almost flat on bottom. They were estimated to be some 40-60 feet across and flying at altitudes of 10,000 to 20,000 ft. in close and loose formations. They flashed red, green, blue and white lights.

A spectacular color photo, (cover November 1965 IIR) was obtained by Alan Smith in Tulsa, Oklahoma at approximately 1:45 a.m. on August 2, 1965. IIOUFO first learned about the photo approximately 10 days after it was taken, but was unable to obtain it for evaluation. The object pulsed with a high whining sound and changed color from white, to red to blue green. The craft moved in a jerky manner. When the sound would get louder, the lights would get brighter and the object would speed up.

Photo analysis by John Gumm, IIOUFO Scientific Panel member and Oklahoma Journal photographer showed that the camera had a focus lens with a shutter speed of 1-50th of a second and a relative aperture of F-11. The film used was Kodacolor X having a speed of ASA 64. Approximate focal length of the camera lens was $2\frac{1}{4}$ or 60mm.

"This gives the object an arbitrary size of 50 feet in diameter. Measuring the image size on the film (4.5mm) the object would have to be less than a mile from the camera." Gumm continued, "Measuring the density of the image on the film, the brightness of the object would be relatively twice that of a full moon." Alan's father who has worked for American Airlines for 17 years estimated the object to be approximately 50 feet across flying about 5-8000 feet.

The full account is given in the Oklahoma Journal, Tuesday, October 5, 1965. Original copies can be obtained by writing to the Oklahoma Journal 7430 S.E. 15, Oklahoma City, or by obtaining the November 1965 IIR in which the front page was reproduced.

THE FLORIDA FLAP

While the rest of the Country was in the midst of the now famous "FLAP", we here in Florida had our "own Flap". We, here in this area are fortunate in having many "watchers" who report in as soon as they have a sighting. The following is a compilation of many reports sent in to me. Because of the lack of space I have not included all small details, these can be obtained by interested researchers who want to contact me at East Coast Headquarters.

July 28, '65 - Electrical Disturbance - Noted on Channel 13 T.V. 5:30 to 6:15 P.M. - Bleaching Out Of Picture.

July 28, '65 - Point of Light - On and Off - Large, steady light, seen at 9:00 P.M. - 9:35 P.M. - 9:45 P.M. - 11:45 P.M. - Observed by many in St. Pete and Tampa between 9 and 11 P.M. in the S.E.

July 29, '65 - White, blinking light, very rapid, going north to northeast, twice size of 1st. magnitude star. Time: 12:30 - 12:32 A.M.

July 31, '65 - Seen in small opening in clouds - 1:45 A.M. - Yellowish white disc - size of 50 cent piece - crossed rapidly through opening NOT moon.

August 3, '65 - Cocoa, Fla. 4 objects, different colors, seen by 4 policemen, hovered over police station, changed formations, one noted, diamond-shaped, changeable configurations.

August 4, '65 - Light source - color of mercury vapor light - 9:30 P.M. Stationary - seen for short length of time then it disappeared in the same spot - no cloud cover.

August 6, '65 - 1:00 A.M. - Sphere shape, bluish white, very high, EM effect noticed - direction W-N-W - 60 degree elevation, curved toward north, became brighter, white fuzziness enveloped it giving it the overall appearance of lens-shape, blue ball of light visible in center, went down to 10 degree elevation in north.

August 17, '65 - White triangle shape - no fusilage or tail - red light at one tip - green at other - no sound - 10:30 P.M. blue white light source - overhead, moved to northeast - 35 degree elevation - re-

mained there 5 min. dissappeared.

10:35 P.M. Light source - South to North, over head, then moved to North-east and gone.

August 18, '65 - 9:32 P.M. - Light source in Northeast - 25 degrees elevation - motionless for 2 or 3 minutes, moved to North, dimmed at North-Northeast till plane appeared from Southeast, object then moved due East, brightened, turned brilliant green and vanished, no sound.

August 19, '65 - Two objects - "shooting star" types - shot across sky - did not die out or the trajectory did not decline towards ground - left red streaks visible after the "object" was gone - reported to the local College.

August 24, 26, '65 - Many reports of "Light Sources" at various times of the evening - an attempt was made to correlate them and either of the echo's - these lights did not conform to the schedule.

August 27, '65 - Moving red object resembling one reported on the 6th. of Aug. Time: 7:45 P.M. - Same Behavior.

August 29, '65 --Overhead - moving very fast - heading south, went behind small cloud and dissappeared - not echo.

8:53 P.M. - cigar-shape - bluish-white - very bright overhead to north - seen through binoculars.

Other cities in Florida have had sightings during the last few months. These include: Miami, Cocoa, Sarasota, Bradenton, Clearwater, Brooksville, Cape Kennedy, New Port Richey, Tampa, Tallahassee, Jacksonville, Orlando, Ft. Pierce, Passagrille.

The sightings reported included: formations of objects, spheres, cigar-shapes, light sources, disc-shapes.

One sighting in particular occurred on Thursday night, November 18, '65. By the Florida state director, Don Armstrong and his wife. Location: on a high hill in Brooksville, Florida. Time: between 7:30 and 10:30 P.M. This same sighting was seen by at least 15 or 20 others in the area. There were about 15 to 18 objects in all. These objects were solid when viewed through glasses.

Many jets were in evidence, the "glowing spheres" were playing "tag" with the planes, letting them close in and then darting away! Then activity would quiet down for about a half hour, then a formation of four objects flew over.. At one time there were many objects reported in the air at the same time. These objects were discribed as: solid, yellowish or orange-ish in color, seen through a telescope or glasses appeared "round" some with a green-ish "tail" or smudge.

There are many differences indulged in by the major factions of the "UFO" field. Many times these differences seem to filter down to the local level. Some organizations feel it is necessary to muzzle sighting information, to keep it for their own private stock. I feel that this is the wrong attitude to take when you consider the seriousness of the problems facing all of us in the field.

The foregoing sightings could not have been obtained without the co-operation of many individuals comprising several different organizations, both local and national. Some of the national organizations involved were: A. P. R. O., N.I.C.A.P., I.I.O.U.F.O., and many thanks to the "UFO Research Organizations" some of whose members are also members of the national groups.

Mrs. Joan Whritenour
Director, East Coast IIOUFO

The February 22, 1966 issue of "Look" magazine has an excellent UFO article by Mr. John G. Fuller entitled "Outer Space Ghost Story." Mr. Fuller who is a column writer for the Saturday Review recently appeared on the "Today Show" and "The Tonight Show". His forthcoming book copyright 1966 entitled "Incident At Exeter" will be released in June. It will be published by G.P. Putnam's Sons. Watch for IIOUFO review.

GRAVITATIONAL CONTROL

by: Doc Adamson, Metalsmith

I have read and enjoyed your articles in the Oklahoma Journal very much. They indicate clear unbiased thinking; the recognition of a problem as yet unsolved; and with the limited facilities available to you, the collection and classification of a tremendous amount of information that could help solve the problem.

My wife and I have been fortunate enough to see what remains to us a UFO just one time. To the best of our recollection it was the some night the first visible sighting of man mode satellites were to be possible in Oklahoma City. These were to travel from Southwest to Northeast and almost overhead.

We were standing in the driveway close to the street scanning the sky in the general path the satellite should take. Suddenly in the Northeast we noticed a swiftly moving light. At first glance we thought it was a plane. Its very high speed and the lack of the standard flashing lights quickly ruled out any ordinary plane. It was moving so fast I could not follow it and see good with the 8 x 50 Binoculars we were using, so stepped back off the driveway to lean against a tree and steady myself and improve vision. By this time the object had traveled south of due east. We believe it was visible to us no more than a minute. Since even with a good steady glass it was too far away for us to distinguish any definite shape, we estimated it to

be 15 or more miles from us at the closest point. We were also sure that in the minute it was visible to us it traveled the hypotenuse of a right angle triangle the other legs of which were about equal.

This sighting was not recorded or reported by us at the time, for which I am sorry. It seemed relatively unimportant at the time in view of the fact that as we remember it, there was mention in the paper next morning that a lot of people had seen and reported fast moving lights.

I must admit a very definite interest in UFO's and believe that at least some of them have been and ore from other planets and possibly even other solar systems. My real interest in in duplicating or equaling their method of propulsion. This may seem amusing to you. To me it is evident that they use some method of Gravitational control which permits almost limitless speed and flexibility of of movement. If they developed it why can't we?

It has been some ten years or more since Gravitational Control was first given serious thought by me. The first few years produced a lot of ideas none of which were practical enough to give more than routine consideration. About seven years ago a more or less abstract Theory was developed. Since that time there has been a gradual evolution of thought toward the more practical and



DOC ADAMSON

MAJOR C.W. DUTREAU
IIUFO

Photo by - D.V. Critchfield

detailed mechanics involved. This evolution has occurred within the abstract framework of this original Theory. Such information is difficult to convey in a letter and is attempted here only in simple, general terms.

This idea represents nothing magical. Just plain Energy Conversion. The method or mechanism may not be so plain, but even it should be simple once it works.

Einstein stated that Gravity is an Electro-magnetic manifestation and as such is capable of polarization.

Polarization is the first step to Gravitational Control. Any rotating object is surrounded by a polarized Gravitational field. The Degree of polarization being relative to the centrifugal effect created. Thus Polarization is created by the stress of the Centrifugal effect.

Stress within the Gravitational force field increases and decreases along with the Degree of Polarization and the Stress of the Centrifugal effect.

If for any reason the stress of the Polarized Gravitational field can be converted momentarily to ANY other form of energy, this would result in a loss of weight in direct proportion to the energy conversion. This loss of weight would

only last for the time involved in the conversion. Thus an impulse of 1/10,000 second duration would not be of practical value and could even go undetected.

To be of practical value we must develop the mechanism that will permit the maximum number and duration of impulses and still allow good control and high amplification of the conversion effect, which is loss of weight.

The lack of money for Research and Experimentation has left me with mostly just papers and ideas.

We have made 5 experiments. None of the results were recorded properly.

One experiment was accompanied by an effect that like the UFO's could be explained more than one way. The mechanism was damaged in a later test and when reworked to try and duplicate this unusual effect we were unsuccessful. Will say that the machine tolerances were not as close the second time and a D.C. Generator was used instead of battery current.

If you or anyone you may know is interested in this phase of the UFO subject, I would be happy to talk to them.

Thank you very much for any consideration you may give this letter and much luck in your gathering and dissemination information on UFOs.

'Flying Saucers' Nothing But Ants?

DENVER (U P I)—Those so-called "flying saucers" spotted over the Southwest last summer may not have been from Mars after all. A Denver man says he thinks they may have been swarms of flying ants.

They don't have to be ants, though, says Norton Novitt, a scientific illustrator. They may have been bees or wasps.

Novitt delivered his "flying saucer bugs" theory at a meeting of the Denver Astronomical Society. The phenomena, Novitt said, is caused by a cloud of dielectric insects that retain and then discharge static electricity.

Novitt said he hasn't had too much success with some scientists, although one wrote him that "your theory is plausible, stimulating, interesting and perhaps correct."

The Denver man said he first observed the insects through a telescope about three years ago while looking for satellites.

"It looked like a bunch of small spaceships trying to make contact with the mother ship in front," Novitt said.

АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК СОЮЗА СОВЕТСКИХ СОЦИАЛИСТИЧЕСКИХ РЕСПУБЛИК
АСТРОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ СОВЕТ

Москва, В-312 ул. Вавилова, 20

Тел. АВ-7-78-07; АВ-7-58-18

30, N o v e m b e r 1965

№ II6-040-I79

Mr. Hayden C. Hewes
Associate Director
IIOUFO
3005 West Eubanks
Oklahoma City, Okla.
U.S.A.

Dear Mr. Hewes,

Your letter addressed to the Chairman of the USSR Council of Ministers was forwarded for answering to the Astronomical Council of the USSR Academy of Sciences.

In the fifties-sixties years "flying saucers" were of interest in our country. However some years later the interest to these objects was lost, since important scientific investigations (in particular compiled in the known book by Prof. Menzel) proved profoundly the identity between mysterious "flying sources" and different geophysical and other phenomena.

At present there is no any organization or even any specialist in the Soviet Union who deals with the problem of "flying saucers".

Sincerely yours,

N. P. Erpylev
Learned Secretary of the
ASTRONOMICAL COUNCIL,
USSR Academy of Sciences.

N. Erpylev

Russians Claim Flying Saucers¹⁰⁻²⁻⁵⁷

MOSCOW—(UP)—The popular science magazine "Knowledge Is Strength" said Tuesday that flying saucers do exist and that Russia has them.

Prof. S. Zorshtein, writing in the current issue of the monthly publication, described a saucer-type plane. A diagram accompanied his verbal description.

"This flying disc is an original type of plane," Zonshtein said. "It can rise and descend vertically.

"The disc-like wing has four round apertures through which the engine sucks up air. This creates a vacuum on the upper surface of the circular wing.

The air thrust when directed downward lifts the aircraft off the ground.

"With the aid of a gyroscopic installation, the aircraft's cabin is always pointed along the trajectory of flight

The March 1966 issue of "Man's Illustrated" has the article "UFO's At 450 Fathoms" by Ed Hyde.

The March issue of "Pageant" carries an article entitled "Someone Up There Is Watching Us" by Edward Hymoff. Several good photographs.

The April issue of REAL has an article "Contact Outer Space" by John Otto. Interesting.

UFO's Just Air Lenses, Says Expert

DENVER (UPI) — People who sight flying saucers really are seeing something—but not flying saucers—said the director of the Harvard Observatory.

from other planets, Menzel

"I could take you out on any clear night and you can see 'flying saucers' by the dozens," Dr. Donald Menzel said. They are not spaceships, he said, but "some very plebian reflections from natural objects."

Menzel, in Denver to help kick off a fund drive for Denver University, where he graduated in 1920, said sunlight or strong ground light is reflected from many objects in the sky.

These include high altitude weather balloons, planes, pieces of foil carried aloft by the wind, kites, ice crystals — and especially "lenses of air" created by cold air on top of warm air.



Bright stars seen through these lenses appear larger, Menzel said. Sometimes they even flash different colors and dart about the sky.

Menzel said the same things that create these light mirages can also produce mirages on radar screens.

Menzel said the United States goes through periodic "flaps" when publicized reports of saucer sightings cause people to start looking for lights in the sky.

They always find them, he said. But they aren't "flying saucers." And there is no evidence to indicate the exploration of the earth by men from other planets, Menzel said

Drawings by
HAL CRAWFORD
HOUFO ART DIRECTOR



TULSA, OKLAHOMA - MARCH 1, 1966

WAYNE, NEW JERSEY
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1966

UFOs were once again sighted by the Oklahoma Highway Patrol since the August 1, wave of sightings. The latest sighting was reported by trooper Al Newport who said he saw the UFO at 5:40 a.m.. Tuesday. He said the light was "100 times brighter than a star and much larger. I was curious. I had never seen anything that large in the sky before."

The UFO was seen east-southeast of Tulsa. Newport said Trooper Lee Cdadwell and Dispatcher Jonnie Harkins also saw the light. Photographer Jim Tinker took a photograph of the UFO from 1208 S. Delaware Place.

The film was processed normally in a fine-grain developer, Tinker said. His wife said the object looked like a bright light which seemed to shimmer.


The IIOUFO is investigating the sighting and photograph both from our Tulsa Office as well as Headquarters. Read the results as soon as a conclusion is reached.

A glowing body that moved in an upward course over Wayne, New Jersey, home of IIOUFO Photo Lab. of August C. Roberts has been identified as a "Roving light" type UFO. At 11:30 pm Dr. John Pagano a New Jersey official of NICAP made the identification as the object hovered in the sky over Wayne.

Over a period of forty minutes the object darted about the sky. It had been reported by a number of residents. Observers also said the object changed colors.

A "roving light" UFO, via Dr. Pagano is identified by its characteristic movement, in that it moves too slowly to be a conventional object.

IIOUFO member August C. Roberts is investigating for the IIOUFO.



March 1 - Tulsa, Oklahoma

UFO REPORT

At approximately 8:08 p.m., CST, on Thursday, May 20, 1, along with one other witness, observed an object resembling the Echo II satellite, moving from North to South. When I first saw the object, it was about 70 degrees up from the Southern horizon, moving slowly. The UFO was slightly East of North-South, being approximately 75 degrees from the Eastern horizon. During the time I viewed the object, it halted momentarily, then resumed its movement. At no time did the light from the object vary while light was visible, although the object did blink out for a few seconds immediately after I first viewed it. The other witness, Bobby Mallett of Plumerville, stated that he had been watching the object for approximately 10 minutes before driving 1½ miles from his home to mine to inform me of the object's presence. He stated that the object had halted at intervals during this prior observation period of 10 minutes.

The color of the object was the "star-like" color of the familiar Echo satellites, with just a slight tint of green visible through binoculars. It was very slightly brighter than Echo II usually appears. I began viewing the object with my pair of variable power binoculars on the 9X setting, but no details of any sort were visible. It was merely a "light". After watching the object for some five or ten seconds, I handed the glasses to Bobby, who watched it for two minutes or so, while I began making notes. When he handed the field glasses back to me, I switched them to 12X and adjusted the focus, but again no details were visible. The object was now moving in a southerly direction and disappeared behind a wooded hill directly behind my house within the next 60 to 90 seconds. It did not blink out during my last period of observation, but maintained a bright steady light until it was lost to my sight.

There was no noise whatsoever in connection with the object, and, indeed, had it not been for the object's intermittent halting in its course, I would have dismissed it as an artificial satellite. No trail was visible at any time. The total time of our combined observations was roughly 4 minutes. The object

did not appear to deviate from its "flight path" at any time. All movements were uniform, with no jerkiness or rough movements. It would be impossible for me to estimate the object's altitude, as I could tell no appreciable difference between it and Echo II, insofar as appearance and apparent altitude were concerned.


Lucius Farish
Route One
Plumerville, Arkansas 72127

UFO DETECTOR

It is a well known fact that all pilots from the Air Force and from commercial lines, who have encountered some "Flying Saucers", have seen their compass getting idle. Moreover, many Radio and TV broadcasters have been disturbed and even blacked out when some UFOs were close.

The Detector has been made to allow its owner to know when an UFO is close, without spending days and nights watching the sky. This Detector works on the principle of the displacement of a compass needle which is very sensitive to a magnetic field. It works on a 9 Volt battery, and is completely portable.

All parts getting in electrical connections, and the needle, are gold plated to insure better contact and to avoid oxidation. Unit size: 4" by 4" by 1½", Unit cost: with battery \$10.00, including Air Mailing. Order from PERRIN COMPANY P.O. Box 16, 1216 Cointrin-Geneva, Switzerland.



PROJECT BLUEBOOK

HISTORY OF PROJECT

Obtained From Project Bluebook Headquarters
Wright-Patterson AFB

Following the Kenneth Arnold sighting on 24 June 1947, wide news coverage of public reports of "flying discs or saucers" created sufficient concern at high military echelons to authorize AMC to conduct a preliminary investigation into these reports. Early correspondence indicates that U.S. Military Leaders were concerned that the objects reported were an aircraft configuration more advanced than those possessed by the United States Armed Forces. A letter, 23 September 1947, from Lt. General Twining of AMC to the Commanding General of the Army Air Forces, expressed the opinion that there was sufficient substance in the reports to warrant a detailed study.

On 30 December 1947, a letter from the Chief of Staff directed AMC to, ---"set up a project whose purpose was to collect, collate, evaluate and distribute to interested Government Agencies and contractors all information concerning UFO sightings and phenomena in the atmosphere which can be construed to be of concern to the National Security..."

The Technical Intelligence Division of AMC issued Hq AMC Technical Instruction No 2185, 11 February 1948, and the project was inaugurated with a code name of "SIGN." The code name "SIGN" was changed to the code name "GRUDGE" on 16 December 1948. A report released in February 1949 covering analysis of the first 273 incidents concluded that, while no definite and conclusive evidence existed, evaluation

of reports of unidentified objects was a necessary activity of Military Intelligence Agencies.

After the Project "SIGN" Report, work continued along the same lines and a Project "GRUDGE" Report was published and released in August 1949 by AMC. This report contained analysis of 244 cases and concluded that Unidentified Flying Objects reports resulted from: a) misinterpretation of conventional objects, b) mass hysteria or "War Nerves", c) hoaxes and/or d) Psychopathic persons. Based upon these conclusions AMC recommended that investigation and study of this type of report be reduced in scope. Major portions of this work were performed under contract by Ohio State University, Professor Hynek (Present Consultant to AF on Project Blue Book), The Rand Corporation, Dr. G. Valley, Dr. Paul Fitts, Air Weather Service, 3610th Electronic Section and the U.S. Weather Bureau.

Following publication of the "GRUDGE" Report, the Air Force continued to investigate sightings, inasmuch as it is an Air Force responsibility to identify and analyze Aerial Phenomena that could possibly be a menace to the United States. Much of the Scientific and Technical work during 1950 and 1951 centered around Project "Twinkle" and the Green Fireball studies of Dr. LaPaz in the Southwestern United States. Project "Twinkle" Final Report was completed on 27 December 1951. During

December 1951 Colonel Kirkland and Lt. Ruppelt made a visit to Battelle Memorial Institute to discuss the feasibility of a scientific study from the data collected since the program's inception. Thus, Project Blue Book's Special Report No. 14 came into being.

During the phase in which Special Report No 14 was in preparation (1952 - 1954) the project attracted a Public Relations aspect that remains with it today. This came about through a National interest in reported sightings, Science-Fiction publications of alleged contacts with visitors from outer space, formation of pseudo-scientific organizations, hobby clubs, and self-appointed individuals who investigated UFO sightings. The radar sightings in Washington D.C. during July 1952 tended to give substance to UFO reports. News coverage during this period was extremely high and General Samford conducted a press conference on 29 July 1952 to explain the situation.

With the increased volume of reports pouring in, a Scientific Advisory Panel on UFO was established in late 1952. At a meeting held during 14 - 18 January 1953 all available data was examined. Conclusions and recommendations of this panel were published in an unclassified report, and made public. The panel concluded that UFO's did not threaten the National Security of the U.S. and recommended that the Aura of mystery attached to the project be removed.

By 1953 Air Force responsibility for the UFO program had become firmly established. It was now apparent that some directives were necessary in order to standardize investigative procedures and formulate policy. AFR 200-2 was written and the final publication was distributed in August 1954. This regulation states the purpose, investigative procedures and policies relating to release of information. Special Report No. 14 was completed on 17 March 1954. It concluded that on the basis of the observations reported to the Air Force it was highly improbable that any of these reports represent observations of technological developments outside the range of present day scientific knowledge. In accordance with the recommendations of the Scientific Panel and provisions for release of information outlined in AFR 200-2 the report was declassified and released to the general public on 5 May 1955.

After 1955 the project concerned itself with investigation of sightings, evaluation of the data and release of information to proper news media. This information is given to the public in the form of a news release called the "FACT SHEET". These, or similar releases have been made periodically since 1955. All data examined has been in accordance with the conclusions of the Scientific Panel in January 1953. Still, the "Flying Saucer Myth" has remained in the public eye, and has necessitated many conferences and briefings among Military, Civilian, Scientific, and Congressional members. Among the most significant of these are: a) 4 October 1956, The General Lewis Special Briefing, b) 16 September 1957, Defence Science Board Briefing, c) 31 January 1958, McClellan Sub-Committee Briefing, d) 8 August 1958, McCormack Sub-Committee Briefing, e) February 1959, Washington D.C. Policy Meetings and f) 11-15 July 1961, Congressional Briefing (Mr. Robert Smart).

As far back as 1952 recommendations have been made to discontinue the project or transfer it to civilian agencies or contractors. However, continuation of the project under Air Forces auspices is likely. A letter from General Watson on 7 July 1955 to General Samford expressed factors that are still pertinent, "... is the fact that complete reliance on a contractor would not reduce the responsibility of and therefore the load carried by the Air Force..." Also, various studies have been made to transfer the project to other organizations within the Air Force.

CURRENT SITUATION: Personnel associated with the project are engaged in receipt of UFO reports, investigation and analysis of these reports and maintenance of research and correspondence files. In addition, information is supplied to SAFOI-CC upon which to base a reply to the more than 2,000 letters a year from individuals requesting information on the UFO program. From 1962 - 1965 the files were reviewed and placed in a standard format. Statistics were recomputed on the basis of the actual case files. The fact sheet was revised to provide information most often requested by individuals and to disseminate the yearly statistics. Periodic case summaries on cases of public interest are released. The current AFR 200-2 is under revision. Present Project Officer is Major Hector Quintanilla, Jr.

STATISTICAL DATA FOR YEARS 1953 - 1964

(Compiled 1 Nov 65)

TOTAL CASES BY CATEGORY

	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	TOTAL
Astronomical	175	137	135	222	341	231	144	235	203	136	85	123	2167
Aircraft	73	80	124	148	218	106	63	66	77	68	73	71	1167
Balloon	78	63	102	93	114	58	31	22	37	19	28	20	665
Insufficient Data	79	103	95	132	191	111	65	105	115	94	59	99	1248
Other	62	58	65	61	120	93	75	94	77	65	58	88	916
Satellite	0	0	0	0	8	18	0	21	69	77	82	142	417
Unidentified	42	46	24	14	14	10	12	14	13	15	14	19	237
Total	509	487	545	670	1006	627	390	557	591	474	399	562	6817

ASTRONOMICAL SIGHTINGS

Meteors	70	92	79	88	179	168	100	187	119	95	57	61	1295
Stars & Planets	101	44	52	131	144	56	40	45	78	36	23	55	805
Other	4	1	4	3	18	7	4	3	6	5	5	7	67
Total	175	137	135	222	341	231	144	235	203	136	85	123	2167

OTHER CASES

Hoaxes, Hallucinations, Unreliable Reports and Psychological Causes	15	6	18	16	37	29	14	13	17	11	16	34	226
Missiles & Rockets	2	1	1	3	2	6	14	12	13	9	13	7	83
Reflections	4	6	4	3	2	7	11	9	3	3	0	2	54
Flares & Fireworks	1	4	8	6	8	3	5	7	4	3	3	7	59
Mirages & Inversions	3	2	4	1	5	2	4	5	6	3	0	2	37
Search & Groundlights	9	6	14	9	12	8	5	6	1	3	2	6	81
Clouds & Contrails	6	3	2	1	9	5	3	4	5	4	5	0	47
Chaff	0	2	0	1	2	6	1	4	3	5	2	1	27
Birds	4	7	2	6	1	1	0	3	2	2	2	4	34
Radar Analysis	15	7	1	8	27	3	8	6	9	0	1	2	87
Photo Analysis	1	1	2	4	1	7	4	6	3	2	3	6	40
Physical Specimens	1	6	5	3	5	10	3	7	4	15	3	8	70
Satellite Decay	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	3	3	4	3	23
Other	1	7	4	0	9	5	3	3	4	2	4	6	48
Total	62	58	65	61	120	93	75	94	77	65	58	88	916

**PROJECT BLUEBOOK
AIR FORCE INVESTIGATION**

How the Program is Conducted obtained from "Project Bluebook, released by SAFOI Washington, D.C. 1965. The Air Forge groups its evaluations of UFO reports under three general headings: (1) Identified, (2) Insufficient data, and (3) unidentified.

Identified reports are those for which sufficient information has been accumulated and evaluated to permit a positive identification or explanation of the object.

Reports categorized as insufficient data are those for which one or more elements of information essential for evaluation are missing. Some examples are the omission of the duration of the sighting, date, time, location, position in the sky, weather conditions, and the manner of appearance or disappearance. If an element is missing and there is an indication that the sighting may be of a security, scientific, technical, or public interest value, The Project Blue Book Officer conducts an additional investigation and every attempt is made to obtain the information necessary for identification. However, in some instances, essential information cannot be obtained, and no further action can be taken.

The third and by far the smallest group of the evaluations is categorized as unidentified. A sighting is considered unidentified when a report apparently contains all pertinent data necessary to suggest a valid hypothesis concerning the cause or explanation of the report but the description of the object or its motion cannot be correlated with any known object or phenomena. Coming in the May issue "Types of UFO Identifications and Evaluations."

UAPRO

The Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Research Organization is interested in persons interested in unidentified flying objects. For information about UAPRO activities write Mr. Gary Lesley, Director, 717 A. St. NE, Auburn, Washington, 98002. UAPRO published the UAPRO Bulletin.

YOURS IN RESEARCH

This feature of the IIR will honor fellow Ufologist, for their work in this the mystery of the ages.

This month we honor PROBE, The Controversial Phenomena Magazine. Formally known as the Controversial Phenomena Bulletin (CPB) its Editors are Joseph L. Ferriere, 132 Fifth Ave., Woonsocket, Rhode Island and Armand A. Laprade, 48 Great Brook Valley Ave., Worcester, Massachusetts.

The November, December issue contains many UFO photographs as well as articles by Lucius Farish, John Prytz and Josif Shklousky. Working together Probe and the IIR will bring you the latest information. For those interested back issues are available at 35¢ per copy-1,2,3, (entirely mimeographed) 6,7,8,10. No's 4,5,9 sold out. Probe is photo off set, and one of the best UFO publications in the field.

1965 NOVEMBER
DECEMBER



PROBE

35
CENTS


THE CONTROVERSIAL PHENOMENA MAGAZINE

COMMUNICATION
WITH
EXTRATERRESTRIAL
CIVILIZATIONS
by Josif Shklousky


U F O
SIGHTINGS PRIOR TO '47
by
LUCIUS FARISH

WHY I SUPPORT
the
U.S. AIR FORCE
BY JOHN PRYTZ

SEE
AND
READ
THE UFO NEWS REPORT.
3 PAGES ON RECENT
SIGHTINGS ONLY, MORE
COMING.



IS THIS A FLYING SAUCER? — Rex Heflin, of the Orange County, Cal., highway department, who does not believe in flying saucers, photographed a saucer-shaped flying object.



Communication with Extraterrestrial Civilizations
by Josif Shklousky — Russian planetary physicist

The March issue of "Popular Science" has several letters to the Editor from noted researchers about UFOs. IIOUFO ad page 222.

The April issue of "Science & Mechanics" carries IIOUFO ad 94.

**"UFO - FACTS ABOUT UNIDENTIFIED
FLYING OBJECTS"**

The Massachusetts Investigating Subcommittee for NICAP, Washington, D.C. invites you to book the presentation of "UFO-FACTS ABOUT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS" for your group or organization. This presentation has received an excellent response from Clubs, Church Groups, Technical Societies, Lodges, Schools, PTA Groups, etc.

Our Subcommittee's primary charter is the investigation of local UFO sightings for NICAP. However, to bring facts about UFOs to the public a 45 minute 35mm Slide-Lecture on "Unidentified Flying Objects" has been prepared. A time for questions and discussion may be held after the presentation if so desired.

Bookings for the lecture are obtainable through the Chairman. A standard fee of \$25.00 is charged. This covers the expenses of the lecturer and his equipment. All equipment is supplied except a screen which the sponsor is asked to supply. All checks should be made payable to the lecturer, Raymond E. Fowler, and presented at the lecture. The Lecture Content is outlined below:

1. U.F.O.
 Definition
 Physical Appearance
2. UFO SIGHTING CONCENTRATIONS
 1947, 1952, 1954, 1957, 1964-65.
3. USAF INVESTIGATION
 Growth
 History
 Censorship
4. NICAP
5. CONGRESS AND UFOS
6. PHOTOGRAPHS OF UFOS
7. SOCORRO, N.M. LANDING (1964)

Raymond E. Fowler
Chairman: NICAP MASS SUBCOM

13 FRIEND COURT
WENHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

EAST COAST IIOUFO HEADQUARTERS

It is with a great deal of pleasure that we announce that Mrs. Joan Whritenour is Director of IIOUFOs East Coast Headquarters. Located at 6464 North 34 Ave. St. Petersburg, Florida. Mrs. Whritenour will be in touch with all State Directors. If you are interested in becoming a State Director get in touch with Mrs. Whritenour as serious researchers are needed.

Watch for IIOUFO report on the two Brooksville Landings? Read what really happened as Mrs. Whritenour was on the scene.

OFFICE SECRETARY

The newest addition to the IIOUFO Headquarters Staff is Miss Susan Kiles, who is attending Putnam City High School. Miss Kiles is employed as an office secretary and her assistance has been a great help to the Oklahoma City Headquarters.

Miss Kiles has been interested in the strange and unusual for the past four years. Her interest in UFOs came about during the August Wave and she has already written many articles to better inform those about this the mystery of the ages.



IIOUFO INTERNATIONAL

33 IIOUFO Foreign Offices: Argentina, Asia, Australia, Bahamas, Belgique, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Denmark, England, France, Germany, Holland, Italy, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Phillipines, Polynasia, Porto Rico, Scotland, South Africa, Switzerland, Sweden, Turkey, USSR, Venezula, Viet Nam.

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
International Headquarters

50
State Offices

St. Petersburg, Florida
East Coast Headquarters

If you are interested in the scientific investigation of UFOs, the Interplanetary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying Objects is the organization for you. We will welcome your comments and suggestion to make the Interplanetary Intelligent Report a better publication. We are interested in Your organization. Send us information on your activities, your activities, your publication. We are interested in your theories and ideas. We will welcome your articles. They should

be typed, double-spaced and not over 2500 words, and cannot be returned without a self-addressed stamped envelope.

The IIOUFO will exchange publications with all that interested.

ARTICLES STATEMENT

The ideas expressed in articles published in the IIR are those of the author and not that of the IIOUFO. They are published to better inform the general public on all phases of Ufoology.



NO. 1

NO. 2

NO. 3

NO. 4

ORDER FORM

Fill out and return to IIOUFO, 3929 N. W. 19th, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

NAME _____ Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

I would like to subscribe to the IIR @ \$3.00 per year.

I would like the following back issues - No 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 at \$.50


I would like the book "Flying Saucers" \$2.00

Enclosed is \$ _____ IN cash _____ Check _____ Money Order _____ for the above.

Know anyone that might be interested in the IIOUFO or the IIR?

IIOUFO
INTERNATIONAL H-

It is with a great c... it
we announce the... for
IIOUFO international... quarters...
built in Oklahoma City... a cost of ap-
proximately \$150,000. Divided into four
parts, the first dome will be open 24
hours a day to the general public. UFO
information, photographs and sighting
reports will be available. The large dome
will house the main offices of the
IIOUFO and will have a small observa-
tory. The back dome will be the top of
an underground house. The main part,
which will be the lecture auditorium will
be underground. Plans are being drawn
up now.



By Hal Crawford

NEW BOOK

"The Truth about FLYING SAUCERS"
by IIOUFO Director Hayden C. Hewes.
The complete story of flying saucers,
what are they? Where are they from? Why
are they here? Read what really happen-
ed over Oklahoma during the August UFO
wave. Read about the famous Tulsa
Oklahoma photograph which photo ex-
perts say it authentic.

The Oklahoma Journal stated "Written
by Hayden Hewes director of Interplane-
tary Intelligence of Unidentified Flying
Objects, Oklahoma City, and one of the
recognized experts in the field" "Pro-
vocative" Many photographs and drawing.
Order now from IIOUFO Headquarters,
3929 N.W. 19, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
for \$2.00.

THE TRUTH ABOUT

Flying Saucers:

By Hayden Hewes \$2.00



WHAT ARE THEY?
WHERE ARE THEY FROM?
WHY ARE THEY HERE?