

AUAS

Point out the "Way"—however dimly,
and lost among the host—as does the evening
star to those who tread their path in darkness.

—*The Voice of the Science*

THE ARYAN PATH

VOL. VIII

OCTOBER 1937

No. 10

THE OCCULT SIDE OF NATURE

Familiar categories and classifications aside, every man should be aware that he lives in a dual, a triple, a quadruple world—a world which is none the less one and indivisible.

There is, first, the world as pictured by the senses and the mind. Both these are eidolons, the phantom worlds of phenomena, one internal and the other external to the waking human being.

Next, these two worlds exist within a third which cannot be predicated in terms of either, because beyond both though permeating them, controlling both because independent of them—the universe of law-and-order, of cause-and-effect, of attraction-and-repulsion. This world neither acts nor is affected by action, neither creates, preserves, nor destroys anything or anyone. It is as invisible, intangible, impartite, as space—a world of spontaneity everywhere being born and dying at every instant of time, yet itself unborn, undying, a purely metaphysical absolute constant. It is

to actions of every kind as substance is to form. In the one case we apply the abstract formula or symbol, "motion", and in the other, "matter".

Finally, there is the omnipresent inhabitant of the other three. Whether we use a religious symbol and call it the spirit, a philosophical and name it intelligence, a biological and speak of it as life, or express it scientifically as force or energy, it is all one—the *anima mundi*, the world-soul, the abstract basis of Being and beings, as eternity is of time, as substance is of form, as motion is of action. Existence, small or great, conscious, semi-conscious or unconscious, temporary or long-continued, is contingent upon all these four worlds. In the symbolism of all ancient peoples and cultures, so far as we have any record of them, the assumption of these four worlds and their containments is what is meant by and implicit in the theorem of "Orbs". So universal is this conception, so basic its nature, so indis-

putable when understood, that one is justified in calling it a theorem rather than a revelation, a theory or hypothesis, a belief or speculation.

Briefly stated, this theorem posits seven "azure transparent spheres", one "within" the other, all "in co-adunition but not in consubstantiality", each and all the scene of *corresponding* manifestation, or interpenetrating "influences". Under this theorem, in each sphere, from highest to lowest or the reverse, a relative condensation and rarefaction goes on, so that a sort of "great circle" or plane of perception extends from an observer in any of the spheres to the corresponding degree of the "fixed, mutable, and volatile modifications" in all the other spheres. The intervening space is necessarily *either* a "plenium" or a "void" according as the observer himself is in the higher or the lower spheres. Whether we see physically, or metaphysically, or spiritually, as we designate perception, we are observing on different planes, and localize separately or in combinations. Thus there are, sentiently, five physical senses known to and used by men in varying degrees, five mental senses as more or less recognized, and seven spiritual senses. The "mind" stands between the highest and lowest "set" of senses, and so, is uniquely capable of double-refraction besides its own "characteristic property" the "sixth sense."

In measure as a man reflects, meditates, concentrates or otherwise uses his mind for withdrawal from any given plane of perception, he is inevitably at the same time in transit to another, whether above or below

his point of departure. If completely in the other plane on the descending scale, he loses consciousness of the anterior in successive gradations or limitations. Conversely, on the ascending arc he loses consciousness of the lower according to the degree of transfer of his power to perceive.

Between these planes of perception, or states of consciousness, are two inescapable facts to be considered by him who would learn to live and act consciously in either, or to synthesize them all in one. First, there is a twilight zone, a dusk on the one side, coincident with dawn on the other, as at the familiar sunrise and sunset, call it the "critical stage". Second, there is the actual "moment of occultation" on the one side of the horizon which separates one sphere from another, one "modification" from another within each sphere. This is "sleep" or "death" on the hither side, but on the other the "awakening" or "birth". This corresponds to the blind spot in the visual organ, or to what in aviation is already called the cone or silence in quite other than an auditory sense.

With these primary concepts in mind, the student or devotee of any philosophy, science, religion, or other system, can soon begin to see for himself that they all represent "modifications" and will be able to detect the pervading or principal combination of elements in each general or particular scheme, his own or any other. In measure as he pursues this process or modulus he will be entering intelligently on the path of true Occultism. He will lose his own affinity or partiality for any one of these "modifications"—that is, he

will observe for himself that while they differ exoterically they have the same esoteric basis.

When the several considerations outlined are clothed by the student's own thought, reflection, and conduct, he will understand why it is *he* does not "remember" in this body the cycle of necessity travelled in former bodies. And he will understand why it is that the "lives" (the cellular, crystalline, colloidal, molecular "beings" *do* "remember", and *know* what they are about in their own sphere far better than he knows his business here. On the other hand, his problems of life are manifold, more complicated than theirs. The analogy is to be found in every direction, but one will suffice as model: the new-born insect or animal is incomparably better equipped at the start in the struggle for life here than is the new-born child, but as existence continues, the animal or insect learns less and less, the child more and more.

When this is sufficiently pondered, one will be able to realize why it is that we can no more see ahead than in retrospect with the same clarity that we are enabled to visualize the "present" why our "imagination" is as mutable and volatile as our "memory". Perhaps he will begin to sense that thought, memory and imagination are no more actual divisions in the mind than present, past and future are actual divisions of time or "eternity". Memory and imagination are a "pair of opposites" whose nexus is thought, as past and future are the divergent lines from a common point (the observer) which enclose opposite angles of

vision. We have no word in English to indicate the trinity of thought, memory and imagination, because the concept itself has long been absent from Western minds. Nor have we specific psychological terms for the other trinities in mental operations, as we have, say, in physics and mechanics.

This is not to be wondered at, nor many other unreckoned or unrecognized combinations of the elements of objective, the principles of subjective perception and action in man and in nature. Our science is only a few hundred years old, our psychology as a distinct pursuit barely half a century. These children have still "a lot to learn" from their parents, religion and philosophy, or by dearly-bought experience of their own. Religion and philosophy themselves, as we know them, were once children, as our civilization is the descendant of earlier and, for all we know, far higher spheres and modifications. Again, in this direction, the student of scriptures and philosophies far, far antedating our own or those of our parents, will soon find in them indubitable evidence that they all spring from one common Source—sometimes called the "Mysteries", sometimes the "Hermetic philosophy", sometimes "Magic", and nowadays "Occultism". Such men as, say, the long line of Zoroasters, Buddhas, Avatars, along with the more recent individual or deified Incarnations such as those of Muhammad, Jesus and others, will be seen to be, one and all, great Beings from higher spheres who descended of their own will and wisdom to this one, but who, to reach us on our own level,

had to take on such "modifications" as we do—and then *regain* their conscious contact, *from this side*, with those higher "azure transparent Orbs".

Mankind, too, came originally from those higher worlds, but has not yet, except in rare individual cases, regained what, for comparative purposes, may be called the same waking consciousness of them that he has of this present "modification" which envelops him. All are cognisant that although all men are of one kingdom or species, as compared with the other partakers of the common nature, yet men differ greatly in "spiritual gifts" in what the Hindus have from time immemorial called the "four castes". There are, in fact, not four but six castes, so to say. For besides the four orthodox or main divisions, each with its many subdivisions, there are two classes of "outcastes" which, strange to say, represent the extremes of the "pairs of opposites"—those above all caste distinctions, and those outside the pale. Westerners may smile or sneer at these distinctions. Yet, looked at dispassionately, who can doubt that moral, mental, psychical and social castes and outcastes (of both kinds) exist and have always existed, in the West as in the East? Two relatively moderate distinctions do, however, exist. In the East is greater honesty on the subject than in the West; in the West, because caste divisions are not rigidly enforced, it is easier for an individual to rise from one caste to another. Applying the theorem to human beings in general, as apart from racial and creedal "modifications", they will be

found to come under more intelligible designations. One might express these in this fashion:

(1) Those men whose outlook on life and conduct is philosophical, irrespective of their particular philosophy.

(2) Those in whom predominates the religious nature or instinct, regardless of their religion.

(3) Those whose natural tendency is not merely to take sides or fight on whichever side they may be, but who stand for law and order, as well as conquest, whether of nature or of self, whether in or out of any special uniform.

(4) Those whose highest conception is that of give and take, live and let live, no matter what business they may be engaged in.

(5) The great majority, "those whose natural disposition is to serve", as the *Bhagavad Gita* puts it, apart from whom or what they serve.

(6) Those who lead parasite lives, no matter how they prey or on whom they subsist, or what their "coloration".

Once attention is directed to the subject, "the confusion of castes" is everywhere observable, East and West, and more among the highly civilized than among aboriginal peoples. The psychological facts seen, two great and absorbing questions arise spontaneously. *What caused them in general? What caused them in particular?* To the first problem there is no other solution than the theorem of Karma; to the second, no other solution than the theorem of Reincarnation.

Those who push their introspection thus far will need no one to tell them they are face to face with "human nature" stripped of all speciousness and the "likeness" is unmistakable. Will they fall back in the haste of affright, once more to clothe themselves in the habiliments of caste, or

... will they *go on*? With the first case, this chart has no concern, but is offered to every would-be adventurer into "the astral world".

The word "astral" is, fittingly, a dubious word in itself. It means an unknown light, dim, uncertain, easily obscured. It means a substance or state of substance that partakes more of the nature of forces than matter, as known to us, allergic rather than energetic. It means a state or condition of consciousness that, if entered from one direction becomes the servant of the wise, but if entered from the opposite becomes the master of the ignorant and wisdom and ignorance in that region bear connotations of which the learned and the mighty of this world know no more than a child or a foolish man. In a word, it is the "critical" point between viability here and viability in either a higher or a lower "Orb", in higher or lower "modifications", than any known to this world as it is, or to human nature as at present constituted—albeit an element in both, whether in the fixed, the mutable, or the volatile state of either. Men are awake to this sphere, asleep both to the ones above and ones below as *inhabited worlds*. Interpose between waking and sleeping the intermediate two-way fluxation called dreaming—and you have the analogy and correspondence for the astral world. Analogy and correspondence are the only intelligible means of description or direction possible to be employed to the men of this world by men of the higher worlds *who know what they are talking about*. Whatever the emblems, symbols, personifications, parables employed, all are

Occult, all esoteric as well as exoteric in meaning. Between what we know and what we do not know there is no hard and fast line, but only a fading out of sight or coming into it. Dream-state applies to this, too, and the language of metaphor is precisely the language of communication, the astral counterpart of the yea and nay of nature and of wisdom—both ways.

A large and ever-growing class of men and minds is already loose from its moorings in one or another of the harbours of the established order of things here. They have no charts nor compass whether of past or future; they are actually helpless as a blind man is, or a dreaming man, whether as regards the astral world or what may lie above or below its treacherous vortices—unless they re-read the record of the book of life, re-evaluate their own understanding of it, in the zodiacal light of correspondence and analogy. Who, among all those attracted by the phosphorescence of the Occult, ever seriously questions his own motives, his own moral, mental, psychic stamina for such a venture? Who takes into account the law of Karma, the process of Reincarnation, even as accessible in literature or visible in the life around him? Who among them is able to steer a true course *here*? Who has the "sixth sense" enough awake to tell true from false or erroneous *here*, where contrast and comparison are, so to say, thrust upon him at every instant?

A modicum of observation of human nature at large, and of self-examination will quickly show how rare a thing it is to find any one

intentionally engaged in self-study and self-discipline in their most ordinary meaning. Many men are capable of "meditation with a seed" and its corresponding "power of concentration," but that is *induced*, not under the control of the will. Like a rich man who owns much wealth, the truth is that it possesses him. Like a man of power, the power possesses him. Wealth and power in our day as in ancestral eras, far more often than not are burdens or intoxicants to their possessors. In the Occult meaning of power and wealth, rare are those who are in *control* of their senses and their minds, sure of their motives. The best of them are able merely to set up certain conditions, external and internal, whereby certain results will ensue. This is the method of Western Science and of Eastern Yoga. We observe only the successes, not the failures, whether in results or upon the individuals thus engaged. Yet every one knows or can learn that the destiny of families, communities, nations, civilizations, is bound up, embodied, one might say, in the careers of the very, very small number of "leading spirits" good or bad, from generation to generation, from century to century. Is the outlook for Western civilization so charming that we should regard these leading spirits as authentic guides here on earth? Is the *existing* condition of Eastern cultures so fascinating that we should become

pupils of the hundred-and-one brands of yoga, in our thirst to enter "the land of the Occult"?

It should not be necessary to make the marginal notation that these remarks are intended neither to comment invidiously on any man or anything that he holds dear, nor to discourage any one's disposition to ask, to read, to learn, in the Occult sense. They are meant simply to put every such aspirant on his own *voir dire*, his own *bona fides*, his own competency to judge himself, his would-be teacher and instructions. Long ago H. P. Blavatsky put in print a statement, the truth of which anyone can verify for himself merely by pausing to observe history and the flux of life to-day. She said :

Even the students of Occultism, though some of them have more archaic MSS. and direct teaching to rely upon, find it difficult to draw a line of demarcation between the *Sodales* of the Right Path and those of the Left.

Many good, able, sincere men will be found giving their devotion to some one or another of the hundreds of schools representing one and another of the modifications of one and another of the Occult arts and sciences. The Path of Occultism, the Path between "the seven azure transparent Orbs", is one and the same for the devotees of "White" Magic or "Black", but one should reflect that it can be travelled *in either of two opposite directions*. Many devotees do not themselves know *which way they are headed*.

A BRIEF STUDY OF OCCULTISM

[Professor George P. Conger of the University of Minnesota (U.S.A.) is a lover of India and our readers will remember his essay in our issue of November 1935 "Toward Understanding India." He is the author of a very remarkable volume which all students of mystic philosophy and occultism should peruse--*The World of Epitomizations: A Study of the Philosophy of the Sciences* reviewed in our pages in August 1932. During his visit to India in 1934 he came to recognize, what many sons and daughters of the Motherland do not, that "more and more clearly, it seems to be a mistake to attempt to Westernize India". Professor Conger has been busy preparing another volume which he tells us "will develop the 'microcosmic' theories of which we have spoken"; it is to be called *The Unity of the Faiths* of which the following will be a chapter. We are indebted to our friend for giving THE ARYAN PATH an opportunity to publish it. For the advantage of Theosophical students as well as enquirers we are adding a few footnotes giving references from authentic Theosophical texts. Eds.]

Occultism gets its name from its interest in 'the hidden'. The term covers a wide variety of beliefs and practices, some of which have little or nothing to do with religion. Occultism is best described when it is contrasted with what in the West may be called ordinary science and religion; it accepts as authentic reports of occurrences which, although they are often regarded in the East as not very unusual, are quite generally rejected in the West as fantastic. Occultism continues to study such alleged occurrences, insisting that some of its results are of religious importance. Historically the chief sources of occultism are in ancient India and Egypt. There are notable contacts with the Greek world in the Orphic mysteries, with Judaism in the Cabala, with early Christianity in Gnosticism, and with the modern world in Theosophy. Occultism has been in some respects like a thread, running through most of the world's religions or close to them, and helping to bind them together. Philosophically it has much in common

with mysticism, supernaturalism and idealism.

In obtaining its alleged knowledge, occultism often professes to use methods which go beyond the ordinary working of the 'five' senses. Abnormal results are obtained by the aid of meditation or concentration, sometimes so intensified that it becomes hypnosis and trance. Sometimes the occultist's knowledge is like the mystic's intuitive insight, a matter of immediate apprehension. Where the alleged knowledge is analyzed it is often said to be clairvoyant, as if objects were seen at distances or through barriers too great for ordinary sight, or as if events which have occurred in the past or are about to occur in the future were discerned as present. Again, the occult knowledge is said to come by telepathy, the transfer of perceptions or ideas from one mind to another without the medium of language or ordinary communication. Less frequently the occultists trace their knowledge to clairaudience, the hearing of sounds beyond the ordinary range, or to

telekinesis, the transporting of material objects by extraordinary passage through space.*

Occult cosmology portrays an elaborately structured universe. In some rather archaic forms of occultism the key to this structure is seen in the relations of the male and female sexes; in other forms the universe is understood in terms not so much biological as psychological, and mind, or something like mind, is regarded as more fundamental and important than matter. Most often there is a sequence or a hierarchy, at least vaguely describable in mental terms. Occultism often shares the ancient doctrine of the Logos, familiar in the West in the adaptation of it used in the first chapter of the Gospel of John to interpret the incarnation. In general, the Logos is the reasonableness of the world, the property whereby the world can be understood or described in intelligible language. This property expresses itself in the inherent reasonableness of particular things and the ideal possibilities of man's rational nature, so that even if the world is not actually a vast Mind, it is a system in which minds like ours can develop and can at least begin to comprehend what is around and above them. The cosmos is pictured in many divisions and subdivisions, in which favourite numbers like three and seven constantly recur. In occult cosmology, special impor-

tance is ascribed to the planets, whether they are the planets known to astronomy or not. Each planet is pictured as existing in a 'chain' or sequence consisting of a number of successive 'spheres' or stages of development, named globes.† These stages are marked by different densities of the atoms of the planet; in the more rarefied stages of its sequence a planet is 'spiritual' and in the denser stages, material. Sometimes a planet is said to go in cyclic fashion through its sequences, in what is called a 'round'.

Corresponding in microcosmic fashion to the stages of development of planets are certain kingdoms of nature, including the mineral, vegetable and animal, and certain 'planes' and 'bodies' which particularly mark the development of human personalities in each planet. These planes are not places, but states of consciousness. They do not exclude one another, but interpenetrate. They are discerned clairvoyantly by response to their characteristic vibrations. In the physical plane a person has the physical body, but even the physical body is permeated by its finer 'astral double', whose mysterious sense organs are said to be certain 'chakras' or plexuses, distributed from the top of the head to the pelvis. Besides this, there are several other 'bodies', each of which exercises special functions.‡

* To really understand the psycho-philosophy of occultism it is necessary to keep in mind what H. P. Blavatsky describes as "the fundamental propositions of the Oriental philosophy." These are ten in number and are given in the *Isis Unveiled* II, p. 587 *et seq.* Ebs.

† See *Secret Doctrine* I, p. 170 *et seq.*, especially the diagram on p. 172. Ebs.

‡ Cf. *Secret Doctrine* I, 157. It is important to bear in mind that Astral Body is not Emotional Body. Astral is Lingha Deha; *Kama*—desires, feelings and emotions—does not assume a form or *Rupa* till after the death of the physical body. H. P. Blavatsky has stressed the point that "the word 'Rupa,' however, is a misnomer. *Kama* has no *rupa* during life. After death the *rupa* is formed. . ."—Ebs.

In each globe a number of successive races and sub-races are said to be developed. Our own place in earth history is somewhere in the midst of this series;* before us were the Lemurians and the inhabitants of the lost continent of Atlantis, and after us will be far more wonderful beings. Any individual, if in successive incarnations he manages to complete his course of development in one stage of a planet's history moves on to the next stage, ever progressing till final emancipation is attained. This development of personality, viewed over several stages and planets, resembles a tide with a succession of waves. All these teachings are imparted by the aid of metaphors and an extensive use of diagram symbols.

In common with supernaturalism, occultism pictures the world as developing under intelligent guidance, but if there is any one Supreme Intelligence for occultism it is, like the

First Being for Plotinus, all but lost in the vast cosmic mists.†

Subordinate intelligences exist in myriads and are found in all grades—planetary spirits, guardian spirits for various parts of nature and for individual men, and even minor beings like fairies, elves, sylphs and the like. The alleged data of psychical research concerning messages from the spirits of deceased persons are accepted by occultism only in very rare cases, and are accorded a rather incidental place, as there are so many intelligences or spiritual and semi-spiritual beings. Somewhere in the hierarchy of spiritual beings places can be found for the deities of various religions, and practically all the myths of the world's folklore can if necessary be accommodated.

In charge of the teaching of occultism there are said to be adepts or Masters, who are sometimes represented as living in the fastnesses of Tibet‡ and communicating their

* See *The Secret Doctrine* II, 134. Eds.

† *The Secret Doctrine* I, 279-80 "admits a *Logos* or a collective 'Creator' of the Universe; a *Demiurgos* in the sense implied when one speaks of an 'Architect' as the 'Creator' of an edifice, whereas that Architect has never touched one stone of it, but, while furnishing the plan, left all the manual labour to the masons; in our case the plan was furnished by the Ideation of the Universe, and the constructive labour was left to the Hosts of the intelligent Powers and Forces. But that *Demiurgos* is no personal deity, i.e., an imperfect *extra-cosmic god*, but only the aggregate of the *Dhyan-Chohans* and the other forces." Again see *Ibid* I, 38. "The *AN-HU* (*Dhyan-Chohans*) are the collective hosts of spiritual beings the Angelic Hosts of Christianity, the Elohim and 'Messengers' of the Jews, who are the vehicle for the manifestation of the divine or universal thought and will. They are the Intelligent Forces that give to and enact in Nature her 'laws,' while themselves acting according to laws imposed upon them in a similar manner by still higher Powers; but they are not 'the personifications' of the powers of Nature, as erroneously thought. This hierarchy of spiritual Beings, through which the Universal mind comes into action, is like an army a 'Host,' truly by means of which the fighting power of a nation manifests itself, and which is composed of army corps, divisions, brigades, regiments, and so forth, each with its separate individuality or life, and its limited freedom of action and limited responsibilities; each contained in a larger individuality, to which its own interests are subservient, and each containing lesser individualities in itself." Thus the Theosophical conception of the *Logos* is not a Being—*extra-cosmic ruler* of His universe; but a collective of intelligences. Eds.

‡ Not altogether. Writes H. P. Blavatsky in *Isis Unveiled* I, 17. "Travellers have met these adepts on the shores of the sacred Ganges, brushed against them in the silent ruins of Thebes, and in the mysterious deserted chambers of Luxor. Within the halls upon whose blue and golden vaults the weird signs attract attention, but whose secret meaning is never penetrated by the idle gazers, they have been seen but seldom recognized.

teachings by telepathy.

The goal of occultism is that its adherents should progress as far as possible, through successive planes and incarnations, toward adeptship. For this long effort, meditation is regarded as of primary importance. The personality is progressively unified and adapted to the higher and more spiritual life. Often this process leads to marked refinement of habits and manners. Often it includes restrictions on diet, as in vegetarianism. Occasionally, as in the Yogic practice of Hinduism, breathing and other bodily functions are subjected to unusual and spectacular control.¹ Occultism may easily lead to asceticism. Occultist groups often profess to guard their secrets from the uninitiated, and scorn to make any unworthy use of their alleged powers. At the same time they warn outsiders against meddling with occult forces; these are said to be dangerous if employed without proper instruction from authorized teachers.

Among the non-religious forms of occultism alchemy has long been famous, especially as the precursor of chemistry. Other members of the curious group include astrology, palmistry, phrenology and numerol-

ogy; these attempt to discern past or future events by consulting data which are regarded by their critics as quite irrelevant. To explain the percentage of more or less accurate 'hits' made by those who work in these occult arts, the critics sometimes make charges of fraud. Where this is out of the question, they say that sitters or clients themselves often unwittingly give clues or suggest answers to their own questions; or that the occultists' statements are so general that they may be applied to almost any person or situation and bear many different interpretations; or that of course some hits will be due to pure chance coincidences.

Important criticisms of the other forms of occultism are directed against both its methods and its content. In the first place (1) its methods, whether valid or not, are difficult. Comparatively few persons in the Western world are willing to subject themselves to such rigorous discipline, to secure results which they regard as dubious. But even (2) supposing the methods are devotedly pursued, they are, by the very fact of such devotion, open to the dangers of suggestion, especially of auto-suggestion. The human nervous system is exceedingly complicated and delicate

Historical memoirs have recorded their presence in the brilliantly illuminated *salons* of European aristocracy. They have been encountered again on the arid and desolate plains of the Great Sahara, as in the caves of Elephanta. They may be found everywhere, but make themselves known only to those who have devoted their lives to unselfish study, and are not Eloi to turn back. — EDS.

¹ Both H. P. Blavatsky and W. Q. Judge have given warnings against breathing exercises as very perilous to bodily and mental health. — EDS.

² Distinction must be made between Occultism and the Occult Arts such as Alchemy, Astrology, Chiromancy; H. P. Blavatsky recommends the student to "first learn the true relation in which the Occult Sciences stand to Occultism, and the difference between the two," and defines true Occultism as "the 'Great Renunciation of SELF,' unconditionally and absolutely, in thought as in action. It is *ALTRUISM*, and it throws him who practises it out of calculation of the ranks of the living altogether. 'Not for himself, but for the world, he lives,' as soon as he has pledged himself to the work." (*Raja-Yoga or Occultism* p. 32) — EDS.

and, especially under physiological strain or effort, can only with great difficulty if at all distinguish ideas which are grounded in authentic fashion in the outer world from ideas arising from within.

As regards the content of occultism (3) it is said by its critics to be too primitive, and to go altogether too far in its support of ancient myths and magics. If occultism bows to this criticism and points to teachings more favoured in later Western civilizations, (4) it is still regarded as too remote, fantastic, and improbable. In short, Western science has been too much occupied with other matters to submit to the occult disciplines or to be much interested in occult doctrines. Such doctrines are at their best regarded as imaginary overtones and at their worst as naïve or base superstitions. A minor but sometimes potent objection is that (5) both the methods and the content of occultism are frequently presented in Oriental terminology which is very hard to correlate with Western theories about mind and the world.

To these criticisms the occultists are able to reply (1) that their methods, after all, are empirical; occultists depend upon experience, just as Western scientists do, and they invite empirical tests. Their severe discipline is for them, the counterpart of rigorous and specialized scientific training. As regards suggestion (2), no one need try to avoid it, either when it comes from others or when it comes from one's self. In fact, any one of us would be isolated and lost without both kinds of suggestion. The point is, not that one should avoid suggestions, but

that one should avoid wrong suggestions. And the question as to which suggestions are the wrong ones is hard for any man, whether he is a scientist or not, to answer. The criticism that occultism leads to views which are primitive may mean only (3) that it runs true to human nature, but even if it is admitted as a true criticism, it does not exhaust the content of occultism for in many esoteric doctrines occultism reaches far beyond the primitive. The charge that occult doctrines are remote, fantastic, or improbable can easily bring (4) a counter-charge of scientific dogmatism. After all, who knows where the proper limits of scientific data are? The data on transmutation of the elements, the principle of indeterminacy and, according to some reports, the results of experiments on telepathy and clairvoyance, suggest that such limits have in the past been too narrowly fixed, and that the sciences ought to be more than ever faithful to their ideal of an open mind and a free field for hypothesis, observation and experiment, even where the content of all three is unusual and unexpected. If it comes to a critical discussion or verification and proof, it turns out that any critic, from Locke and Hume to the logical positivists and beyond, can if he cares to do so, entangle himself in strictures about our alleged knowledge until either he cannot move a mental muscle, or else at best can engage only in a kind of mental gymnastics rather than work. If the game of criticism is pursued to its bitter end, we get nowhere, and we do not even recognize the status which we have. Why then should we take critical

philosophies so seriously? The occultists do not know much about such investigations or, if they know, they do not worry about them. For the one reason or the other, in the West they have had the courage to champion some unpopular views of the world and of man.

With regard to (5), the orientalisms, occultism regards these as incidental, and can point to the fact that all languages and all translations are to some degree incommensurable. Moreover, even supposing that much of the content of occultism had to be discounted for oriental, as for primitive modes of thought, we should still have to allow for at least as much occultism as is corroborated by Western empirical methods. Such methods have not availed to salvage primitive animisms or magics, and it is not accurate to say that they have substantiated alchemy (since transmutation for alchemy was only a step towards the magic of the philosopher's stone). If, however, modern scientific methods authenticate occasional instances of clairvoyance and telepathy, this means that something of the method of occultism must be recognized: who knows, then, what will happen with the content? We shall find plenty of examples, too, where philosophies of religion which are in higher favour in the West are open to criticisms from empiricists.

Of special importance is a doctrine which in the history of thought has sometimes been called occult, but which occultism really shares with many other systems. The ancient and widespread theories of significant correspondences* between the macrocosm, or great world, the universe, and the microcosm, or little world, usually identified with man, should not be hastily dismissed as fanciful until they have been subjected to empirical examination. The possibility begins to appear that the old Hermetic adage "As above, so below" may be freed of its superstition and its supernaturalism and made an index to a more modern and tenable view of the place of man in the universe.

Apart from such possible restatements, the occult appears to be a more or less permanent penumbra of the circle of sciences ordinarily visible for Western minds. From the point of view of such minds, much of the penumbra seems very obscure and doubtful, but the easy judgment that there is nothing to it is probably best recast into the statement that whatever there is, if anything, is for the time being and in the West conveniently neglected. But a philosophy able to meet all issues ought to have some means of accommodating light from any direction, if any light comes.

GEORGE P. CONGER

*Writes W. Q. Judge: "The hermetic philosophy held that man is a copy of the greater universe; that he is a little universe in himself, governed by the same laws as the great one, and in the small proportions of a human being showing all those greater laws in operation, only reduced in time or sweep. This is the rule to which H. P. Blavatsky adheres, and which is found running through all the ancient mysteries and initiations." (*C. L. T. Pamphlet No. 3*, p. 6). Cf. *The Secret Doctrine*, I, p. 177: "Everything in the Universe follows analogy. 'As above so below.' Man is the microcosm of the Universe. That which takes place on the spiritual plane repeats itself on the Cosmic plane. Concretion follows the lines of abstraction; corresponding to the highest must be the lowest; the material to the spiritual." The author of this article is an able expounder of the Law of Correspondence and Analogy. Eos.

INDIAN LITERATURE IS ONE

[K. M. Munshi is a lawyer by profession and is well-known as a politician who has suffered imprisonment for his convictions. He has now attained ministerial rank, holding the portfolio of Law and Order in the first Bombay Ministry. His accomplishment as a literary man however will very likely outlive his achievements in Courts and Councils. He is a novelist whose numerous works in his mother tongue Gujarati are very popular. Some months ago he published a volume in English *Gujarata and its Literature*. Eps.]

Culturally, India is one and indivisible. Oppressed by India's vast distances, different scripts and different languages, some fail to see that the Indian culture is not heterogeneous. Throughout the country, between the second and the tenth centuries, to go no further back, folklore came to be woven into Sanskrit literature and a new homogeneous literature came into being. Writers in the local literatures tried to reach the heights of Sanskrit classical tradition. Students of Sanskrit brought down its beauties into the language of the people. In every province folklore was translated into Sanskrit and Sanskrit literature was adopted into the provincial languages. All over India the general culture was the same, the art forms differed little and the outlook on life was similar. This unity of culture became clearer by being shaped through Sanskrit.

About the tenth century new forces were born. Prakrit and Apabhhransa became dead languages. Real life tried to express itself through the language of the masses and thus the provincial literatures came into existence. Bhagavat Dharma influenced all literatures in the country. The culture and all the literatures became leavened by bhakti (devotion). The songs of the Bhaktas became the heritage

of the whole country. Chaityanya, Ramanuja, Madhva, Vallabha, Mira, Narsi, Soordas, Tulsidas, Eknath and Tukaram, the literary apostles of the age, were inspired by one outlook and made the foundations of unity stronger. Under their influence the local languages quickened. The traditions of Sanskrit and Prakrit literatures were forgotten. A new literature sought to reacquire beauty through the provincial languages.

On the other hand, as Pandits and Puranics, not satisfied with the literature of their own province, sought inspiration from the *Mahabharata*, the *Ramayana*, the *Puranas*, translations and adaptations created a new literary tradition. Again the warp of folk-literature was laid on the weft of Sanskrit literature and a new literary impulse sprang up. When there is the impact of an outside literature upon our own, a revulsion of feeling against our own literary tradition inspires literary men to serve the traditions and ideals of the new literature. In doing so they bring to their own literature a new wealth of vocabulary, idioms and images. A mixture of the two purifies and enriches taste; words and images become varied; and a new literature is born.

In the nineteenth century Indian

imagination came into contact with the culture and the literature of England and literary men sought inspiration from Victorian Romanticism. Poetry became subjective ; poets preferred love themes to bhakti. Narrative became emancipated from metre ; novels took the place of *Puranas*. Drama under the inspiration of Shakespeare became popular. The old literature was looked down upon, and English literary traditions became the fashion. Yet, simultaneously in every province Sanskrit attracted greater attention ; new schools sprang up which looked to Sanskrit alone for inspiration, language became rich and elastic under the influence of Kalidas and Bana, whose works dominated the imaginative efforts of rising literary men. Then came synthesis. The traditions of English and Sanskrit literatures were blended to produce the new provincial literatures. In most of the languages novels and lyrics are now cast in the same respective moulds. Novels in the beginning exhibited a curious intermixture of Scott, Lytton and Bana. Bankimchandra's novels, the product of this conjoint influence, led to similar creative efforts in all languages. Tagore's poems have created distinctive schools everywhere. Mahatmaji's writings have infused into every language a tradition of self-control and of proportion, and in the fire of nationalism even provincial differences have begun to disappear.

Language, however, is integral to the problem of cementing and expressing India's basic cultural unity. Gujarati, Marwari and Rajas-

thani have evolved from Western Rajasthani, which, in its turn, descended from Sauraseni Apabhhransa. This family is closely allied with the Hindi spoken in Behar, the Punjab and Orissa. Marathi and Gujarati are closely allied, as are Bengali, Hindi and Gujarati. The Dravidian languages are all closely related and contain a large element of Sanskrit. Kannada, Telugu and Malayalam have so large a Sanskritic element that in Devanagari script they could be understood in some measure by all Indians who speak the languages of the Sanskritic family--some five-sevenths of the population.

The unity which underlies all these languages is created by their common Sanskritic element and if that element is given its due predominance in Hindi it will serve as a medium for literary exchange. I see no reason why we should be afraid of emphasizing this common Sanskritic element. Our provincial languages would have neither richness nor beauty if the Sanskritic elements were eliminated. This is not a question of sentiment but of fact. If I want to express beauty or higher thought through one of our languages I must draw upon the resources of Sanskrit. English words would be entirely unfamiliar to my audience. I could not use Persian or Arabic words because I do not know them. The only way open is to use Sanskrit words familiar to me and to my audience. Artificial attempts to Sanskritize our language must be given up but there is no need to eliminate words which have become current or are necessary.

Every language has two forms, one for common intercourse, the other for expressing high efforts of thought. The first form should be such as all can understand ; the second must stimulate imagination. Words used in everyday intercourse have their own expressiveness and give piquancy to style, but you cannot create great literature with the linguistic resources of folklore. You cannot compose the *Gita-Govinda* in the language in which *Sohnimchar* is written. Folk-literature is based on the materials of actual life ; pure literature is inspired by a creative faculty rich in imagination. The difference between folklore and great literature which embodies beauty is fundamental. It is the difference between the medium of common intercourse and that of artistic expression : between the folk-songs of Shakuntala, on which the story in the *Mahabharata* is based, and that quintessence of beauty - the *Shakuntala* of Kalidas. Great literature and its language are not for the bazar. To the common mind they will always remain unfathomable. Not every mason can build a Taj Mahal. You cannot build a Taj Mahal for every village. And if we want to build a literary Taj Mahal through our provincial or national language and to make its beauty enduring we cannot do without the lovely marble quarried from Sanskrit literature.

A bitter controversy has been raging in the United Provinces between protagonists of Sanskritic Hindi and of Persianized Urdu. The question can be summed up thus :

(1) Hindi and Urdu are not different languages. Leaving aside

the small educated section, the United Provinces speak one language in which the Sanskrit, Persian and local elements vary. He who uses a larger proportion of Persian words is said to speak Urdu ; he who uses a larger proportion of Sanskritic or local words is said to speak Hindi. The man in the street uses the words common to ordinary intercourse, irrespective of their source. Census officers style this language Hindustani ; the Hindus, Hindi ; and the Muslims, Urdu.

(2) For centuries Hindi-Hindustani with a large Sanskrit vocabulary has been the language of literature. Muslim authors like Malik Mahomed Jayasi, Abdul Rahim Khanakhana and Yari Saheb have enriched it. When modern education was introduced Hindu authors naturally turned to the resources of this language and of Sanskrit, and literary Hindi came largely under Sanskritic influence. Literary Hindi can be understood easily in Gujarat, Maharashtra, Bengal and the Central Provinces. It can be followed to some extent by persons whose mother tongue is Kannada, Telugu or Malayalam and who have studied a little Hindi.

(3) The Hindi spoken in the army of the Moghul Emperors came to be called Urdu. It differed from Hindi-Hindustani and literary Hindi in possessing more words of Persian origin. Modern Muslim writers have turned for inspiration to the easily accessible Persian and Arabic literatures, with the result that literary Urdu has become Persianised and has drifted away from literary Hindi. Literary Urdu is understood

by only a section of Hindus and Muslims in the United Provinces and of learned Muslims elsewhere.

Clearly Sanskritic literary Hindi and Persian literary Urdu were natural growths into which in the earlier stages neither malice, hostility nor communalism entered. I believe that it is impossible at present to arrest their development. A Hindi writer of a love-lyric or a historical romance seeks inspiration from Jayadev, Vyas or Valmiki ; a Muslim writer turns as naturally to Shadi and Hafiz. These two currents will never meet till Hindi-Hindustani becomes sufficiently enriched to be the language of literature. If Hindi and Urdu works are translated into each other freely this result will be easily achieved. Before the British came, Hindu writers did not hesitate to use Persian words and Muslim writers had no distaste for Sanskrit words. Unfortunately, political and religious distrust has changed this. If writers of both communities will use the best words irrespective of source, the distance between Hindi and Urdu will be easily bridged. This is part of the Hindu-Muslim problem and will be solved only when Hindus and Mussalmans evolve harmony by social and cultural contact.

Outside the United Provinces the national *lingua franca* is Sanskritic in content and Hindi in structure. Social intercourse in each province will always be through the mother tongue; and creative art will express itself only through it. But as nationalism

becomes more powerful, as science brings different parts of India closer, as the culture and life of the country become uniform, this national language, though never a substitute for the mother tongue, will become less a language of effort and more of a living language. When it becomes the medium of intercourse for the whole of India its vocabulary will become comprehensive, doubtless adopting words from many provincial as well as European languages.

The national *lingua franca* will be written principally in Devanagari with optional Urdu script. Any one may use the Roman script if so inclined. But the medium for the commonwealth of Indian literatures can only be a simplified Sanskrit.

But all these activities leave the field of the provincial work untouched. No language but the mother tongue can give form to the true vision of beauty. Whoever serves his own language will truly serve the commonwealth of literature ; whoever helps to build up a national language or literature will ensure the growth of his mother tongue. India is a nation ; a new age of unity is before us. Our literary men are dreaming of one language, one script, one literature. Our duty is to body forth our unity through literature, to seek expression for our growing ideal of beauty, to surrender ourselves to the spirit of the ancient literary artist Vyas, the author of the *Mahabharata*.

K. M. MUNSHI

COMMUNICATION WITH YOUTH

[Hughes Mearns is the Professor of Education and Chairman of the Department of Creative Education in the New York University. He is a novelist and a lecturer on themes dealing with the creative side of life. EDS.]

It must have been a highly prejudiced young person who, some three hundred and more years ago, cried petulantly, "Crabbed age and youth cannot live together!" And the reasons then given still hold: "Youth is full of pleasance, age is full of care; youth like summer morn... age like winter bare." In that familiar old song, written, some believe, by the wise young Shakespeare, the admission is freely made that "Youth is wild and age is tame." Between the wild and the tamed, there is too much distrust to admit even the beginning of useful communication.

The wildness of youth must be tamed, of course; that, indeed, seems to be the summing up of what we commonly call education. The baby reaches for something he should not touch; shrill comes the cry of the nurse's disapproval, or perhaps the assaulting slap on the extended hand. At that moment formal education begins; and at that moment the child commences a lifelong study of the sciences of evasion, deception and pervarication.

We do not tell the truth to those whose aim is to deny us our heart's desire; we tell them as little as possible of anything. We must endure them, especially when they hover over us watchful for our good, but, in language, we may avoid them. Rather, we invent a language for them which

confesses nothing of our true spirit.

The undefeatable persecutions of formal education finally beat down our wildness; eventually we all are tamed; then with inconsistent gusto we take on the taming of other wild ones. If at this stage we had any practical memory of our own healthy wild state, no admonitions of mine on the ways of communicating with youth would be anything but superfluous obviousness.

Forgetting completely our own youth, however, we soon take on all the rigid prejudices of the tamed, one of which is to flare up if anything but holy results are predicated of the taming process. My illustration of the slapped hand as the beginning of evasion and concealment is sure to bring the cry, "Don't you believe that the harmful desires of youth should be thwarted?" Of course I so believe; I even believe in the slapped hand. My only point here is not that formal training should be abolished but, rather, that we should sharpen our eyes to one of its very natural results, the breaking down of free communication with youth.

For without welcomed communication no true education of the spirit may be accomplished; and taming, as generally practised, reduces the chances of such a welcoming. That is the unavoidable dilemma of youth education. A one-sided facing

of obvious facts will not help us much.

Here are some such facts. At an early age the young begin to withdraw into a protected region of their own; soon we lose them altogether; then our advice, our admonitions, our condemnations even, have no effect other than temporarily to annoy. While the youthful spirit is ever trusting, hungry for help, it is also the most stubborn of all our possessions; and it has the power of almost complete insulation from those that disturb it.

A very small child spoke quietly to a teacher; he trusted her, therefore his communication was free and true:

I have a house
Made of grass and twigs;
I go there when I can.

I find a chair
To sit upon.

It is nice in there;
No one says, "No!"

There was no real house, of course. Against the iterating negatives of the taming process this very small boy had found a retreat in his own mind, a place of quiet where no one said, "No!" Each of us has his own house of grass and twigs. We go there when we can. And no one will know about it save that rare friend who comes not to tame us but to listen.

The way toward a perfect communion of spirit, then, is first to learn to listen. It is an art that few know about and fewer practise. As a rule, teachers are not good listeners at all, and mothers, alas, caught up in the insistent claims of

taming, so often lose the graces of the attending ear. So, to teachers who would know the way to the spirit of youth, we say, instruct less and less and receive more and more; we say to mothers, forbear occasionally, sometimes let the fault go, now and then be silent and listen.

Well, you have listened and you have heard. A secret communication has been entrusted to you. Have care now, we say, or there never will be another. The normal adult has seemingly no compunction against using the confidence of the young as evidence against them; he will blab it to the world; or he will use it for instructional taming. "Ah! So you have such thoughts, have you! Well, you shouldn't have." Youth withdraws in shame from such offensive bad taste, from such dishonourable action.

Education is a process of strengthening the secret inner powers, of permitting them to grow into such eventual adjustment with the world as will bring a harmonious and peaceful adaptability into all human relationships. Only the spirit is ever truly educated. To drive it away from the influences that would bring it to its capable fulness, that is to defeat all educational effort. So we seek the spirit in the secret house of grass and twigs. We listen. And we do not condemn.

After one has become a practised listener—the sure test is the easy flow of communications—then one must cultivate agreement. True communication is charged with dissent; it inquires where inquiry is considered blasphemous; it stalks into the man-made holy of holies and

asks an honest "Why?" Because of its pure spirit it sees the truths which the world ignores: it sees man's inconsistencies, his lies and hypocrisies, his cruelties, his fawning, his vanities, his shames and, above all and including all, his engulfing self-deceptions. Any fearless child will tell you about these if you have learned to listen, and if then you neither instruct nor blame.

The head master had preached a long moral lesson to an assembly of young children. They heard him in silence. Dismissed, they were leaving that hall in silence. It was a solemn stillness that seemed to shout. Huge and victorious, the head master descended from the platform; he beamed on all, believing that he had convicted them of sin. He had not. Every line on his face, his twisting smile, the clear vibrations that exuded from him, all made apparent to these fine young children that here was an immoral man seeking in public to scratch up a covering for his own evil. In disgust that group had left him. To the right listening person a boy said simply, "He drips morality". And another added, "Like a frightened dog". This is simple clairvoyance, a gift of every clear spirit; it is most unclouded in youth.

If you would hear the pure communication of youth, we insist that you must be able to receive without the usual adult prejudices. You will find yourself in the region of a new and strange moral code. For example, most adults would denounce the above mentioned condemnation of the head master's moral preach-

ment as an act of student disloyalty. If such adults should ever hear youth so conclude they are not likely to hear, however, and that is why they remain so profoundly ignorant about youth, education, morality and things of the spirit generally: but if by chance they should hear, they would condemn: "Those are evil thinkings; you should be loyal to the head master." We would say to youth, however, we who have learned to listen, "Those are good thinkings; above loyalty to man is loyalty to truth and decency. Hold to this as long as you can. Keep struggling to see clearly as you see now, to feel directly as you feel now, and perhaps you will not sink into self-deception as most of the blind world has done. Preserve this fine moral indignation; if it dies you may continue to breathe and feed but you will almost cease to function as an individual spirit."

Perhaps you have the picture of youth as inarticulate, awkward or blurring, emotionally unstable or destructive, or self-centred and incurious. That means that you do not know youth. So we all behave in the presence of our conquerors, of our social superiors, of those who think us inferior and evil. Incurious? Youth is bursting with questions that the adult code will not even let him frame. "Is God all-powerful? Then why has He permitted the terrible drought? Is God merciful? Then why were all those mothers and little children bombed in Madrid yesterday?"

We deny youth his deep inquiry about God; we call this strong urge irreverence. The way to God is full of perplexities; only those who do

not take that thorny path are indifferent and undisturbed by injustice and mercilessness. The fault is not in the irreverence of youth but, rather, in our own failure to seek answers. We have not prepared ourselves through rigorous meditation in another age I would add, fasting and prayer - to answer the sincere religious inquiries of the young ; it is we who are incurious.

Left to himself, a boy in Indiana, contemplating the devastation left by the drought, wrote humbly,

Ruined flowers, thirsty butterflies,
Dying trees, and a dry ditch;
All are God's work.

Man
Is not yet wise enough
To understand
Why God
Wounds Himself.

When communication has been established in perfect trust, education may begin ; for education is not merely something that is put on from the outside ; it is something that is built up from within. Acceptation is the prime requisite for those who would reach and strengthen the individual spirit ; the door must be opened willingly ; there must be a whole-hearted welcome.

Communication of the sort we mean here, genuine revealings, is

itself a kind of education on which the inward personality thrives ; surely it is also the open sesame for the understanding and believing teacher. When rapport has been established and proved, then gifts appear, amazing aptitudes, surprising alike to teacher and taught, educational outcomes that suggest nothing short of magic. For a long time, weeks, months, years even, there was nothing but the root-like building up of relationships ; there were no apparent results ; a waste and a senseless idling seemingly ; then, overnight perhaps, nature's slow mystery, the perfect flowering of personality.

In these important matters patience and waiting are never wasters of time. It is a slow and delicate task to entice the door to open and the willing hand to extend in the house of grass and twigs where no one says "No !" Few are qualified to receive such innocent invitations ; but they who do enter and come welcomed again and again, they are twice blessed, for not only do they give strength to the striving spirit of another but they uncover thereby powers in themselves, gifts of intuition and insight, ancient and native to us all.

HUGHES MEARNS

What is the *real* object of modern education ? Is it to cultivate and develop the mind in the right direction ; to teach the disinherited and hapless people to carry with fortitude the burden of life allotted them by Karma ; to strengthen their will ; to inculcate in them the love of one's neighbour and the feeling of mutual interdependence and brotherhood ; and thus to train and form the character for practical life ? - H. P. BLAVATSKY, *The Key to Theosophy*, p. 222.

THE SONG OF THE HIGHER LIFE

THE YOGA OF THE THREEFOLD FAITH

[Below we publish the eighteenth of a series of essays founded on the great text-book of Practical Occultism, the *Bhagavad-Gita*. Each of these discusses a title of one of the eighteen chapters of the Song Celestial. The writer calls them "Notes on the Chapter Titles of the Gita"—but they are more than notes. They bring a practical message born of study and experience.

This particular instalment is a study of the seventeenth chapter, which deals with the Problem of Faith.

Sri Krishna Prem is the name taken in the old traditional manner prevailing in India by a young English gentleman when he resolved to enter the path of Vairagya, renouncing his all, including the name given to him at birth. He took his tripos at Cambridge in Mental and Moral Sciences and is a deep student of Indian Philosophy. Away from the world but serving it with faith he lives in the Himalayas, and is esteemed highly for his sincerity, earnestness and devotion.—Eds.]

The seventeenth chapter commences with a question that is often asked: What is the condition of the man who has faith but no knowledge of the commands of the Inner Ruler (for the reference to the ordinances of *Shāstra* must be understood in the light of what was said at the end of the last chapter)? But this question, though so common, is based upon a misunderstanding of the nature of faith. Faith is sometimes confused with intellectual belief based upon a weighing of probabilities, and still more often, with a blind acceptance of credal orthodoxies rooted for the most part in nothing more than the instinct for social conformity. But the former is more properly termed reasoned opinion, while the latter scarcely merits any mental label at all, being a mere verbal habit based on herd instinct.

True faith is something of a much higher nature. It is the reflection in the lower mind of *knowledge* already possessed by the higher. We read in chapter thirteen, verse twenty-five,

of those who on hearing, perhaps for the first time, of higher truths at once give themselves up to them. They are able to do so because of this irradiation of the lower mind by the knowledge of the higher, an irradiation which gives a sense of certainty akin to that which a man feels on understanding a geometrical proposition, the only difference being that, in the case of faith, the grounds for that certainty have not entered the brain consciousness. Therefore it was that Hermes said :

My word doth go before thee to the truth. But mighty is the mind, and when it hath been led by word up to a certain point, it hath the power to come before thee to the truth. And having thought over all these things, and found them consonant with those which have already been translated by the reason, it (the mind) hath believed and found its rest in that Fair Faith.

It is important to understand this. The world is full of men seeking to persuade others to believe in this or that doctrine, book, or teacher, but the blind belief which they demand

is, if given, nothing but the inert response of a *tāmasik* mind, and has no connection whatever with the Fair Faith of which Hermes speaks. Blind beliefs are perpetually coming into conflict with ascertained truth and it is for this reason that the believers are so fanatically propagandist, for they seek to silence their own doubts by the shouting of many voices.

The Fair Faith, on the other hand, can never come into conflict with knowledge, for it is knowledge even though its grounds have not been realised. Nevertheless, the lower mind is treacherous, and many things which have a soul of truth within them, may not themselves be true. The mind translates its knowledge in terms of its own concepts. Thus the true faith that there is fundamental justice in the Cosmos may lend its certainty to erroneous notions of a personal God and final Judgment Day in cases where such concepts fill the mind.*

Truth must be all-inclusive and harmonious. It cannot form into little eddies and closed systems. The only safe course is, as Hermes says, to think over all things and to accept those which are found to fit in with what is already known in one harmonious whole. If it be asked in what way this differs from the procedure of the so-called rationalist, it must be answered that the latter accepts only the data of the senses and the logical conclusions of the

mind upon them, while the follower of the Fair Faith accepts the data coming from above and then proceeds to work over their interpretation until he can express them in a form consonant with reason.

The necessity for this "working over" arises because the mind in which the knowledge is reflected is a thing of many colours, being made up of the *gunas*. "The faith of each is shaped to his own nature." If a man can rise to his true Self, he is no more concerned with faith for he has knowledge, but as long as that knowledge has to be reflected in the lower mind, it is inevitable that it should take on the colours of that mind.

The true Man is the Knowledge which makes up the higher Self, and when that knowledge has to show itself as faith, that faith is as much of the true Man as is able to manifest within the limits of his personality. Therefore is it said : "A man consists of his faith ; that which his faith is, he is even that." That is the reason why those who have accomplished great deeds, whether, like Joan of Arc, they possessed what is called religion, or whether like Napoleon, they believed but in their own "destiny," have always been filled with faith. Their deeds have been accomplished by the power of their higher Selves and that power was available to them because those Selves were reflected in their hearts

* Occasionally, though not often, a man is able to keep his faith uncontaminated by his mental furniture. For instance, the Catholic mystic, Juliana of Norwich, worried about the fate of the heretics and heathen, received from a vision of Christ the assurance that "all manner of things will be well," an assurance that she seems simply to have accepted although it was at utter variance with the teachings of her church which, doubtless, formed the concepts of her mind. Much more typical, though, is the case of St. Teresa whose Catholicism made her mould the revelations of her vision into the ridiculous statement that in the case of a heretic the mirror of the Soul was irretrievably shattered.

in the form of faith.

Not only is his faith the Man himself ; the turn which is given to it by his mind is also his lower, personal self, for the expression of his faith depends on which of the three *gunas* is dominant in his personality. A *sāttvik* man will give his faith *sāttvik* expression, and so with the other types. This comes out very clearly in the objects of men's worship. The only object of worship to the man of knowledge is the *Ātman* in himself and in all beings, but those who live by faith alone will feel that unperceived *Ātman* as a wondrous Power, sensed in external things and worshipped accordingly. *Sāttvik* men will feel its presence in the great awe-inspiring forces of Nature, in Sun and Wind and Water and so will "worship the Gods" (XVII, 4.) As their faith becomes purified, they will turn more and more to the spiritual power behind those forces and leave the outer forms.

Rājasik types will sense the same Power as it rushes fiercely in the desire-currents, and so will worship *yakshas* and *rākshasas*, the personified consciousness behind desire for wealth and angry violence respectively. Those in whom *tamas* predominates, will feel their imagination captivated by the fact of death, and so the shades of the dead will draw their worship.

In modern civilisation, too, these types appear in the nature-mysticism of a Wordsworth, in the all-too-common worship of wealth and power that shows itself in a morbid interest in the lives of the wealthy and powerful, and in the devotion to the so-called spirits of the dead, who are

the Gods of the spiritist cult, though, in this last case, there is also an admixture of *rājasik* curiosity.

It is not only in the objects of worship that the influences of the *gunas* make themselves felt ; they show also in such things as the type of food eaten. Western readers may be inclined to see very little connection between faith and food, and on the other hand, in India, there is a tendency to see only too much connection. The true course, as always, lies in the middle. Since the body is built up of the food that is taken into it, and since, also, the taste of food forms an important and regular portion of our sense life, it is obvious that both the quality and taste of food will have a significance for him who is trying to follow the Path, though by no means the excessive significance that is sometimes attached to it in India. No amount of merely *sāttvik* eating will suffice to make a man spiritual.

The sacrifice (*yajña*) which the *Gita* mentions next, must not be limited to the ceremonial sacrifices of ancient India. The *yajña* of the *Gita* means sacrificial action in general, the dedication of one's goods and deeds and self to the service of the Life in all. The *sāttvik* man will do this, not out of any desire for personal reward, even in the shape of his own salvation, but because his *sāttvik* nature reflects the knowledge of the Cosmic Sacrifice and impels him to participate therein.

The sacrifice of the *rājasik* man is, as might be expected, tainted by desire and so he sacrifices in order to gain some benefit for himself and usually denies the possibility of

action that is free from such desire. In inferior types, the mainspring of his action is to be found in the wish to be known as a religious man, philanthropist, or patriot.

Tāmasik sacrifice is a still lower type in which only the semblance of sacrifice is shown. It is not governed by any rule or principle (*vidhi*) nor has it any sanction in the inner *Shāstra* (*mantra*). No actual giving away is involved (*asriṣhtānna*), and the whole performance is carried out without any skill (*lakshinam*). The motivation of such so-called sacrifices is usually mere instinct for social conformity.

It would be tedious to comment at length on the other ways in which a man's faith may manifest. The list is not a mere miscellaneous collection. Worship, food, sacrificial action, self-discipline and charity are all important aspects of the spiritual life, and it is for this reason that the *Gīta* has gone into such detail about them.

Some words must, however, be said about *tapasyā*, usually translated as austerity, but better rendered as self-discipline. *Tapasyā* does not mean standing on one leg in a forest, nor piercing the body with sharp spikes. Such torture of the body, common both in mediæval Europe and in India, is the *tāmasik* man's idea of *tapasyā*. Identifying himself with his physical body, he can see no way of making spiritual progress but by forcing that body to be passive under torture,* and so he goes about naked, or wears hair shirts, or else he starves

himself, and then mistakes the hallucinations of a weakened brain for spiritual visions.

Discipline of the body is quite a different thing from its injury by such practices. The body is the field in which we have to work and, later, will be needed for the service of the One. To weaken or destroy it by injudicious austerities is to destroy a valuable instrument. It is sometimes urged that the body is unreal and transient, and that the man of knowledge will not care whether it functions well or badly, whether it lives or dies. But such a view is based on misunderstanding. Those who are practising self-discipline are not men of knowledge, but rather, men trying to gain knowledge. A weakened body, as the Upanishad has taught,† means a weakened mind, and if the body is unnecessarily abandoned before the Goal is reached, it only means that valuable years will have to be spent in educating a new one, and in bringing it to the point at which the Path was left. The true attitude to one's body should be to treat it as one treats a riding horse, something to be intelligently disciplined, adequately cared for, and properly used, and not as something either to be allowed to wander off at its own free will, or else to be beaten to death or uselessness.

There is a further consideration that is equally powerful. The outer senses are but the manifestations of the inner or mental ones. The mortification of the outer leaves the in-

* It is no answer to this to urge that such self-torturers often hold an extremely dualistic theory of the relationship between soul and body. Theory is one thing, and perception quite another. It is just because they know nothing but the body, that they imagine that bodily torture will liberate the soul.

† *Chāndogya Upanishad* 6, 7.

ner ones quite intact. Indeed, the sense powers, forcibly suppressed without, are driven inwards, and revenge themselves in a riot of imaginative phantasy within which will disturb the spiritual life far more effectually than ever the outer sense life could have done.

Self-discipline must begin, not with the senses, but with the mind. In the enumeration of the six mental endowments that form part of the four-fold qualification for knowledge of the *Brahman* (see the chapter on *Gita xv*), *shama*, or control of mind, precedes *dama*, the control of sense. The disciple must bend all his energies to the task of controlling his unruly mind, and when that is accomplished, he may be sure that the outer senses will offer no serious obstacles to being brought under control. Trying to control the senses without having first subjugated the mind, is like trying to bale water out of a sinking ship without first stopping the leak. Even in cases of definitely inappropriate sense-indulgence, the inner phantasying about the objects of enjoyment does far more damage to the inner life than the actual outward gratification.

Another point that must be noted is that the mind cannot, under ordinary conditions, be treated as something separate from and independent of the body. It is true that the mind is the crux of the whole discipline, but it is also true that the ordinary disciple is quite unable to rise to the level of functioning in his true or higher mind, and that the mind in which he does live is very closely

bound up with the physical body. It is easy to talk about being indifferent to bodily sensations, but nevertheless, to say nothing of severe pains, a few hours in a stuffy room will destroy almost any one's power of clear thinking, and a few days of overwork or loss of sleep will cause self-control to vanish in gusts of irritability. This being so, it is obviously foolish for the ordinary disciple to attempt a fine disregard of the bodily and external aspects of life, when all the time, his mental life is intimately bound up with them. "The contacts of matter come and go," as we read in chapter two, but while the disciple should "endure them bravely," he will not, in the earlier stages,¹ be able to disregard them altogether without disastrous results.

So much for the negative side of *tapasyā*. On the positive side, what is needed is a harmonious control of body, speech and mind. The body is to be disciplined (XVII. 11.) by being used for the service of the Gods, the Twice-born (of the genuinely spiritually illumined, that is, not of those who merely arrogate the title to themselves on the strength of outward ceremonies), of Teachers and all Knowers of the Truth, and further, by the practice of cleanliness, straightforwardness, harmlessness to all beings and *brahmacharya*.

The last word connotes control and not suppression of the sex forces. A neurotic celibacy with the subconscious mind, full of thwarted sex, issuing in a welter of more or less disguised phantasy is the very

¹ It should be remembered that these last six chapters are inevitably to some extent recapitulatory.

worst condition to be in for one who seeks the inner life. Such a condition may, like extreme bodily weakness, give rise to strange experiences and visions, but it will effectually prevent any real treading of the Path. Sex will be transcended; it cannot be suppressed with impunity.

Of harmlessness (*ahimsā*) it is quite sufficient to say that one who seeks to serve the Life in all, must certainly abstain from killing living creatures for his "sport," or even, in ordinary circumstances, for his food. "All beings tremble before punishment; to all life is dear. Judging others by yourself, slay not, neither cause to slay."* To cast eyes of greed at the flesh of a fellow being is no act for a disciple of this Path. Rather will he remember the perhaps legendary story of how the Buddha in a previous life gave his own flesh to feed a starving tigress and her cubs.

In addition to the above-mentioned discipline of the body, he will discipline his speech, taking care that it is always truthful and helpful.

...Control the lips
As they were palace doors, the King within;
Tranquil and free and courteous be all words
Which from the presence wind.

While being truthful the disciple must avoid the common egoistic fault of making his devotion to the truth an excuse for inflicting pain upon his hearers. This control of speech is by no means easy, as all who have tried to practise it are aware. In any case it is not possible to bring it to perfection until the mind is also

disciplined.

The mental discipline is in fact the most essential of all since it is in the raising of the mind to its true nature and in bringing about its union with the *buddhi* that the essence of the inner life is found (xvii. 16). The mind must be tranquil, gentle and free from wandering thoughts. The word for the last quality is *manā*, which literally means "silent," but as the context shows, the silence in question is a mental one, and signifies the ability to remain calmly still in the face of those outer stimuli which usually make the mind jump about like the monkey to which it is often compared.

In addition, it must be Self-controlled, able to direct or check its course of thought by its own inherent power, depending neither on the spur of physical necessity, nor on the carrot of some outward gain; in the later stages at least, it should not even depend for stillness upon the hypnotic rhythm of *mantra* repetition. Lastly, it must be pure in feeling too, free from all fear and hatred, filled with love and great compassion for all beings. It need hardly be added that if this discipline is to bear spiritual fruit, it must be carried out harmoniously, without any one-sided exaggerations or fanaticisms and with the *sāttvik* characteristic of disregard of any personal gain. Love of the *Atman*, not fear of the world, must be the motive force behind the effort.

The chapter ends with the three-fold designation of the *Brahman*, *Om Tat Sat*. This well known *mantra* is intended here to show the Path

* *Dhammapada*.

† *The Light of Asia*.

along which a *sāttvik* faith will lead the aspirant, thus indirectly answering the initial question of the chapter. *Om*, as is well known, signifies the *Brahman*, but also stands for the three great states of Consciousness* which lead up to the fourth or transcendental state. With *Om* the acts of sacrifice and discipline that constitute the treading of the Path are commenced. That is to say, the attainment of the true Self, the Consciousness, though in its separated individual form, is the task of the first stage.

The next stage, marked by what we have seen to be the typically *sāttvik* characteristic of abandonment of all desire for fruit, is the bringing about of the union of that individual Self with the unindividuated *buddhi*, the cognitive aspect of the *Mahān Ātman*, the One great Life. This stage is referred to by the word *Tat* (That), because it is through union with the Light Ocean of the *buddhi* that true knowledge of That, the transcendental Reality, is gained.

The last stage is symbolised by *Sat*, which stands for Being, also for

Goodness and Reality. This stage is the attainment of the *Brahman*, and this attainment is the "praiseworthy deed," which the text mentions as yet another meaning of the word.

But we have seen in the fourteenth chapter (verse 26), that instead of withdrawing his Light from the world and merging it in the unmanifested *Brahman*, it is possible for him who has won to the Goal to stay and serve the One, crucified in the countless suffering forms within the bitter Sea. Therefore the *Gita* adds (xvii 27.) that steadfastness in sacrifice, austerity and gift is also *Sat*; meaning thereby that he who maintains his life of Sacrifice and offers up his dearly bought Salvation as a great Gift of Light to those who walk in darkness has no less attained than he who goes beyond the other Shore. His *Sat* is "action for the sake of That" in all. Hence is it said that by this *mantra* of the triple Path have been brought forth of old the Teachers,† Knowledge and the Sacrifices, the Sacrifices, namely, of those liberated Souls who find *Nirvāna* in the very midst of Sorrow.

SRI KRISHNA PREM

* *Jagrat, svapna, and sushupti*. See *Mandukya Upanishad*.

† The word *Brāhmanas* in this verse is usually taken to refer to the books of that name, rather inferior books from the spiritual point of view. It seems more appropriate to take the word as referring to the *Brāhmanas*, i.e., Teachers of the Knowledge.

THE HOMELESS LIFE

[The Thera Prajnanda is a well-known English Buddhist Bikkhu and has travelled extensively in different parts of the world. He recounts here some of his experiences in India. —Eos.]

It was during the Great War, I lay out in the mud in "no-man's-land" between the German and British lines. Around me were hundreds of dead and dying men. Desolation and destruction reigned everywhere. After a long dark night the first beams of the glorious sun appeared and I took from my pocket a treasured book and read, "homeless, always content, with heart and mind fixed on Me he surely cometh unto Me." And as Krishna spoke these words on a battle field to Arjuna, so did they seem to speak to me in that scene of carnage and misery.

The War over, I returned to England. Sad at heart, I saw the pleasure-loving crowds filling the theatres, cinemas, and restaurants, with never a thought for the millions of men who had just perished, or the agonies they had endured. They seemed soulless, and the spirituality which suffering is supposed to bring had not touched them. A deep disgust with all civilization filled me. I wanted to be free of it all, to leave the sensuous money-making world, and strive to find life's meaning, its beauty, its reality.

And so destiny worked. A year later I was living in a stone hut near the Jelap-La Pass which divides India from Tibet. Clad in the red robe of a hermit, with shaven head and bare feet I dwelt on the roof of the world, far from the madding haunts of men. What a change; it

almost seemed to me like a new incarnation. A short time before I had been in the world's most awful slaughter, now I felt I was living among the Gods, where earth and sky kiss each other. It was the beginning of my homeless life, the opening out of a wider vision, and a glimpse of that reality which the Yogis and Rishis of India have spoken of.

There is something deeply impressive living high up in the Himalaya mountains, for are they not the abode of the high? Does not the spirit of Shiva brood over them? At times I felt almost transformed with a feeling of joy and wonder, at the sense of the sublime and inexpressible. I watched the morning sun rise above the snow-clad peaks and shed his brilliant lustre into the purple valleys far below.

In this cairn far above the teeming world, I lived. During long hours of the night I sat before my "dhani" (the fire a Yogi burns) and listened to the wind howling outside. But sometimes when the weather was calm and the moon bright with that wonderful Tibetan brilliance I could sit outside and read from my library, two gems of spiritual truths which I carried with me in all my wanderings in India, the *Bhagavad Gita* and *The Voice of the Silence*. And in that silence the Voice seemed to speak, and I felt at times that transport of Peace and Ecstasy which comes to the true devotee of the One

and the Eternal.

And now the scene changes. It is no longer the snow and blasts of the mountain heights but the dusty sweltering heat of the plains below. Dressed in the "gerua" garb of a mendicant with staff and water pot I was tramping to the holy city of Benares. What a magic name has Kashi to millions of the Indian people! What great Souls have preached there the message of their inner Enlightenment! How even to this day it still retains some of that spiritual greatness which reigned in the past!

I arrived there early one morning with a band of Digambara (naked) Sadhus, and we all plunged into the Ganges River shouting "Hari Hari Om." How refreshing it was to bathe in its cool sweet waters and wash the dirt and grime of the dusty roads from our bodies. There is something magnetic about those Ghats at Benares. It seemed to me that the heart of Humanity is focussed there, for did not the great Brahma himself perform the "ten horse sacrifice" there that mankind might be saved?

I now lived by the river side with the naked Sadhus. How strange it often seemed to me, a Westerner, from life in the University or the Army! But I was now happy. I was free. It was the homeless life without possession or fear, the life I had yearned for. At night we sat round our fire and chanted the Vedic hymns, or sat deep in meditation while the sacred river flowed silently and peacefully by.

Ten miles from Benares is Sarnath where the Lord Buddha preached his first sermon to the five

mendicants after his Enlightenment. I had always wanted to see this holy place, so early one beautiful spring morning with my staff and water pot I started off. The villages in India are all much the same and as the dawn breaks you can see the women grinding the corn, or drawing the water, or taking the cattle to the fields. At last I arrived at Sarnath and sat down beside the big Stupa erected by Asoka to commemorate the birth of the Buddhist religion. What memories that place could tell of many centuries ago when pious learned men lived and studied there. I walked among ruins feeling every stone and brick was a history. Here a Queen had dug a well, over there was the famous Asoka column and down those steps are the cells where the early Buddhist monks by stern discipline and meditation strove to reach the height of Enlightenment their great Founder had attained.

At night I unrolled my blanket and slept in one of those little cells. It was so cool and quiet. I wondered who built it, who lived in it two thousand years ago, what were his thoughts, did he reach Realization? Perhaps an Arahant or a Rishi had dwelt there. Perhaps in another two thousand years visitors will come to those ruins and think of us living today as only half civilized living in a long distant past. Who knows?

When my stay at Sarnath had ended I decided to visit Kusinagara (the place where Lord Buddha passed away) and then on to Buddha Gaya. There is very little to see at Kusinagara, so I joined a Yogi and pushed on to Gaya. He was a type sometimes met on the Indian roads, a man of

education and of wealthy family who had given all up to become a wandering Sanyasin. After a day's tramp we would light our fire under a village tree, and the villagers would bring us cakes and milk and even burn candles before us. As I was a white Yogi (the first some had ever seen) I came in for special attention, and their generosity knew no bounds, hospitable people that these villagers are. Then my friend would discourse on Vedanta or the Upanishads while the people, men, women and children, sat round in a circle, with the cows and goats on the outside.

At last we came to Gaya. We were gaily stepping along the road that leads to the great Temple. Its tower can be seen some distance off, and my heart leapt with joy when I stood inside the little chamber where the most famous Buddharupa is placed. Outside is the Bodhi tree under which the Lord is recorded to have reached Illumination. What emotions surged through me as I stood there and

thought of the thousands of people who beneath those sacred branches had lifted up their thoughts that they too might reach that state of peace and blessedness when the sorrows and limitations of Samsara have been transcended. And beneath this tree there seems to be such a wonderful peace that all nationalities and sects meet there in a spirit of harmony and understanding.

A small group of us used to meet under the tree for our evening devotions. I try to recall them. There was a Jap, a Burman, a Chinaman, Indian, Ceylonese, all speaking different languages with the mouth, but one with the heart. From far-off countries some of them had come, walking hundreds of miles and living on begged food. But we had listened to the call of the homeless life and felt the truth of the ancient dictum "God is an infinite circle whose circumference is nowhere and its centre everywhere."

PRAJNANDA

Stern and exacting is the virtue of Viraga. If thou its path would'st master, thou must keep thy mind and thy perceptions far freer than before from killing action.

—*The Voice of the Silence*

THE QUEST FOR SECURITY

[John Hassler Dietrich, the Pastor who would not defend himself against the charge of heresy but who is serving his fellowmen as an Unitarian and a Humanist is inclined towards Mysticism, as readers of his article in our pages in the March 1936 issue will remember. -Ebs.]

One hundred and fifty years ago an English clergyman, while fleeing from a thunder-storm, found refuge in a rocky glen ; and cloistered there, he fell to meditating upon his feeling of security in the time of storm. While the elements raged without, he was safe and comfortable. This sense of physical security amidst the dangerous elements made him think of the state of his spirit surrounded by the down-dragging forces of the world and the sense of security which came to it, as he clung to his faith in Jesus. Just as he was secure from the threatening forces of nature in the cave, so was he secure from the sin and sorrow of the world in the arms of his crucified Christ. And he wrote the old familiar song, " Rock of Ages, cleft for me, let me hide myself in Thee " a picturesque portrayal of the sense of security which comes to the real believer from his faith in the orthodox scheme of salvation. Here, in a world which is seeking to corrupt and destroy us stands the cross of Christ, to which one need only cling and his eternal happiness is assured. Many moderns will envy him his simplicity and unquestioning faith. He was not perturbed by the stubborn facts which upset the fancies of most of us to-day. He relied entirely upon the grace of God through Jesus Christ for his eternal happiness. Here indeed was a sense of security, which must have

brought to real believers perfect assurance, contentment, and peace.

Whether fortunate or unfortunate, one need scarcely dwell upon the fact so widely proclaimed that this old theological rock of ages has disappeared. In his *Twilight of Christianity*, Professor Barnes has a chapter entitled, "Blasting at the Rock of Ages", in which he shows how all modern sciences have undermined this great supposedly eternal rock and the whole orthodox scheme of salvation has crumbled into dust ; and Walter Lippmann, in his *Preface to Morals*, has shown how this old theological rock of ages has been worn away by the "acids of modernity" poured upon it constantly from every quarter. Indeed this whole theological conception of the world is dead. The supernatural has passed from the thinking of man. The elements of nature have lost their personality. The transcendent God has disappeared beyond the stellar spaces. The whole story of Jesus and his cross, to which multitudes have clung in desperation, has become a religious drama and not historical truth. Morality is no longer the law of God, but the distilled essence of human experience. Man, who was acclaimed to be little lower than the angels, is now considered little higher than the apes. And surveying the flux of events and the resulting

insecurity, one can almost feel that Aristophanes meant us when he said "Whirl is king, having driven out Zeus." Indeed most moderns are seriously disturbed by a sense of insecurity. The rock of ages, cleft for us, in which we could hide ourselves in perfect safety, has disappeared. Upon what rock shall we build anew? Upon what basis can we establish our hopes, our ideals, our morals?

We should not despise this desire for security. It is as natural as any other innate urge. We find something similar to it in the natural world. All forces seek a state of equilibrium or rest. As the water bubbles from a spring on the hillside, it starts flowing to a lower level where it can find rest. If you dislodge a stone, balanced on a hill, it will roll and fall until it finds a position of rest. This is also true of the plant and animal worlds, as well as of man's physical life. We all instinctively seek physical security or safety. Nothing makes us more miserable than impending physical danger, and nothing gives greater satisfaction than physical security. It is true of the intellectual life. Great peace of mind comes from having intellectual questions settled, while our inability to reach definite conclusions is painful. It is particularly true in the spiritual realm, and it is this which has given power to the religions of the world. They are practically all designed to bring security to the spirit of man. There is a deep craving among men for something permanent in the midst of change; and most of the world religions embody this idea the idea of an eternity of

security in which change and flux have disappeared. God is always portrayed as an eternally existent, invariable being, in whose presence all disturbances vanish and people are secure and happy. This is why religions are frequently defined as mechanisms of escape they are escapes from a world of insecurity to one of security, an attempt to satisfy that natural craving of the human spirit. John Dewey says:

Man who lives in a world of hazards is compelled to seek for security. He has sought to attain it in two ways. One of them began with an attempt to propitiate the powers which were supposed to environ him and determine his destiny. . . . The other course is to invent arts and by their means turn the powers to account. . . . This is the method of changing the world through action, as the other is the method of changing the self in emotion and idea.

The former method largely prevailed in the past, but is no longer tenable or effective. We can no longer find security by importuning the gods, we must build it for ourselves. There is no use looking wistfully toward the lost absolute, eternal security; the quest must now be directed toward giving man and his values a secure at-home-ness in the world. And if we lose the peace and content of the old security, it is more than compensated for in the thrill and adventure of the quest. The way to real happiness is to forget about our own security and comfort, and throw ourselves actively into the maelstrom of modern life; to choose the second of the methods suggested by Dr. Dewey and find security through changing the world by action rather than in trying to change the

self through emotion and idea.

There are really two ways of viewing life, or rather there are two different and almost opposite things which we may seek—one is security with its accompanying monotony and the other is adventure with its accompanying thrill. A certain amount of security is well, but we live in a world which requires adventurous spirits, reckless of their own safety and comfort. A world in which all people had a sense of security would be a static world and life would be a dull and monotonous thing; but we live in a world of growth, and if we wish to grow we must pay for it the price of security and comfort. If there is to be any growth, present conditions, present theories, present religions—however beautiful, fair, comfortable they may be—have to be disturbed. We are like an army on the march. An army usually has an objective, it is going somewhere. After a full day's march it may pitch its tents, seeking security and rest for the night; but if it should decide some evening that security and comfort was the one thing henceforth to be sought at the price of everything else, there would be no more marching, no further advance, no new victories, no reconstruction of the affairs of the world. But this reconstruction is much more important than any one's security and comfort. Men who are thus engaged never think of security. They joyfully make their sacrifices and endure their hardships for the sake of the ideal end. Thus we may forget about security and travel through life with the spirit of the adventurer and explorer. It is this

spirit that gives tang to life, but it always involves insecurity, hardship, suffering. The true adventurer accepts these for the sake of the thrill. Not only does he accept them, but he seeks them. He knows in advance that he will be forced to accept suffering which will test his physical endurance as well as his morale, and it is this very test which he seeks and enjoys in his determination to reach his goal or die in the attempt. Is not this the whole of life? Is not life one long adventure, filled with possibilities, hopes, lures, idealistic purposes; and is it not these, rather than security and comfort, that give it zest?

And for security, albeit of another kind, we turn as did the great German philosopher, Kant, to the starry heavens above and the moral law within, that is, to the universe and to ourselves. We are an inseparable part of the universe. We are not alien children in a strange and foreign land. We are a product—the natural development of its forces and conditions. Every human function—physical, mental, and moral—has resulted from a constant and successful adaptation to natural conditions. So this is our natural home, with an environment fitted to the achievement of our purposes. We are a part of the developing process. Out of the vital sources of the world we have emerged; and we move as these sources move in the great river of cosmic being. In us, as in nature, the life stream has found its way. We are one, this spirit and ourselves. In fact, it is in us that this developing process has become conscious and intelligent on this planet. We now

have evolution largely within our control. We have learned that the ways of the universe are constant. Here indeed is security, for we can direct them to our own ends. Our lives, therefore, have meaning, tremendous meaning. It makes a difference whether we live or die, struggle or surrender, go on or stop; for we are creators of human destiny, the directors of the stream of life. By this are we inspired. By this are we consoled, and stirred; reconciled to life and challenged to its task. In fact, the universe is a rock of ages, to which we may cling in perfect safety by virtue of our relationship to it. It acts in accordance with the laws of cause and effect, and therefore is absolutely trustworthy and dependable. Likewise it is our natural home, and therefore suited to the working out of our plans and purposes.

Also, may we not turn, as Kant suggests, to the kingdom within, when in quest of a rock of ages? It is here that Walter Lippmann would have us seek security when he says that we must not look to the objective world for security and peace, we must turn and look within. As Marcus Aurelius declares: -

A man must stand erect and not be held erect by others. . . . Herein is the way of perfection - to live out each day as one's last, with no fever, no torpor, and no acting a part.

Such a philosophy is not unworthy the attention of modern man. It is the attitude of the man who says, "Wherever I am and whatever I am, there I shall keep my divine part tranquil." In keeping with that philosophy man must school himself

in his desires. He must not become the pawn of petty passions or whimsical desires. He must learn to temper his wishes to what lies within the realm of possibility. He will take the world as it comes with a clear-eyed and serene acceptance of the ultimate facts which he can know, and he will endure all the variety and complexity of things, refusing to let them determine the character of his inner life, for here alone is peace and poise and security.

So the wise man will take the world as it is, and within himself remain quite unperturbed, because he is secure in his own mind, lord and master of himself. Whether he sees the thing as comedy or tragedy or farce, he will affirm that it is what it is and that the wise man can enjoy it. This is no new philosophy. The Greeks of old taught it through Marcus Aurelius and others. Confucius taught it, when he said "To develop the principles of our highest nature is to know heaven." Buddha declared it, when he said "He who is fearless, unshackled, free; him I call a wise man. By reflecting man can make himself an island which no floods can overwhelm." Manu taught it, when he said "The soul itself is its own witness and its own refuge." Jesus taught it, when he said "The kingdom of heaven is within you". Thomas à Kempis declared it, when he said "Thou oughtest in all diligence to endeavour that in every place and in every external action and occupation, thou mayest be inwardly free and thoroughly master of thyself, and that all things be under thee, and not thou under them". And Emerson

taught it, when he declared "Nothing is at last sacred but the integrity of your own mind".

So in line with the wisdom of the ages, one can declare to all modern men: If you wish to find a rock of ages, look within. Within yourself is the place of security where you can retreat in time of storm. Within yourself are the potentialities of

peace, of power, and of happiness.

Amid the ceaseless loss and change
Of time and friends and all below--
A more eternal life to know
Ah, whither shall our spirits range?

In Syria, Ind. or Egypt sought,
One answer only have the years
Sent down to banish hopes and fears--
Within thyself must heaven be caught.

Thyself within! Thyself within!
O soul, find here thy strength, thy peace.
Pray not that loss and change may cease;
Pray, rather, higher heights to win.

JOHN H. DIETRICH

A DREAM INTERPRETED

[In our July issue (p. 318) a dream experience was printed under the caption "Can you explain?" Here is one attempt at interpretation; we draw our readers' attention to our remarks on p. 484. Eds.]

The dream concerns deep unconscious conflict in a time of doubt and fear. The tree is that which bears the fruit of Understanding, of Secret Wisdom. Like the ancient Moon Tree it is guarded by the hydra-headed beast.

No doubt the recent demise of the father created an extremely difficult situation for the dreamer. Perhaps he had to give up all that he hoped to attain by way of education and shoulder a burden of unexpected responsibility for which he had no training. At times this will have seemed more than he could bear, he will have felt much inclined to resign himself to depression.

However he struggled bravely on. Mr. Lazarus has been a friend indeed. He is a *new* friend. His occurrence in the dream shows this, but also implies that the qualities of character found in Mr. Lazarus have been awakened in the dreamer. It is these newly discovered qualities in himself that have sustained him in his combat with the monster. The name Lazarus is associated with the idea of "resurrection from the dead." It points the truth that out of darkness Light comes, out of the grave, new Life.

The monster is the poisonous longing to return to a state of irresponsibility, to

complete dependence. This is insidious and terrifies us all. It must be combated with courage and good will. Mr. Lazarus first stuns and later slays the beast. Yet there is terror on awakening. Why the terror? Can the dead thing rise and strike again? I think it is here the teaching of the dream is found.

Possibly the dreamer has come to rely a little too much on Mr. Lazarus as a result of his own feeling of inadequacy. The dream points out that the qualities he admires so much in his good friend are also within him. He has done well, and has developed in himself an unsuspected courage, patience and possibly acumen of which he may not yet be fully conscious. He is being urged to accept his Karma cheerfully, even joyfully as signal that he is worth testing and refining and is strong enough to bear it. He is to know that the monster is within himself, and is to face it calmly without fear in the assurance that if, with Mr. Lazarus at his side, he takes the sword of discrimination and acts fearlessly according to his vision of Truth he will not only defeat the monster, he will absorb its strength, and cannot fail to reach the fruit of his desire.

London

T. N.

NEW BOOKS AND OLD AT THE FEET OF THE MASTERS*

[Professor D. S. Sarma, Principal of the Government Arts College, Rajahmundry, is the author of several volumes which interpret ancient Indian thought to the modern world. Among them is *A Primer of Hinduism*. He is also the translator of the *Gita* and the *Kathopanishad*. Recently he has collected his lectures on the *Gita* delivered in 1935-6 which are published by Sjt. N. Subba Rau Pantulu, President of the Rajahmundry Hindu Samaj. - Eds.]

The Upanishads, as pointed out by W. B. Yeats in his Preface, literally mean the wisdom learnt at the feet of the masters. It is well known that they are the Himalayan peaks of Indian religious thought. Just as the great mountain range to the north of India determines the climate, the rainfall and the physical features of the peninsula, so do these heights of wisdom determine the scope and the quality of the spiritual life of the races inhabiting it. They form, of course, the primary scriptures of Hinduism. All our other sacred books—the Dharma Sastras, the two Epics, the Puranas, the Darśanas, the Āgamas and even the immortal *Gita* are only derivatives from the Upanishads. It should also be noted that the Upanishads represent the first emergence in India of a universal, spiritual Religion of the Sages from the local and traditional religion of the priests. There have been five or six movements of a similar character in the long history of Hinduism covering a period of about forty centuries, and as India is on the crest of such a wave to-day she is in a much better position now, than a generation or two ago, to understand the deep import and all the implications of the message of the Upanishads and would welcome any good and reliable translation of those scriptures. Especially in the present state of the "civilized" world in which the law of the jungle prevails among Christian nations and savage passions rule the policies of some of their countries, the message

should be cherished by us as our inalienable possession—more valuable to us than all their machinery, their markets and their empires.

What exactly is that message? In the literature of later Vedānta it is stated in the form of a hundred different formulas, more often cryptic and repellent, it must be confessed, than profound and helpful. Amidst these loud speakers, gramophone records and machine voices one is more bewildered than elevated, sadly missing the original living accent of the forests. A great mystic experience transfiguring the world of man into an absolute Reality, carrying with it its own sense of certitude and providing a solution for all problems of life is reduced to mere catchwords and dead formulas.

What distinguishes the experience of the Seers of the Upanishads from that of the other religious teachers of the world is their intense awareness of the Universal Spirit not as an anthropomorphic God creating and destroying worlds at will and sitting in angry judgment upon the sins of men or granting easy salvation to those who believe in Him or His deputy, but as the eternal Being manifesting itself in various degrees through all things and creatures in the time-process. For them the spiritual evolution of the universe resulting in the increasing triumph of spirit over matter was a tremendous fact. For them not only does one touch of Nature make all the world kin but also one touch of Spirit makes

* *The Ten Principal Upanishads*—put into English by SHREE PURJOIT SWAMI and W. B. YEATS. (Faber and Faber, London, 7s. 6d.)

all the world one. To gaze steadily at this world of names and forms till diversity yields to unity, and appearance yields to reality was the endeavour of these Rishis. For them the Absolute, the One without a second in the background, as it were, of eternity is the same as the evolving spirit in the foreground of Time manifesting itself in many a beautiful form crystal, flower, bird, woman and revealing at every turn a new scale of values to the mind of man in science, art, morality and religion. It is this identity that they proclaimed in their famous utterances : That art Thou, This Self is the Absolute, I am Brahman. They were, of course, as aware as anybody else that man, though he is the roof and crown of evolution, is still millions of miles away from Deity more distant in a way than the earth is from the fixed stars. But they did perceive, as the author of the *Kathopanishad* puts it : "Whatever is here, the same is there ; whatever is there, the same is here." They saw the goal, and they saw the way, and they girded their loins to reach it for themselves and for their race.

But more important for our purposes to-day is the application by the later sages of this profound vision of the Seers of the Upanishads to the problems of life. If it is accepted that the universe is one vast amphitheatre in which we witness, on ever-ascending scales of being, the triumph of spirit over form, of Atman over Anatman, progressing from minerals to plants, from plants to animals, from animals to men and from men to super Men, we are provided with a standard or a guiding principle by which we can judge not only individuals but also societies and civilizations. The history of Hinduism gives us a most interesting example of a resolute attempt (though alas, unsuccessful) made by a race to plan its society and civilization on this principle. The Hindu epics describe the triumph of a simple moral cause over a highly equipped but grossly immoral machinery of states ruled by tyrants. It is not without a purpose that Val-

miki and Vyasa emphasize the wealth and the pomp, the palaces and the pleasure gardens, the armaments and the feats of valour of the rulers of Lanka and Hastinapura. And the aim of the writers of Hindu Puranas is obvious when they described the four ages of human history as those in which the Cow of Dharma—national righteousness walked on four feet or three or two or one. Similarly, the Hindu Dharmasastras describe the ideal pattern of society to which they exhorted the peoples to conform as one consisting of four classes of increasing spirituality—labourers, farmers, administrators and teachers and the ideal pattern of individual life as one consisting of four stages again of increasing spirituality—the student, the citizen, the recluse and the sage. Thus the evolution of the individual and the society was planned on the principle implicit in the evolution of the world. Our poets, lawgivers and statesmen of the later ages tried to follow humbly in the footsteps of the Rishis of the Upanishads whose commanding vision of the universe is the clue to the civilization of ancient India. Our so-called asrama-dharma, varna-dharma, raja-dharma and yuga-dharma are only different applications of the same principle. In the ideal society and the ideal state, as in the ideal life of the individual, the lower values of spirit should always give place to the higher values, and the higher values, while protecting the lower ones, should ever be on the lookout and work for the emergence of still higher values.

It is this teaching of the Upanishads that in our opinion the civilized world should more deeply ponder at the present day than the points of similarity suggested by Mr. Yeats in his Preface between the Upanishadic view of the Self and the theories of modern psychic research or the vagaries of modern symbolist literature of Europe or America. *The difference between the doctrine of an all-comprehensive Absolute, of which the individual self is only a pale, passing reflection, and the doctrine of an all-*

absorbing individual self, whose fancies, eccentricities and submerged sexual instincts are to be studied with great care and attention is as great as the distance between the East and the West. The former leads us to the living waters, the latter only to a mirage which looks like water, but is only the sand of the desert. Out of the exaggeration of the worth and importance of the individual can come only strife, violence and exploitation.

There have been several translations of the Upanishads into English by English and Indian scholars. Those of Max Müller and Hume are now well known. Mr. Yeats rightly objects to the antiquated style and the untranslatable English of some of these. He complains,

Could latinised words, hyphenated words; could polyglot phrases, & dainty distortions of unnatural English, could muddles, muddled by Lo! Vivify and Pseudo-soph, represent what grass farmers sing thousands of years ago, what their descendants sing to-day?

And so with the collaboration of Parohit Swami he has brought out a new translation of the Upanishads, which as English prose is beyond all praise, being simple, idiomatic and rhythmical. Let us give a few specimens :

Self is the wall which keeps the creatures from breaking in. Day and night do not go near Him, nor age nor death, nor grief, nor good, nor evil. Sin turns away from Him; for spirit knows no sin.

Self is the bridge. When man crosses that bridge if blind, he shall see; if sick, he shall be well; if unhappy he shall be happy. When he crosses that bridge, though it be night, it shall be day; for heaven is shining always.

Heaven is for those that are masters of themselves. They can move anywhere in the world at their pleasure.

Or again,

He who makes the sun rise and set, to whom all powers do homage, He, that has no master, that is Self.

That which is here, is hereafter; hereafter is here. He who thinks otherwise wanders from death to death.

When that person in the heart no bigger than a thumb, is known as maker of past and future, what more is there to fear? That is self.

All this is excellent and gives the reader some idea of the beauty of the original. But a translator's task is two-fold. His translation should not only be simple and idiomatic but also true to the original. The task here has been divided between two. Mr. Yeats confesses he knows no Sanskrit and so his duty was only to see that the English of the rewriting was good, whereas it was the duty of his collaborator, Parohit Swami, who knows both English and Sanskrit, to see that the translation was true to the original. We regret to note that the latter has not discharged his duty as satisfactorily as the former. In several places unwarranted liberties have been taken with the text. The reader wants to know what exactly the ancient poet said and not what Parohit Swami inferred it. Let us give a few instances :

In the *Kathopanishad* there are five mantras having the same refrain whose plain meaning is "Know that alone as Brahman, one that which this world adores." But it is translated thus

"That alone is Spirit; not what sets the world by the ears." Again in the *Kathopanishad* (I, 14) the latter half of the verse means "And know that the Agni which is the means of attaining the eternal world and which is the foundation of it is hidden in the cave of the heart." But it is here translated thus "Find the rock and conquer unmeasured worlds. Listen, for this came out of the cavern." Again towards the end of the same Upanishad there is an interesting verse which defines Yoga "They call it Yoga, this firm holding back of the senses. Then one should recollect oneself, for Yoga comes and goes." But it is here translated into "Yoga brings the constant control of sense. When that condition is reached the Yogi can do no wrong. Before it is reached Yoga seems union and disunion." One more example. But it is one which takes the reader's breath away. In the *Mundakopanishad* (II, 1.3) the obvious meaning of the verse is "When the seer sees the Lord of the golden hue, the creator, the

person, the source of Brahma, then, being a knower, he shakes off good and evil, becomes stainless and attains supreme identity." This is translated here thus: "When the sage meets spirit, phallus and what it enters, good and evil disappear, they are one." We rub our eyes and ask ourselves: Does not Purohit Swami know that 'Brahma-Yoni' means 'the source of Brahma, the first of the Gods'? Or has he had before him a different reading not generally known?

These examples show that this rendering of the Upanishads by Mr. Yeats and Purohit Swami is often very unreliable, however good it may be as a piece of

English prose. Also, we cannot understand what prompted these translators to adopt, contrary to all precedent, such queer spellings as *Wedas* for *Vedas*, *Yadayaivaikya* for *Yagnavaikya*, *Wamadewa* for *Vamadeva*. Especially, the spelling *Wedas* is ludicrous. It seems to suggest some primitive tribes rather than the sacred books of the Hindus.

In conclusion, we may say that the only useful purpose that this translation of the Upanishads will serve will be that it will prove a rich quarry for a future translator who aims at both faithfulness to the original and the purity of living English.

D. S. SARMA

GURUS AND GURUS*

There is no one, great or small, who does not harbour some illusions. Socrates had his (he thought that the best of what he said was inspired by his daemon); so Napoleon (he dreamed that peace could only be had under the shadow of the sword); and so Ibsen (he imagined that God had created him to write social plays). Obviously, without some sort of illusion, great creative spirits cannot function. For their spiritual sustenance they seem to need chimæras.

But with the passage of time all illusions vanish, and among them the illusion that the All-Father cannot do without certain men. Gogol, who had fancied that he had been "chosen" for his particular task, burnt the second volume of his best work before his death. Ibsen felt the same way. In comparison with Life, Art seemed to him, towards the end of his career, a beautiful lie.

Now, among the many illusions I have been cherishing was this one. I was under the impression that in India, and

in India alone, men did not traffic in truth. In other words, I was fully persuaded that the country's Sadhus and Swamis were genuine folk. But now I know better. I find to my sorrow that the place is littered with fakes.

Of course, in this case, America has been the victim of the piece. She is cursed with the Midas-touch. Everything she approaches is somehow transformed into glittering dollars. It is sad to have to confess that she has begun to manufacture at an alarming rate Sadhus and Saints and Swamis. Was it not Mr. Mencken who, speaking of a certain town in the States, was forced to say?—"It consists of nothing but fat Swamis and fat Widows; each group hatters upon the other." Now this might be tolerable, but America has begun to export these ready-made adepts. I came across some last year. They are a marvel. They combine commerce with spirituality. There was one, for instance, who for a guinea

* See *Ways for Success in Life & God-Realization*, By SRI SWAMI SIVANANDA SARASWATI. (Em. Adv. Ved. in. B. n. 2)

The Sacred Mystery: The Life of Sri Mahatma Babu, By C. B. PURHALL. (Williams & Norgate, Ltd., London, 12s. 6d.)

Sri Ramakrishna: His Unique Message, By SWAMI GHANANANDA. Ramakrishna Math, Mylapore, Madras. (Rs. 1)

Sri Swami Narayana, By BHAI MANILAL C. PAREKH. (Sri Bhagwat-Dharma Mission House, Rajkot, India, Rs. 5)

person, the source of Brahma, then, being a knower, he shakes off good and evil, becomes stainless and attains supreme identity." This is translated here thus: "When the sage meets spirit, phallus and what it enters, good and evil disappear, they are one." We rub our eyes and ask ourselves: Does not Purohit Swami know that 'Brahma-Yoni' means 'the source of Brahma, the first of the Gods'? Or has he had before him a different reading not generally known?

These examples show that this rendering of the Upanishads by Mr. Yeats and Purohit Swami is often very unreliable, however good it may be as a piece of

English prose. Also, we cannot understand what prompted these translators to adopt, contrary to all precedent, such queer spellings as *Wedas* for *Vedas*, *Yadayaivaikya* for *Yagnavaikya*, *Wamadewa* for *Vamadeva*. Especially, the spelling *Wedas* is ludicrous. It seems to suggest some primitive tribes rather than the sacred books of the Hindus.

In conclusion, we may say that the only useful purpose that this translation of the Upanishads will serve will be that it will prove a rich quarry for a future translator who aims at both faithfulness to the original and the purity of living English.

D. S. SARMA

GURUS AND GURUS*

There is no one, great or small, who does not harbour some illusions. Socrates had his (he thought that the best of what he said was inspired by his demon); so Napoleon (he dreamed that peace could only be had under the shadow of the sword); and so Ibsen (he imagined that God had created him to write social plays). Obviously, without some sort of illusion, great creative spirits cannot function. For their spiritual sustenance they seem to need chimæras.

But with the passage of time all illusions vanish, and among them the illusion that the All-Father cannot do without certain men. Gogol, who had fancied that he had been "chosen" for his particular task, burnt the second volume of his best work before his death. Ibsen felt the same way. In comparison with Life, Art seemed to him, towards the end of his career, a beautiful lie.

Now, among the many illusions I have been cherishing was this one. I was under the impression that in India, and

in India alone, men did not traffic in truth. In other words, I was fully persuaded that the country's Sadhus and Swamis were genuine folk. But now I know better. I find to my sorrow that the place is littered with fakes.

Of course, in this case, America has been the victim of the piece. She is cursed with the Midas-touch. Everything she approaches is somehow transformed into glittering dollars. It is sad to have to confess that she has begun to manufacture at an alarming rate Sadhus and Saints and Swamis. Was it not Mr. Mencken who, speaking of a certain town in the States, was forced to say?—"It consists of nothing but fat Swamis and fat Widows; each group hatters upon the other." Now this might be tolerable, but America has begun to export these ready-made adepts. I came across some last year. They are a marvel. They combine commerce with spirituality. There was one, for instance, who for a guinea

* See *Ways for Success in Life & God-Realization*, By SRI SWAMI SIVANANDA SARASWATI. (Em. Adv. Ved. in. B. n. 2)

The Power Mantra: The Life of Sri Mahatma Babu, By C. B. PURANI. (Williams & Norgate, Ltd., London, 12s. 6d.)

Sri Ramakrishna: His Unique Message, By SWAMI GHANANANDA. Ramakrishna Math, Mylapore, Madras. (Rs. 1)

Sri Swami Narayana, By BHAI MANILAL C. PAREKH. (Sri Bhagwat-Dharma Mission House, Rajkot, India, Rs. 5)

promised to transform elderly English-women into sweet maidens of sixteen. It was freely said during the recent Congress of Faiths in London that one or two Americanized Indians were trying to convert it into a sort of glorified Barnum's. Of course the tricks adopted by these men are very difficult to detect. They seem to have succeeded in worshipping God and Mammon at the same time.

Here is a case in point. This is what Swami Sivananda Saraswati says in the dedication to his latest masterpiece, *Sure Ways for 'it should be 'of'] Success in Life and God-Realisation* :

Om. Dedicated to those who want to have success in life, who desire to increase their income, their working and earning capacities, who long to have a happier and broader life, who are eager to develop their memory, will and concentration and cultivate virtues and eradicate negative qualities and who eventually want to have God-Realisation. Om.

Need I say more? Can there be a better mélange of the Dollar and the Almighty? Jesus spoke of giving to Cæsar the things that were Cæsar's, and to God the things that were God's, but, of course, he had never been to America and knew nothing of modern business methods!

If Swami Sivananda Saraswati is all sawdust, the case of Shri Meher Baba is more complicated. He says he is 'God.' We must not be over-awed. A Negro divine has been saying the same thing, but has been found to be an escaped convict! The fact is, to-day, as in the time of the Prophet of Galilee, there is a long and growing list of claimants to the throne of the Almighty. Like the Jews of old, we refuse to believe until we see 'signs.' That is our only method of finding out the truth. But even then we shall have our doubts; for something deep down within us tells us that it is only our conceit that makes us think that God ever incarnates Himself into man. Why should He? To say that a particular person is God is the penultimate sin. Either all of us are divine, or none at all. But there certainly are great good spirits. Is Shri Meher Baba

one of these? Mr. Purdom, who appears to have been in quest of the Snark and the Bogjam all his life, calls him a "Perfect Master" but, unfortunately, the new star he adores collapses under scrutiny into star-dust.

The only way of finding out the value of anything is to compare new with old. Let us put Mr. Baba by the side of Ramakrishna.

There are certain resemblances between the two. Both are of humble origin; both have had, if I may so call it, a theological outlook; both are said to have healed sick souls, though neither appears to have had much regard for miracles; and both have believed love to be the only panacea for the ills of this world. But the differences between the two men are profound and revealing. Mr. Baba is a product of our civilization, well-versed in philosophical and dialectical subtleties; Ramakrishna was an unlettered man who worked solely by intuitions. Again, the one is all 'I, I, I'; while the other reduced self to a zero. Further, Ramakrishna had a horror of anything that shackled the spirit of man; it was therefore that he did not found any society or mission; Mr. Baba is a little martinet who allows no deviation from the rules he lays down. And then, Ramakrishna had about him something of the incalculability of a genius; Mr. Baba reminds us of a character out of Miss Sitwell's *English Eccentrics*. He makes plans and immediately abandons them; he asks for a glass of hot milk just as the train is about to start; he arrives in one country and straightway prepares to leave for another; and so on, and so on. Mr. Baba goes to cinemas and theatres; he enjoys the glare of publicity; he loves to mingle with the "Great and the Powerful," including Hollywood Stars. There is nothing here in common between him and Ramakrishna. Finally, Mr. Baba is always saying that he is going to reveal many spiritual truths, but when the time comes he temporises. Ramakrishna, on the other hand, always spoke in hints and parables, merely laying bare the texture of his thought.

But enough! Mr. Baba is a highly educated man who has adopted the rôle of "Messiah" as a stunt; Ramakrishna was an evolved spirit, ever seeking to unite man with his Creator. Mr. Baba would have done well to have adopted the career of a philosophical writer, for he has considerable attainments in that direction; but when he tries to pose as another Buddha or Jesus, one is compelled to call him a charlatan.

I am sorry for Mr. Purdom. His book is all lemonade.

It appears that biographers apart from a select few - are like children who delight in fire-works. Mr. Manilal Parekh has sent up the rocket of Sri Swami Narayana. To convert a mole-hill into a mountain is, as Silvain Lévi once said to me, a peculiarly Indian habit. Not only Indian, I should like

to add: it clings to him who has been Indianized. We in Europe prefer to see things in their right proportions.

Realistically considered, Sri Swami Narayana was neither a prophet, nor a sect, nor a "Messiah." He was, like Raja Ram Mohan Roy, with whom he was contemporaneous, a great social reformer. His life is fascinating, but this is not due to the art of his biographer. He has even buried his subject under a load of learning.

Personally, I think Sri Swami Narayana's name and work will endure when more noisy figures have disappeared. He did much to improve the lot of his fellow beings. I wish his life had been written by some one who understood the effect of chiaroscuro. Daubing is not painting.

SUZANNE SHAHANI

WARS MUST COME, TILL.*—

Of these two books, both putting forward plans for the avoidance of war, the first is the more profound and thought-provoking, the second, within its narrower frame of reference, the more successfully-done *job*.

Mr. Murry, in *The Necessity of Pacifism*, gives us frequent irrelevant references to his own spiritual travails, to the circumstances in which he arrived, not only at the beliefs expressed in this latest book but at other beliefs expressed in former books. He gives a too prolonged exposition of a certain brand of Morris-tinged Socialism which he has lately evolved, and which he tells us is a necessary condition of Pacifism. (I find myself inclined to cry, A plague on these little overlapping self-conscious 'groups,' 'centres'! Why can't Mr. Murry just quietly join the *Peace Pledge Union* and be done with it?) He gives also too much space to the opinions he considers Christ would have held on various events

on, in particular, the Cromwellian Revolution. We all know, of course, that Mr. Murry is an authority on the mind of Christ, but an impression is gained from these pages of a less than strict scrupulousness in the application of certain of his Master's sayings. There is a wobble in intellectual integrity.

But these remarks made, I would like to insist that in the end Mr. Murry brilliantly and persuasively presents his case: the case for non-resistance in the coming war. The last chapter, headed *The Implications of Pacifism* is admirable. There is a lucidity about it, a logic, a force, found nowhere else in the book. In this chapter the pure Pacifist will find his own attitude clearly embodied, while those moving towards the Pacifist position, but still shaky, will receive vigorous help. In it too are certain apt and salutary reminders of a political nature: the present necessities of Germany are pointed out; the privileges which the British Empire still

* *The Necessity of Pacifism*. By J. MIDDLETON MURRY. (Jonathan Cape, London. 3s. 6d.)

The Defence of the Empire. By SIR NORMAN ANGELL. (Hamish Hamilton, London. 6s.)

But enough! Mr. Baba is a highly educated man who has adopted the rôle of "Messiah" as a stunt; Ramakrishna was an evolved spirit, ever seeking to unite man with his Creator. Mr. Baba would have done well to have adopted the career of a philosophical writer, for he has considerable attainments in that direction; but when he tries to pose as another Buddha or Jesus, one is compelled to call him a charlatan.

I am sorry for Mr. Purdom. His book is all lemonade.

It appears that biographers apart from a select few - are like children who delight in fire-works. Mr. Manilal Parekh has sent up the rocket of Sri Swami Narayana. To convert a mole-hill into a mountain is, as Silvain Lévi once said to me, a peculiarly Indian habit. Not only Indian, I should like

to add: it clings to him who has been Indianized. We in Europe prefer to see things in their right proportions.

Realistically considered, Sri Swami Narayana was neither a prophet, nor a sect, nor a "Messiah." He was, like Raja Ram Mohan Roy, with whom he was contemporaneous, a great social reformer. His life is fascinating, but this is not due to the art of his biographer. He has even buried his subject under a load of learning.

Personally, I think Sri Swami Narayana's name and work will endure when more noisy figures have disappeared. He did much to improve the lot of his fellow beings. I wish his life had been written by some one who understood the effect of chiaroscuro. Daubing is not painting.

SUZANNE SHAHANI

WARS MUST COME, TILL.*—

Of these two books, both putting forward plans for the avoidance of war, the first is the more profound and thought-provoking, the second, within its narrower frame of reference, the more successfully-done *job*.

Mr. Murry, in *The Necessity of Pacifism*, gives us frequent irrelevant references to his own spiritual travails, to the circumstances in which he arrived, not only at the beliefs expressed in this latest book but at other beliefs expressed in former books. He gives a too prolonged exposition of a certain brand of Morris-tinged Socialism which he has lately evolved, and which he tells us is a necessary condition of Pacifism. (I find myself inclined to cry, A plague on these little overlapping self-conscious 'groups,' 'centres'! Why can't Mr. Murry just quietly join the *Peace Pledge Union* and be done with it?) He gives also too much space to the opinions he considers Christ would have held on various events

on, in particular, the Cromwellian Revolution. We all know, of course, that Mr. Murry is an authority on the mind of Christ, but an impression is gained from these pages of a less than strict scrupulousness in the application of certain of his Master's sayings. There is a wobble in intellectual integrity.

But these remarks made, I would like to insist that in the end Mr. Murry brilliantly and persuasively presents his case: the case for non-resistance in the coming war. The last chapter, headed *The Implications of Pacifism* is admirable. There is a lucidity about it, a logic, a force, found nowhere else in the book. In this chapter the pure Pacifist will find his own attitude clearly embodied, while those moving towards the Pacifist position, but still shaky, will receive vigorous help. In it too are certain apt and salutary reminders of a political nature: the present necessities of Germany are pointed out; the privileges which the British Empire still

* *The Necessity of Pacifism*. By J. MIDDLETON MURRY. (Jonathan Cape, London. 3s. 6d.)

The Defence of the Empire. By SIR NORMAN ANGELL. (Hamish Hamilton, London. 6s.)

affords to its citizens but which it will soon inevitably have to deny them (such as that of being a Pacifist!); the treacheries of "Versailles"; the connection between Capitalism and Fascism. For this chapter alone, and for the superb and moving quotation, in an earlier chapter, from a letter of D. H. Lawrence written in 1915 but staggeringly relevant to 1937, the book is valuable.

In my short space it is impossible to deal as I should like with the many *economic* questions which Mr. Murry raises throughout; with the many near-truths which he states and which, in a way, are more maddening than the statements of complete ignorance. I would only implore him to go further still into the investigation of the "inhuman system" he deplures, which not only compels populations to periodic slaughters but during these intervals called "peace" keeps millions undernourished in a world of abounding plenty. Surely if he does go further he will come out at the discovery that beyond Workers, beyond Capitalists, beyond Parliaments, beyond all classes and all governments stand the Banking Fraternity the Money Monopolists and that it is *they* who are responsible for the "inhuman system." Until Money is the servant of the Nation and not its master, until, in other words, Credit is socialized (and nothing else but Credit need be socialized): there will come wars. By all means let us be non-resistents in the next one; let us allow the Germans to grab our Empire and our markets and

our trade; but also let us realize the full implications of that course. Almost certainly we shall avoid being bombed and gassed to death, but we shall not avoid being starved to death. And if we decide that the latter is the lesser evil, it does after all remain an evil. Why not choose life? Why not *permanent* peace together with prosperity and liberty? These things are perfectly attainable. Not, I fear, though, by Mr. Murry's path.

And still less by Sir Norman Angell's by that path so consistently advocated, and here again advocated in *The Defiance of the Empire*, and which is that, of course, of an all-powerful League. For how can we keep going — let alone establish a good *European* system, a good *World* system, when each *National* system is rotten? All the same, what an excellent book this is within its limits. How comfortably we breathe its air. Here are no gropings into realms psychological or economic. No big near-truths. Only small whole truths. *Political facts*. Every one should read it who wants a concise, a revealing résumé of British foreign policy during the last decade or so. Only extreme Tories will be disturbed by it or attempt to dispute its conclusions. It is cohesive, it is well-informed, it is fully documented. And if the causes of Fascism are left wholly unexplained, certain of the results of Fascism could not be better presented, I must single for special praise the chapter headed *Why the New John Bull?*

IRENE RATHBONE

SAYINGS OF CONFUCIUS

"Analects," meaning selected pieces or a collection of extracts, is the name given by Legge to the *Lun Yü*, which is literally "Discursive Sayings." The work consists for the most part of casual utterances by Confucius and answers to his disciples' questions; there is very little that can properly be called dialogue or conversation. And this fact certainly tends to strengthen our confidence in the genuine nature of the work, which is believed to have been compiled many years after the Sage's death, probably not by any of his own disciples, but by members of a third generation; for lengthy conversations are not likely to have been recorded, whereas short, pithy sayings may very well have been noted at the time, and afterwards transmitted either in writing or by word of mouth to posterity. In one case at least we are explicitly told that the disciple Tzu Chang wrote the master's words down on his sash.

However it may have come into existence, or assumed its present form, this collection of sayings clearly reveals a mind that has reflected long and deeply on the principles and right conduct of life. Whether Confucius ever built up a comprehensive system of philosophy may be open to doubt. Nothing of the sort has survived in writing. Most of his teaching, like that of Socrates, seems to have been imparted orally to his followers, though with less opportunity for discussion on their part. On the other hand, the sayings which have been preserved, wise and penetrating as they are, may represent only chips from the Master's workshop; he himself insisted that a single principle ran through all his teaching, and he might be dismayed if he knew he was to be judged by a number of stray aphorisms. Such as it is, however, the *Lun Yü* must remain the only really trustworthy source of information that we possess about what Confucius actually said and taught.

Other works, such as the *Family Sayings*, the *Classic of Filial Piety*, and parts of the *Book of Rites*, are not only the products of a later age but show unmistakable signs of having been composed rather to suit the writers' preconceived idea of what Confucian teaching should be than as a record of historical fact.

It is high time that English readers should have access to this remarkable work in a complete and handy form such as is provided in the *World's Classics*. And the late Professor Scothill's translation, though somewhat lacking in the graces of style, is one that will at least pass muster as reasonably accurate. Like other translations, of which there have been not a few in various European languages, it is of course primarily based on the epoch making version of Legge, first published as long ago as 1861. Ku Hung-ming's *Discourses and Sayings of Confucius*, which aimed at correcting some of Legge's too rigid terminology, followed in 1898, and it is evident that Professor Soothill was influenced in many points by this work as well. I am particularly glad to see that "the single word" which Confucius thought "might be adopted as a lifelong rule of conduct" is not translated here "reciprocity." That was a misconception of Legge's arising from the fact that Confucius immediately goes on to enunciate the Golden Rule (in its negative form): "Do not unto others what you would not they should do unto you." This word *shu*, as I once observed in another place, is almost equivalent to *jên*, goodness of heart, only with the idea of altruism more explicitly brought out. It connotes sympathetic consideration for others, and hence the best rendering would seem to be "loving-kindness" or "charity." On another occasion the disciple Tseng Tzu summed up the Master's teaching in two words, *chung shu*, i.e., loyalty to oneself and charity to one's neighbour. Soothill

The Analects, or The Conversations of Confucius with his disciples and certain others, as translated into English by WILLIAM EDWARD SOOTHILL. (Oxford University Press, 2s.)

renders this "Conscientiousness within and consideration for others," borrowing the first term from Ku Hung-ming and the second, perhaps, from myself. A few other well-known passages may be quoted to give an idea of his quality as a translator:— Lin Fang asked what was the chief principle in observance of ritual. The Master answered: "A great question indeed! In ceremonies in general, it is better to be simple than lavish; and in the rites of mourning, heart-felt distress is better than observance of detail." The Master said: "Virtue never dwells alone; it always has neighbours." The Master said: "With coarse food to eat, water for drink, and a bent arm for a pillow, even in such a state I could be happy, for wealth and honour obtained unworthily are to me as a fleeting cloud." Some one asked: "What do you think about the principle of rewarding enmity with kindness?" "With what, then, would you reward kindness?" asked the Master. "Reward enmity with just treatment, and kindness with kindness." The Master said: "Of all people, maids and servants are hardest to keep in your house. If you are friendly with them they lose their deference; if you are reserved with them they resent it."

When Soothill's translation was originally published at Shanghai in 1910, it was accompanied by the Chinese text, with excerpts from Chu Hsi's commentary and from a number of previous translations. These have been omitted in the present issue, which is edited by his daughter Lady Hsieh, but other useful features have been either retained or added: an essay on Confucius, a short chronology, an account of the 36 best-known disciples and other personages mentioned in the *Analects*, brief explanatory notes, and an index of pro-

per names. The translation has also been slightly trimmed and polished here and there. Altogether, the editor has done her task well, though she is somewhat uncritical as to the relative value of her sources. The meeting between Confucius and Lao Tzu is now recognized as pure legend. The founder of Taoism is a very shadowy figure indeed, and there is no justification at all for saying that he was "versed in the induction of trance and the escape of the spirit from fleshly bonds through breathing." Such practices were common enough in the later Taoist school, but they are not mentioned in the *Tao Tê Ching*. With reference to Confucius' grandson Chi, we are told that "Chi's son, Tzu Ssu, became a pupil of the philosopher and disciple Tsêng Tzu, and it was from Tsêng Tzu that . . . Mencius . . . obtained his education." This is a sad muddle. Tzu Ssu, the reputed author of *The Doctrine of the Mean*, is one and the same person as Confucius' grandson K'ung Chi; and Mencius' date, given correctly as 372-289 B. C., makes it clear that he could not have obtained his education from Tsêng Tzu, who was not less than 25 when Confucius died in 479. Even Tzu Ssu must have been well over a hundred when Mencius was born. There is no reason to doubt the tradition that Mencius owed the best part of his education to his mother, a woman of exceptional character and ability. Several mistakes, too, are made in regard to proper names—always a stumbling-block to the tyro in Chinese, and especially so in the *Analects*. Thus Hui, Yu, and Shang are not the surnames but the personal names of Yen Yüan, Tzu Lu, and Tzu Hsia respectively. It is a pity that such avoidable blemishes should mar the production of a most attractive little volume.

LIONEL GILES

The Key of the Castle. By MARJORIE LIVINGSTON. (Wright and Brown, London. 7s. 6d.)

There is much that is really good in this story though it may be called a psychic melodrama. It grips the reader's attention and the characters are alive. With so much understanding of the human mind and heart it is a pity that the background of occultism on which the story is woven should be at times so inaccurate. Space is too short to allow an analysis of this aspect of the book. The regrettable fact to a student of Eastern metaphysics and philosophy is that with such an evident longing towards the spiritual side of things Marjorie Livingston should have fallen (as so many hundreds at the present day) into the bog of pseudo-occultism; the danger of such books is not so much in their absence of true knowledge as in their presentation of half truths. To give but an instance: true it is that man's consciousness is active during the sleep of the body and that much of our spiritual knowledge and experience belong to the state of consciousness vaguely known as dreams. The author utilizes this knowledge in an admirable way, yet conveys the impression that such experiences take place in the astral body which detaches itself

from the physical and wanders and acts. Though she is careful to explain that this phenomenon relates to the "fourth dimension of space," yet she describes it as objective—in the sense that communication is possible between two people in this condition, and again objective inasmuch as these astral denizens can observe what is taking place in our prosaic three-dimensional world. Again, although Reincarnation is a fact in human evolution, and hence affinities of past lives do assert themselves in the present incarnation, it is not true in accordance with real occultism that souls pertaining to the opposite sexes must combine to form the perfect "atom of consciousness." The spiritual soul is in itself a complete unit of consciousness and its essence is above and independent of the personality, and hence it cannot partake of the differentiation of sex.

Victor Steele's inner awakening through human suffering and disappointment, as well as that of his wife through human love, are creditably described and deserve praise. Marjorie Livingston may turn some day to the true teachings of the immemorial East, and find therein realities of which her facile pen and strong imagination can make good use.

OCCULTUS

The Testament of Joad. By C. E. M. JOAD. (Faber and Faber Ltd., London. 8s. 6d.)

In this autobiographical volume Joad is the gadfly, like Socrates, to awaken his people from their thoughtlessness and indifference. He begins by declaring his adherence to Greek and Chinese philosophy, and by relating how one side of his nature is strongly attracted to Confucianism, while the deeper side turns to Taoism. We read, eagerly waiting for the development of this "spiritual vagrant," as he calls himself when following the latter way; but we are disappointed, for he refrains from revealing much of this side of his life other than enlarging upon its idiosyncrasies.

Joad refers to his belief in a

supramental plane, he writes sympathetically of telepathy and psychic powers and of his belief in the nature gods, and of an attractive mystic, "C", whose influence failed to bring forth the revelations we had anticipated. But it is the gadfly which continues most in evidence. He says:

It is because I think that people can be shamed, that I go out of my way to scold them. It is because I think that in the end they will listen to reason, that I think it worth while to reason with them. . . . It is not so much because men are wicked as because they are stupid, that the world is as it is.

The criticisms range over many subjects: the indifference of the English to cooking, the indifference of the women, not only to such a vital matter, but also to their hard-won suffrage and their

powers for world peace, of their indifference to the terrible suffering involved in the obtaining of furs and plumage. Much is made of the dire effects of machinery upon our lives, not only externally upon natural beauty, but its enslaving and dulling effect psychologically considered.

Of evil, we read :

My reason tells me that calamity and suffering have no purpose whatever: they are, I believe, just part of the evil of the universe. . . the universe does contain real, objective evil.

Surely suffering *does* serve us in many ways, warning, purifying and developing sympathy and powers of endurance. Under "C's" influence he writes of pain and evil differently :

I was suddenly made conscious that they were not the whole truth, that they were not even the part of truth that mattered. There was more in life than the misery and pain and wickedness . . . And 'the more' was of such infinitely greater importance that in perspective 'the troubles of our proud and angry dust' sank into insignifi-

cance . . . The essence of religious experience seems to me to consist in a kind of knowledge. Essentially, the seer, the mystic and the sage, essentially even the common man in his moments of illumination, know something ; something, that is to say, which is not a fact about themselves, but a fact about a world external to themselves . . . there is a realization that our ultimate destiny is to be found not in this everyday world, but in the real world whose existence religion reveals.

Joad writes on the Chinese Exhibition and speculates on beauty. He closes the volume with a chapter entitled "The Author has Hopes of the Future."

Most important are his words concerning the terrors of present warfare. We are informed of the futility of gas masks and the inadequacy of all preparations against air raids, and the appalling effects from various kinds of poisonous gases. Only through the greatest co-operation, apparently, can Western civilization survive.

E. H. BREWSTER

War Dance. By E. GRAHAM HOWE. (Faber and Faber Ltd., London, 7s. 6d.)

In this remarkable study of "the psychology of war," Dr. Howe unveils the springs of action as lying deep within the attitude of the individual, and collectively, of organizations of individuals, towards life. He shows "conflict to be at home within ourselves." Hence the hypocrisy of assuming "omniscient power and right to judge what is right for others." This assumption to put the other person right is "War" and reveals moral prejudice and distorted judgment. All aggressiveness is war.

Dr. Howe does not presume to sit in judgment upon his brother man, because he is *different*, and differences have a right to be respected even in disagreement. He strikes at the "neurosis of normality," which destroys the very uniqueness of individuality.

On the subject of sex, Dr. Howe expresses true chivalry ; a sane recognition of the value of life " and the acceptance of things as they are . . . There cannot be much peace where sex is blamed as sin . . . for it teaches a false

attitude to life."

He gibes at our avarice and the fallacy of hoarding. Life is constant motion, and circulation is essential. Our acquisitiveness "refuses to recognise the universal truth that all are deeply one." Life is power, and to take this power and make it our own by a complete acceptance of it with the courage of certainty is to be master of it.

Dr. Howe incriminates all masquerading goodness, all self-righteousness and all attempts at advantage over others. But he implies that you cannot blind that "silent watcher" by "striving to preserve an unsmudged and unbroken shell of moral equanimity." He expresses the necessity for self-discipline. And Death is, to him, initiation into another phase of experience and new life.

In the last chapter on Wisdom the author reveals the secret of conquest in the ability to "let go," the policy of detachment, the sovereignty of heart with sovereignty of head ; "Compassion, seeing and feeling." It is to be the true Gentleman in all relationships : "one with and amongst." !

WILLIAM H. RATCLIFFE

CORRESPONDENCE

ANIMALS AND HUMANS

As a marked copy of your July issue is sent to me, I assume that the 'well known woman novelist who has recently espoused Communism,' the 'Communist novelist' referred to in Edmund B. d'Auvergne's article, is myself though I could equally well be 'a prominent woman educationist,' indeed that description might be more accurate (or less inaccurate) since whereas I have written books on child psychology and education, I have never at any time been a Communist, though I have for years been an active member of that political party, the Independent Labour Party, which is now to the Left of the Communist Party.

I was on the platform some months ago when Emma Goldman (an *Anarchist*) observed that English people as a whole have more feeling for animals than for children; I seem to recollect that that was the occasion Mr. d'Auvergne refers to when a voice from the gallery asked about the treatment of animals in Soviet Russia; for Mr. d'Auvergne's interest I would mention that the horses in the USSR are in good condition, and one sees very few dogs, with the result that the streets are free of the filth for which dogs are responsible in other cities).

I was also one of the speakers at a literary debate when Mr. Ralph Straus, the famous critic, made some remark about sloppiness where animals were concerned, and I seem to recollect that I endorsed that remark, and that there were some angry protests from the audience.

I should like to protest, however, at Mr. d'Auvergne's assumption that Left people in general, and myself, it would seem, in particular, do not care about kindness to animals; speaking for myself I feel very deeply about cruelty to

animals; subscribe to an anti-cruel sports organisation, and as a young girl won prizes from the R. S. P. C. A. for essays against cruelty to animals. I have a great love for cats, a liking for horses, and I do not mind dogs, though I strongly object to them in cities. What we whose fight is for better conditions for human beings object to is that an appeal for an animal cause will invariably (amongst English people), invoke a much bigger response than will a cause for human beings. I have not the cutting by me, unfortunately, but some recent statistics showed the enormous difference between the results of an appeal for sick animals and an appeal for some children's cause; the children were nowhere in it! Similarly English people will express considerable sympathy for pit-ponies, and indignation concerning their working in the mines, whereas it never seems to occur to them that the men and lads, conscious of the risks they run (for a mere pittance of a wage) are far more to be pitied, and far greater cause for indignation and the necessity for 'something to be done about it.'

It is not against kindness to animals that we protest, but against the sentimentality expended on them, side by side with callousness concerning human wrongs. Let us of course treat animals decently, but surely our *first* care should be for suffering humanity, and animals take a secondary place? The trouble with all too many English people - especially women, emotionally frustrated is that they make animals their first care, expending upon them an entirely *disproportionate* amount of sympathy and attention.

I should be obliged if you would print this reply to Mr. d'Auvergne's article, since it has been brought to my attention.

London

ETHEL MANNIN

ENDS AND SAYINGS

Referring to a dream interpretation we print on p. 471 we must say this : that Dream-state of human consciousness can teach a great deal to man is fully recognized in the ancient Esoteric Philosophy. But the dream-state has as many varieties as the waking-state ; in the latter a man may vegetate, or be beastly, or be pursuing mental objects, and so on ; so also in the dream-state. Therefore there are numerous kinds of dreams : Digestion dreams, brain dreams, memory dreams, mechanical visions, as well as warning and allegorical and prophetic dreams. That which is entirely *terra incognita* for modern science are the real dreams and experiences of the higher Ego ; the nature and function of real dreams cannot be understood unless we admit the existence of an immortal Ego in mortal man, the former living his own life when the body is asleep ; and its corollary the existence of an astral body within the physical. It is unwise, however, to get others to interpret our dreams : Every dreaming Ego differs from every other, as our physical bodies do and the method by which each Ego handles its brain-mind is peculiar to itself. The Ego communicates ideas and experience by means of pictures. Most people, upon awakening, find a great hindrance in the ordinary terms of speech and thought when they attempt to interpret a real dream ; they fail because first, they do not possess adequate knowledge of the human constitution, and second, their physiological nature is

not pure enough to work in harmony with their psycho-spiritual nature. The only way in which we can benefit from our dream-state is by making ourselves porous, so to speak, to the influences from the Inner Ego and by living and thinking in such a manner as will be most likely to bring about the aim of the soul. Virtue and knowledge are the means to this porosity ; vices and the passions eternally becloud our perception of the meaning of what the Ego tries to tell us. This is one of the reasons why the sages inculcate virtue. Is it not plain that, if the vicious could accomplish the translation of the Ego's language, they would have done it long ago, and is it not known to us all that only among the virtuous can the Sages be found ?

We recommend the study of the following (1) Appendix on "Dreams" in the *Transactions of the Blavatsky Lodge* by H. P. Blavatsky ; (2) *Dreams* by H. P. Blavatsky and W. Q. Judge (*U. L. T. Pamphlet No. 11*) ; "Sleep and Dreams" in *The Friendly Philosopher* by Robert Crosbie.

With deep regret we chronicle the death of Prof. A. V. Williams Jackson, one of the earliest friends of THE ARYAN PATH. In our very first issue he wrote a fascinating study on the name of this journal. After the fashion of the Zoroastrians whose religion he so ably served, we say:-

Salutations to the Fravershi of

ABRAHAM VALENTINE WILLIAMS JACKSON